## City Commission Agenda <br> Monday, November 6, 2023

1. CALL TO ORDER
A. Roll Call
B. Pledge of Allegiance
2. PUBLIC COMMENT
(Members of the audience will have five minutes to present any matter of concern to the Commission. No official action may be taken at this time.)
3. CONSENT AGENDA
A. 10/16/2023 Commission Meeting Minutes
B. 10/30/2023 Special Commission Meeting Minutes
C. Appropriation Ordinances 2023-21; 202321A; , 2023-P21
D. Public Transportation Assistance Grant Approval
4. PRESENTATIONS \& PROCLAMATIONS
A. Daycare project - Donna Swager
B. Lockboxes/Vial for life for seniors - Melody Knudson, Sherman County EMS
5. ORDINANCES AND RESOLUTIONS
A. Ordinance 1766-2018 IBC and 2018 IEBC
B. Ordinance 1767-2018 IPMC
6. FORMAL ACTIONS
A. Construction Board Application-Aimee Kendrick
B. Arts Center Lease Renewal
C. Electric Dept - Pole Purchase
7. DISCUSSION ITEMS
A. TEAP Study recommendations - follow up.
8. REPORTS
A. City Manager
(1) Manager Memo
(2) October Month End Budget Report
(3) Police Chief Appointment
(4) Police Dept Promotion
(5) Building Official Update on Properties
(6) NWKTC - CDL program
(7) Land Bank Program example
B. City Commissioners
C. Mayor

## 9. ADJOURNMENT

A. Next Regular Meeting is Monday November 20, 2023.

NOTE: Background information is available for review in the office of the City Clerk prior to the meeting. The Public Comment section is to allow members of the public to address the Commission on matters pertaining to any business within the scope of Commission authority and not appearing on the Agenda. Ordinance No. 1730 requires anyone who wishes to address the Commission on a nonagenda item to sign up in advance of the meeting and to provide their name, address, and the subject matter of their comments.

City of Goodland
204 W. 11 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Street
Goodland, KS 67735

## MEMORANDUM

TO: Mayor Thompson and City Commissioners
FROM: Kent Brown, City Manager
DATE: November 6,2023
SUBJECT: Agenda Report

## Consent Agenda:

A. 10-16-2023 Commission Meeting Minutes
B. 10-30-2023 Special Commission Meeting Minutes
C. Appropriation Ordinances 2023-21; 2023-21A; 2023-P21;
D. Public Transportation Assistance Grant Approval - Letter in packet.

RECOMMENDED MOTION: "I move that we approve Consent Agenda items A, B, C and D."

## Presentations \& Proclamations

A. Daycare project - Donna Swager

Donna Swager will present additional information about a potential project for daycare facility and if (or how) the city of Goodland could participate or support the project. A list of city owned properties from the list of vacant properties in the city is included in the packet.
B. Lockboxes/Vial for life for seniors - Melody Knudson, Sherman County EMS

Melody Knudson will present information on a community emergency access and information program for elderly and disable people in Goodland and Sherman County.

## Ordinances and Resolutions:

A. Ordinance 1766-2018 IBC and 2018 IEBC

After review at their meeting on November 1, the Construction Advisory Board of Trades and Appeals recommended this ordinance for approval by the City Commission to update the building codes for the City of Goodland from the 1997 Uniform Building Code to the 2018 International Building Code and the 2018 International Existing Building Code.

RECOMMENDED MOTION: "I move that we approve Ordinance \#1766, an Ordinance adopting and amending the 2018 International Building Code Book and the 2018 International Existing Building Code Book and make the appropriate changes to the Goodland City Code."

## B. Ordinance 1767-2018 IPMC

Also reviewed at their meeting on November 1, the Construction Advisory Board of Trades and Appeals recommended this ordinance for approval by the City Commission. The ordinance would add a section to the Goodland City Code.
RECOMMENDED MOTION: "I move that we approve Ordinance \#1767, an Ordinance adopting and amending the 2018 International Property Maintenance Code Book and make the appropriate changes to the Goodland City Code."

## Formal Actions

A. Application - Construction Advisory Board of Trades and Appeals.

Aimee Kendrick (Realtor) has submitted an application to serve on the CABT.

## B. Arts Center Lease Renewal

The City and the Goodland Arts Council's lease agreement is currently up for renewal. Our express desire is to renew this lease for an additional five years under the same terms and conditions as previously agreed. Staff recommends approval.
RECOMMENDED MOTION: "I move that we approve the renewal of the lease agreement for the Carnegie Public Library building at 120 W. $12^{\text {th }}$ St. with the Goodland Arts Council for 5 years under the same terms and conditions."

## C. Electric Dept - Pole Purchase

Director of Public Power Dustin Bedore obtained quotes to purchase poles - the amount is over the threshold for Commission approval per the purchasing policy.
RECOMMENDED MOTION: "I move that we approve the quote from Thomasson Company for \$25,460.00."

## Discussion Items

A. TEAP Study recommendations - follow up.

Study's recommendations were presented at the August 21, 2023 City Commission meeting. Some additional details requested have been gathered. Staff is looking for direction on either or both of the intersection traffic controls.

## Reports:

## A. City Manager

> Manager Memo
> October Month End Budget Report
> Police Chief Appointment
> Police Dept Promotion
> Building Official Update on Properties
> NWKTC - CDL program - use of property
> Land Bank Program example from Pittsburg, Kansas
B. City Commissioners

The Mayor will ask each City Commissioner for their comments or questions for staff on any other topic not on the agenda at this time.
C. Mayor

Mayor will present any comments or questions for staff at this time.

Mayor Aaron Thompson called the meeting to order with Vice-Mayor J. J. Howard, Commissioner Jason Showalter, Commissioner Ann Myers and Commissioner Brook Redlin responding to roll call.

Also present were Dustin Bedore - Director of Electric Utilities, Jason Erhart - Interim Chief of Police, Joshua Jordan - IT Director, Kenton Keith - Director of Streets and Facilities, Danny Krayca - Director of Parks, Mary Volk - City Clerk and Kent Brown - City Manager.

## Mayor Thompson led Pledge of Allegiance

## PUBLIC COMMENT

A. Donna Swagger and Janice Shaner: Goodland Child Care - Janice stated, we are here for the Sherman County Childcare Coalition. We are interested in helping provide childcare so parents can work. We are in need of land to place the units and would like the City to consider helping us. We would like to begin with two units, having ability to expand to four. Looking for help to bring in the utilities and asking City for assistance. Donna stated, we are starting with smaller units primarily because of regulations for staffing needs. Each unit is 1,200 square feet and requires 750 square feet for play space. We hope to expand in future to four units. Each unit has capability for twelve children. Our concern is that daycares are not self-sustaining, you cannot charge enough for services to cover costs. We have to offer a decent wages and benefit packages to maintain employees. We do not have ability to offer a benefit package so we are inviting the City to provide ideas that might make it work. We have major employers in the City and at this point we are seeing what we can do to make it work with wages and benefit package, but not charge a lot for services. We would like to schedule a time where our committee can meet with city to determine what you have to offer. Mayor Thompson asked, so you are asking for land, you have units? Donna stated, no we are in process of writing a Dane Hansen grant for the units since they have supplied them to other communities; however, we have to have a place for them. We have received two operational grants. A $\$ 47,000$ grant from Childcare Aware then $\$ 49,000$ from the Sanderson family. The monies have to be used by May 2024. Commissioner Showalter asked, what size of lot do you need? Donna stated, space to accommodate four 1,200 square feet units plus 750 square feet for play space for each unit. If we do not have that large of area, it is possible we place two on one property and two on another property, but ideally like them together. Mayor Thompson stated, we will discuss and get back to you.

## CONSENT AGENDA

A. 10/02/23 Commission Meeting Minutes
B. Appropriation Ordinances: 2023-20, 2023-20A, and 2023-P20

ON A MOTION by Commissioner Redlin to approve Consent Agenda seconded by Commissioner Showalter. MOTION carried on a VOTE of 5-0.

## FORMAL ACTIONS

A. Request to Purchase Materials for South Loop Electrical Project - Dustin stated, we submitted bids for material on south loop project. We received bids from Stanion Wholesale and Border States Electric. Materials have gone up. I do not award the full bid unless all line items on that bid are cheaper. I have hi-lighted the lower bid for each item. This is material on north side of interstate for south loop project. Mayor Thompson asked, will this do both sides of highway? Dustin stated, for the most part. I have some stock on hand but I do not want to deplete all my stock if we need for maintenance. Mayor Thompson asked, we are going to interstate but not crossing yet? Dustin stated, yes, we are determining what we need to cross interstate. We will need permission from State to go
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overhead and will likely have to have metal poles and shut down interstate to cross. ON A
MOTION by Commissioner Showalter to approve staff recommendations for items to purchase from Stanion Wholesale that total $\$ 44,898.75$ and staff recommendations from Border States for items to purchase that total $\$ 15,259.55$ seconded by Commissioner Myers. MOTION carried on a VOTE of 5-0.
B. Resignation/vacate: Construction Advisory Board Member - Kent stated, Peyton Ortner worked as a realtor in town but has moved out of the area and is no longer able to attend advisory board meetings. Requesting to approve vacation of his appointment and board is researching for a new member. Our code state that members must be residents of Sherman County. ON A MOTION by Commissioner Redlin to approve the vacation of Peyton Ortner on the Construction Advisory Board seconded by Vice-Mayor Howard. MOTION carried on a VOTE of 5-0.

## DISCUSSION

A. Letter of Support for Topside Trail grant application - Kent stated, Topside Trail committee and Northwest Kansas Technical College are submitting a grant application under Recreation Trails Program and has requested a letter of support from the City. Grant request is for lighting improvements along the entire trail. There will be no associated costs for city. The plan is to use students in the electrical program at the college for hands on training. Consensus of Commission is to sign the letter of support.
B. Police Vehicle for 2024 - Kent stated, police department was informed that Ford is not producing police vehicles in 2024 and options are limited with other vendors. Jason stated, they informed we cannot order police interceptor's until late 2024 to be received late 2025. Only availability from Ford is F150 and we do not need a pickup. From Dodge they have the Durango Pursuit but in limited supply. I have found two ways to get a vehicle in 2024. First option is JR Audio in Garden City that will have limited Ford Interceptor's for $\$ 42,750$, but they would have to be equipped. Our second option is KHP vehicles that they retire at 49,999 miles. The cost is $\$ 29,000$ and they come equipped with most equipment except the cage and utility box. Power train warranty remains in effect for vehicles up to 100,000 miles. We are leaning toward the KHP right now because of availability. Kent stated, all agencies are scrambling for police vehicles. We get our name on KHP list but not purchasing until 2024. Jason stated, I put the department on the KHP list which does not obligate us but we are $80^{\text {th }}$ on the list. Next year KHP will retire 245 vehicles and JR Audio vehicles are available next March. Mayor Thompson asked, what vehicle are you looking to replace? Jason stated, Unit 9 will be the next unit up for replacement; however, if we get COPS grant, we will transfer to unit to that officer which would be an addition to fleet. Commissioner Redlin asked, what is cost of vehicle from Ford? Jason stated, $\$ 41,250$ then equipment to outfit vehicle. Commissioner Redlin asked, why is Ford not producing and units? Jason stated, when we ordered last two vehicles it took a year to get them because they were so far behind and now they have a strike. They have an issue with receiving the chips. A Chevy Tahoe costs upper $\$ 50,000$ but I prefer not to mismatch. Commissioner Redlin asked, what vehicle does KHP use? Jason stated. Dodge Durango and some Ford Interceptor's, but those will be gone by end of year. Commissioner Showalter asked, will you stick with Dodge going forward if we get one from KHP in 2024 or will you go back to Ford? Jason stated, it will depend on what is available. Commissioner Showalter asked, does it concern you not to have a Dodge dealer in town for maintenance? Jason stated, we would have to take to Colby for warranty work but we have Levi on staff for rest of maintenance. I do not intend to continue with Dodge but have to see how it works for us as to whether we go back to Ford. Commissioner Showalter stated, I like using KHP so we do not have to buy new equipment. Jason stated, with Fords they change units every year so we have to purchase equipment every couple years. Consensus
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of commission is keep name on list for KHP vehicles next year and see how they work. Jason stated, that is my thinking, he will call us when our name comes up.

## REPORTS

A. City Manager - 1. Manager memo is in the packet. 2. September month end financial report and police activity reports are in the packet. There is a chart of calls for service showing difference in years. 3. Jake is working on the Community Matters contract, then they will meet with each of you on housing and codes to form a background for joint meeting of City and Planning Commission. If there is a better time to contact you let me know, but should get call within two weeks. 4. In November we will have an invitation for bid on Caldwell Street project with Cost Share Grant. The project plans and specifications were forwarded to KDOT for approval. We also should receive the agreement for the Base Grant project in the Industrial Park soon. We are waiting for the contract agreement from the State to let project for bid. We continue to contact them on regular basis. Once we receive contract, EBH can submit plans to KDHE and KDOT for approval. Andrew Brunner, EBH Engineer stated, I plan to go ahead and get permits from KDHE so we can move forward once contract is received. Kent stated, if receive contract soon, both projects can be let for bid in November for bid opening in December. On the Industrial Park project, the water and sewer work can be done over winter. 5. Standpipe project is scheduled for next week, they had a delay. They are draining tower today. Kent stated, project should take a week or a little more once start.
B. City Commissioners

Vice-Mayor Howard - 1. No Report
Commissioner Showalter - 1. No Report
Commissioner Myers - 1. No Report
Commissioner Redlin - 1. No Report
C. Mayor Thompson- 1. No Report

## EXECUTIVE SESSION -

A. EXECUTIVE SESSION - Under the Authority of KSA 75-4319 (b) (1) for personnel matters of non-elected personnel - Mayor Thompson made a motion at 5:35 p.m. to recess into executive session under authority of K.S.A.75-4319 (b) (1) to discuss personnel matters of non-elected personnel not to exceed ten minutes. I request only City Commission be present. Commissioner Showalter seconded the motion. MOTION carried by a VOTE of 5-0. Meeting resumed at 5:45 p.m.

ADJOURNMENT WAS HAD ON A MOTION BY Commissioner Redlin seconded by Commissioner Showalter. Motion carried by unanimous VOTE, meeting adjourned at 5:45 p.m. Next meeting is scheduled for November 6, 2023.

## ATTEST:

[^0]Mary P. Volk, City Clerk

## GOODLAND CITY COMMISSION <br> Special Commission Meeting

Mayor Aaron Thompson called the meeting to order with Vice-Mayor J. J. Howard, Commissioner Jason Showalter, Commissioner Ann Myers and Commissioner Brook Redlin responding to roll call.

Also present from the City were Jason Erhart - Interim Police Chief, Crystal VanVleet - Payroll/Human Resources, and Kent Brown - City Manager.

## Mayor Thompson led Pledge of Allegiance

## EXECUTIVE SESSION

A. EXECUTIVE SESSION - Under the Authority of KSA 75-4319 (b) (1) for personnel matters of non-elected personnel - Mayor Thompson made a motion at 5:01 p.m. to recess into executive session under authority of K.S.A.75-4319 (b) (1) to discuss personnel matters of non-elected personnel not to exceed sixty minutes. I request the City Commission, City Manager, Interim Police Chief and Payroll/Human Resources be present. Commissioner Redlin seconded the motion. MOTION carried by a VOTE of 5-0. Meeting resumed at 6:01 p.m.

Mayor Thompson made a second motion at 6:05 p.m. to recess into executive session under authority of K.S.A.75-4319 (b) (1) to discuss personnel matters of non-elected personnel not to exceed fifteen minutes. I request the City Commission, City Manager, Interim Police Chief and Payroll/Human Resources be present. Commissioner Redlin seconded the motion. MOTION carried by a VOTE of 5-0. Meeting resumed at 6:20 p.m.

Mayor Thompson made a third motion at $6: 20$ p.m. to recess into executive session under authority of K.S.A.75-4319 (b) (1) to discuss personnel matters of non-elected personnel not to exceed ten minutes. I request the City Commission and City Manager be present. Vice-Mayor Howard seconded the motion. MOTION carried by a VOTE of 5-0. Meeting resumed at 6:30 p.m.

ADJOURNMENT WAS HAD ON A MOTION Commissioner Redlin seconded by Commissioner Showalter. Motion carried by unanimous VOTE, meeting Adjourned at 6:30 p.m.

ATTEST:
Aaron Thompson, Mayor
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| N | 9.90 | 3045813 | $10 / 27 / 23$ | E |
| N | 116.84 | 3045813 | $10 / 27 / 23$ | E |
| N | 137.85 | 3045813 | $10 / 27 / 23$ | E |
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211 FARM PLAN
1 7/28/23 CUSHIONS/\#21
SCREW \& INSOLATOR
BOOM NOZZLE
SCREW/\#71C MOWER
BOOM NOZZLE/RANGER SPRAYER
SEAL \& BEARING CONE
3/8" COUPLER, FLAT FACE (RETURN
1/2" FLAT FACE COUPLER/RETURN
DIPSTICK/JD MOWER 2006-02
LOCK KIT/\#21

FARM PLAN
2201 FASTENAL COMPANY
1 10/16/23
TOOL BIN
FASTENAL COMPANY
11-11-3120

46-01-5050 13-01-505

11-11-3020 11-11-3020 ANGIE DRILL

GRAINGER
3610 GUYER, JONI R.
$111 / 01 / 23$
CEMETERY CARE/NOVEMBER 2023
GUYER, JONI R.
3729 GWORK
1 10/10/23
50 USERS
GWORK
11-19-2140

15-44-3060
$21-42-3120$
$11-11-4050$
$15-40-3020$
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--------102.19
$\qquad$
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\text { M } \quad 3916.66
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M

$$
3916.66
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158.00
----------158.00
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15-44-3130
1600.00
--------1600.00
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21-42-3050 1 9/18/23 2XCLOSE NIPPLE /RETURNED
1 10/02/23 $20111 \quad 5 / 8 " \mathrm{X} \mathrm{3/4"} \mathrm{X3/4"} \mathrm{YOKE} \mathrm{ADAPTER}$
1969 CREDIT/3/4" YOKE ADAPTER X 10
6" MJ 1/8 BEND
6"X1.5" TAP SADDLES
6" X 2" TAP SADDLES
1 10/12/23 METER CPLS 3/4" STRAIGHT COUPLINGS X 8
1 10/27/23 METER COUP 3/4 STRAIGHT COUPLINGS CTS
CREDIT 3/4" METER COUPLINGS
HYMAX 6" GRIP/RETURNED

CREDIT/HYMAX 6" GRIPD
2" X CLOSE RED BRASS NIPPLEX7
2" X CLOSE RED BRASS/RETURNED
6" HYMAX BOLTED COUPLINGS
1.5" STRAIGHT COUPLIN CTS/MIP

24" FLAT CI METER W/7"METER
REPAIR KITS BACKFLOW PREVENTOR
1" METER YOKE ADAPTERS X 20
SALINA SUPPLY COMPANY
9.95
85.27

67324 11/06/23

| 132.02 | 67326 | $11 / 06 / 23$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 340.59 | 67326 | $11 / 06 / 23$ |
| $340.59-$ | 67326 | $11 / 06 / 23$ |
| 167.57 | 67326 | $11 / 06 / 23$ |
| 217.18 | 67326 | $11 / 06 / 23$ |
| 217.82 | 67326 | $11 / 06 / 23$ |
| 284.91 | 67326 | $11 / 06 / 23$ |
| 71.23 | 67326 | $11 / 06 / 23$ |
| $284.91-$ | 67326 | $11 / 06 / 23$ |
| 2360.11 | 67326 | $11 / 06 / 23$ |
| $2240.91-$ | 67326 | $11 / 06 / 23$ |
| 173.74 | 67326 | $11 / 06 / 23$ |
| 74.46 | 67326 | $11 / 06 / 23$ |
| 1752.43 | 67326 | $11 / 06 / 23$ |
| 651.55 | 67326 | $11 / 06 / 23$ |
| 1411.32 | 67326 | $11 / 06 / 23$ |
| 1055.41 | 67326 | $11 / 06 / 23$ |
| 585.52 | 67326 | $11 / 06 / 23$ |

$\qquad$
1500.00
INVOICE NO LN DATE PO NO REFERENCE

| 413 SCHLOSSER, INC. |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11042 | 1 10/10/23 | MAIN/BROADWAY | ALley | 11-11-4050 | 803.25 |
| 11057 | $110 / 11 / 23$ | CONCRETE/MAIN | BROADWAY ALLEY | 11-11-4050 | 785.00 |
| 11064 | $110 / 12 / 23$ | COLE PROJECT |  | 15-42-3050 | 111.73 |
| 11083 | $110 / 17 / 23$ | CONCRETE/MAIN | BROADWAY ALLEY | 11-11-4050 | 1109.25 |
| 11094 | 1 10/19/23 | CONCRETE/MAIN | Broadway Alley | 11-11-4050 | 688.50 |
| 11123 | $110 / 23 / 23$ | CONCRETE/MAIN | BROADWAY ALLEY | 11-11-4050 | 1101.00 |
| 11127 | 1 10/24/23 | CONCRETE/MAIN | BROADWAY ALLEY | 11-11-4050 | 489.00 |
| 11128 | $110 / 24 / 23$ | CONCRETE/MAIN | Broadway Alley | 11-11-4050 | 765.00 |
|  | SCHLOSSER, INC. |  |  |  | 6307.73 |

## 421 SHARE CORPORATION

248631
1 10/11/23 2026
COMMANDER DEGREASER
15-40-3040
987.25

293176
293672
293830
293845
293846
293876
293935
293964
294053
294142
294848
294974
295054
295123
295138
295532
295613
295869
295940
296056
296068
296120
296123
296178
296213
296215
296517
296517
296604
296702
296702
296831
296841

SHARE CORPORATION
427 SHORES NAPA

| 9/26/23 | SAND PAD | 15-42-3120 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9/26/23 | ALGAE REMOVER/CHAMBERS FOUNTAI | 11-15-3120 |
| $19 / 27 / 23$ | SAFETY GRIT TAPE | 15-40-2310 |
| $19 / 27 / 23$ | OUTLETS | 11-15-3120 |
| $19 / 27 / 23$ | PAINT BRUSHES, MARKERS | 15-42-3120 |
| $19 / 27 / 23$ | AIR FILTER | 11-11-3060 |
| $19 / 27 / 23$ | ADAPTER BEARING | 15-40-3060 |
| $19 / 28 / 23$ | TRASH BAGS | 11-11-3120 |
| $19 / 28 / 23$ | NUTS | 21-42-3050 |
| $19 / 29 / 23$ | KNIFE | 15-42-3020 |
| 1 10/03/23 | BATTERY/\#74 | 11-11-3170 |
| 1 10/06/23 | FUSE | 15-42-3120 |
| 1 10/09/23 | FILTER, ATP PLAT KIT/\#34 \& 38 | 21-40-3060 |
| 1 10/09/23 | FUSE | 15-42-3120 |
| 1 10/10/23 | IDLER PULLEY/\#6 PD | 11-03-3170 |
| 1 10/10/23 | FLASHER/\#9 | 11-11-3170 |
| $110 / 12 / 23$ | Step BIT | 11-11-3020 |
| 1 10/13/23 | ANCHORS | 11-17-3120 |
| 1 10/17/23 | ANCHOR | 15-42-3120 |
| 1 10/17/23 | Street elbow/booster Pump spri | 11-23-3060 |
| 1 10/18/23 | SCREWS/DOOR REPAIR | 11-11-3030 |
| 1 10/18/23 | CUT OFF Wheels x 10 | 21-42-3120 |
| 1 10/18/23 | BOLTS, WASHERS, NUTS | 15-42-3120 |
| 1 10/18/23 | BOLTS, WASHERS, NUTS | 15-42-3120 |
| 1 10/19/23 | WATER WELD | 23-41-3120 |
| 1 10/19/23 | 10 PC PRO SNAP BLADE | 11-11-3030 |
| 1 10/19/23 | TAP SCREW/DOOR REPAIR | 11-11-3030 |
| 1 10/23/23 | HARD HATS X 4 | 11-15-3160 |
| 2 10/23/23 | HARD HATS X 14 | 11-11-2310 |
| 1 10/23/23 | BACKER ROD, BRICK CHISEL | 23-41-3120 |
| 1 10/24/23 | TIDE | 11-11-3160 |
| 2 10/24/23 | BOLTS | 11-11-3030 |
| 1 10/25/23 | BUNGEE CORDS \& SHIPPING TAPE | 11-15-3120 |
| $110 / 25 / 23$ | ADAPTER \& ELBOW | 23-41-3120 |
| 1 10/25/23 | ADAPTER \& ELBOW | 23-41-3120 |

987.25
32.68
19.99
26.61
10.89
269.54
75.98
32.47
2.67 $\quad 67332$ 11/06/23
$2.67 \quad 6733211 / 06 / 23$
$66.73 \quad 67332$ 11/06/23
$17.99 \quad 67332$ 11/06/23
$63.19 \quad 67332$ 11/06/23
$42.60 \quad 67332$ 11/06/23
$11.84 \quad 6733211 / 06 / 23$
$11.84 \quad 67332$ 11/06/23
$6.49 \quad 67332 \quad 11 / 06 / 23$
$347.76-67332 \quad 11 / 06 / 23$
$10.99 \quad 67332$ 11/06/23
$40.70 \quad 67332$ 11/06/23
$\begin{array}{rrr}10.97 & 67332 & 11 / 06 / 23 \\ 3.48 & 67332 & 11 / 06 / 23\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{ll}3.48 & 67332 \quad 11 / 06 / 23\end{array}$

67329 11/06/23

67332 11/06/23
$2.67 \quad 6733211 / 06 / 23$

67332 11/06/23
$3.40 \quad 6733211 / 06 / 23$
$7.59 \quad 67332 \quad 11 / 06 / 23$
$64.76 \quad 67332$ 11/06/23
9.08 $6733211 / 06 / 23$
$\begin{array}{rr}9.08 & 6733211 / 06 / 23 \\ 60.72 & 6733211 / 06 / 23\end{array}$
$20.57 \quad 6733211 / 06 / 23$
67328 11/06/23 67328 11/06/23 67328 11/06/23 67328 11/06/23 67328 11/06/23 67328 11/06/23 67328 11/06/23 67328 11/06/23



## PAYROLI

11-00-0011
11-00-0001
15-00-0011
15-00-0011
15-00-0001
21-00-0011
21-00-0001
23-00-0011
11-00-0011
11-00-0001
15-00-0011
15-00-0001
21-00-0011
21-00-0011 21-00-0001 23-00-0011 23-00-0001 11-00-0011 11-00-0001 15-00-0011 15-00-0001 21-00-0011 21-00-0001 23-00-0011 23-00-0011 23-00-0001 11-00-0011 11-00-0001 15-00-0011 21-00-0011 21-00-0001 23-00-0011 23-00-0001 07-01-5030 07-00-0001 07-00-0001 07-01-5030 07-00-0001 15-00-0001 45-01-1050 45-00-0001 45-01-1050 45-00-0001

GENERAL EMP TAX A/P GENERAL OPERATING CASH ELECTRIC EMP TAX A/P ELECTRIC CASH
WATER EMP TAX A/P
WATER CASH
SEWER EMP TAX A/P SEWER CASH
GENERAL EMP TAX A/P general operating cash
ELECTRIC EMP TAX A/P
ELECTRIC CASH
WATER EMP TAX A/P
WATER CASH
SEWER EMP TAX A/P
SEWER CASH
GENERAL EMP TAX A/P
general operating cash
ELECTRIC EMP TAX A/P
ELECTRIC CASH
WATER EMP TAX A/P
WATER CASH
SEWER EMP TAX A/P
SEWER EMP TAX
SEWER CASH
GENERAL EMP TAX A/P
GENERAL OPERATING CASH ELECTRIC EMP TAX A/P
ELECTRIC CASH
WATER EMP TAX A/P
WATER CASH
SEWER EMP TAX A/P
SEWER CASH
SELF INSUR BCBS STOP LOSS PYMT STOP LOSS $10 / 17$
SELF INSUR CASH
SELF INSUR BCBS STOP LOSS PYMT STOP LOSS10/24 SELF INSUR CASH STOP LOSS PYMT STOP LOSSIO/24 ELECTRIC A/C PAYABLE LECTRIC CASH
EMP BENEFIT HEALTH/ACC INSUR EMP BENEFITS CASH emp Benefit health/Acc INSUR EMP BENEFITS CASH

STATE TAX SEW
SS/MED EMPE GEN SS/MED EMPE GEN SS/MED EMPE ELE SS/MED EMPE ELE SS/MED EMPE ELE SS/MED EMPE WAT SS/MED EMPE WAT
SS/MED EMPE SEW SS/MED EMPE SEW SS/MED EMPE SEW SS/MED EMPR GEN SS/MED EMPR GEN SS/MED EMPR ELE SS/MED EMPR ELE SS/MED EMPR WAT SS/MED EMPR WAT S/MED EMPR WAT S/MED EMPR SEW SE/MED EMPR FED TAX GEN FED TAX GEN FED TAX ELE FED TAX ELE FED TAX WAT FED TAX WAT FED TAX SEW FED TAX SEW StATE TAX GEN STATE TAX GEN State tax ele State tax ele STATE TAX WAT STATE TAX WAT STATE TAX SE GWORKS CC GWORKS CC COBRA HAYES COBRA HAYES SI COBRA HAYES
SI COBRA HAYES

4,030.07
2,360. 90
454.44
301.92

4,030.07
2,360.90
454.44
301.92

3,961.55
2,106.10
457.78
190.46

2,090.84
1,324.97
243.74
156.90
$11,318.76$

5,398.73
3,419.93
279.15
364.68

45,608. 25
Journal Total :
45,608. 25
** Report Total **
45,608.25

4,030.07 1
2,360.90
454.44
$301.92 \quad 1$
4,030.07 1
2,360.90 $\quad 1$
454.44
$301.92 \quad 1$
3,961.55 $\quad 1$
2,106.10 1
$457.78 \quad 1$
190.46

2,090.84 $\quad 1$
1,324.97
$243.74 \quad 1$
$156.90 \quad 1$
11,318.76
5,398.73 $\quad 1$
3,419.93
279.15 1
$364.68 \quad 1$

| FUND | NAME | DEBITS | CREDITS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 07 | SELF INSURANCE | 16,717.49 | 16,717.49 |
| 11 | GENERAL | 14,112.53 | 14,112.53 |
| 15 | ELECTRIC UTILITY | 11,572.80 | 11,572.80 |
| 21 | WATER UTILITY | 1,610.40 | 1,610.40 |
| 23 | SEWER UTILITY | 951.20 | 951.20 |
| 45 | EMPLOYEE BENEFIT | 643.83 | 643.83 |
|  | TOTALS | 45,608.25 | 45,608.25 |

** Transactions affected cash may need to be entered in Bank Rec! *
** Review transactions that have a number in the Bank \# column.


## PAYROLL REGISTER

## ORDINANCE \#2023-P21

## 10/27/2023

| DEPARTMENT | GROSS PAY |
| :--- | ---: |
|  | $53,472.99$ |
| GENERAL | $31,607.46$ |
| ELECTRIC | $6,097.74$ |
| WATER | $3,960.11$ |
| SEWER | $95,138.30$ |

PASSED AND SIGNED THIS $\qquad$ DAY OF

2023

City of Goodland
204 W. $11^{\text {To }}$ ST. $^{\text {St }}$
PO Box 59
Goodland, Kansas 67735

November 1, 2023
Mayor Thompson \& City Commissioners:
This is to notify the Commission that City staff is applying for the Kansas Department of Transportation for a Public Transportation Assistance Grant (U.S.C. 49-5311 Funding SFY 2025) for the General Transportation Van. The grant will assist with the funding of the City's transportation program for our community for the period of July 2024 to June 2025.

The City's general public transportation van is a great asset to our community and benefits not only the elderly, but those who are disabled and handicapped, as well as the general public. The Commission's continued support of the program is a great value to the residents of Goodland.

Sincerely,


Sarah Scheopner
Accounts Payable

## Vacant lots owned by the City

| Boundary description | Size of Lot | City | Water Main | Sewer Main | Electricity | Streets | Zoning Regulations |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Residential |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ROSEWOOD ADDN , BLOCK 10 , Lot 1. | 14000 s.f. | Goodland | yes | yes | no service line | 00000 SPRUCE RD - <br> Spruce/Main | R-1 |
| USD 352 1ST ADDN. , ACRES 1.1, ALL BLOCK 2. | 1.1 acres | Goodland | no | no | no | 00000 <br> KANSAS AVE - <br> 2nd/Kansas west | R-1 |
| USD 352 1ST ADDN. , ACRES 1.1, ALL BLOCK 1 | 1.1 acres | Goodland | no | no | no | 00000 <br> KANSAS AVE - <br> 2nd/Kansas closer | R-1 |
| 2ND ADDN TO GOODLAND, BLOCK 20 , Lot 10-12. | 10500 s.f. | Goodland | yes | yes | no service line | 326 <br> SHERMAN <br> AVE, | R-1 |
| FIRST ADDN TO GOODLAND, BLOCK 17, Lot 4-6 | 10500 s.f. | Goodland | yes | yes | no | 00000 10TH ST 10th/Colorado | R-1 |
| FIRST ADDN TO GOODLAND, BLOCK 17, Lot 1-3 | 10500 s.f. | Goodland | yes | yes | no | 00000 10TH ST 10th/Colorado | R-1 |
| GOODLAND CITY TRACTS, BEG 1084(S) E \& 75 S NW COR NW4 TH E 243 TH S 230(S) TH W 243 TH N 230(S) TO POB SECTION 29 TOWNSHIP 08 RANGE 39. | 55890 s.f. | Goodland | yes | no | no service line | 611 E HWY 24 | C-1 |

Industrial

| GOODLAND <br> INDUSTRIAL PARK, <br> BLOCK 4, Lot 4, <br> ACRES 2.15 | 2.15 acres | Goodland | no | no | no | 00000 CO RD - <br> 16th/Industrial | I-2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GOODLAND INDUSTRIAL PARK, BLOCK 4, Lot 2 - 3, ACRES 4.5 | 4.5 acres | Goodland | no | no | no | 00000 CO RD - <br> 16th/Industrial | I-2 |
| GOODLAND <br> INDUSTRIAL PARK, <br> BLOCK 4, Lot 1, ACRES 2.3. | 2.3 acres | Goodland | no | no | no | 00000 CO RD 16th/Industrial northwest corner | 1-2 |


| GOODLAND <br> INDUSTRIAL PARK, <br> BLOCK 3, ACRES 8.6, |  |  |  |  |  | 00000 CO RD - <br> 16th/Industrial - <br> LOTS 1-2 \& $7-8$ | 8.6 acres |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | Goodland | no |
| :--- |

## Community Emergency Access and Information Program (This is for Goodland, KS and Sherman County Area residents only)

This is a program for the elderly and/or disabled people in Sherman County Kansas. We offer a lock box to be placed on the outside of your house. This box would hold a key to your house so that emergency personnel can get into your house, to help you, in the event of an emergency. This would prevent you from leaving your house unlocked or hiding a key outside your house. This lock box would only be used by emergency personnel in the event of an emergency. (If Law Enforcement, EMS or Fire is paged to your house and you are unable to answer the door, they could contact Dispatch and get the code to the box. They could then get the key to come in to check on you or help you.) These will be for emergency personnel only in the event of an emergency. These are not for personal use.

This program also would help you set up a Vial of Life to keep on your refrigerator. This is documents that contain things such as: Your name, Doctors name, emergency contact information, health issues, allergies, medications and any advanced directives or DNR.

The lock boxes will be placed on the house for you. They will be placed at the residences of qualifying persons only. There will be someone to help you fill out the Vial of Life if needed. The person assisting you with the Vial of Life will help you or explain to you where it needs to kept and why.

This form can be filled out for yourself or for someone that you know that would benefit from this service.

Your information will not be shared or sold.

1. Name

AGENDA ITEM
CITY COMMISSION COMMUNICATION FORM

## FROM: Zach Hildebrand, Building Official

DATE: November 6, 2023
ITEM: Ordinance 1766 - Adopt the 2018 Edition of the International Building Code (IBC) and 2018 Edition of the International Existing Building Code (IEBC)

## NEXT STEP: Motion to Approve

| X_ORDINANCE |
| :--- |
| _ MOTION |
| $\quad$ INFORMATION |

## I. REQUEST OR ISSUE:

The Construction Advisory Board of Trades and Appeals (CABT) recommends that the City Commission adopt the 2018 Edition of the International Building Code (IBC), the 2018 International Existing Building Code (IEBC) and make the appropriate changes to the Goodland City Code. Ordinance 1766 is attached for the Commission's consideration.
II. RECOMMENDED ACTION / NEXT STEP:

Motion to approve the proposed Ordinance
III. FISCAL IMPACTS:

None
IV. BACKGROUND INFORMATION:

At the last CABT meeting on November 1, 2023, Building Official Hildebrand and the board members discussed adopting the (IBC) 2018 International Building Code Book and the (IEBC) International Existing Building Code Book for the City of Goodland, with amendments to be made.

Article 105.1.1 Annual Permit. Repealed. The Board feels there is not enough consistent work to do an annual permit.
It states in Article 105.2 Work exempt from a permit:
Exception \#1 One-story detached accessory structures used as tool and storage sheds, playhouses and similar uses, provided the floor area is not greater than 120 square feet. ( 13.94 m 2 ). The board would like to make the allowable size 150 square feet.
Exception \#2 Fences not over 7 feet ( 2134 mm ) high. The board would like to repeal this due to all fences needing to have a permit pulled within the City of Goodland.

Exception \#6 Sidewalks and driveways not more than 30 inches ( 762 mm )
above adjacent grade, and not over any basement or story below and are not part of an accessible route. The board would like to repeal this as well due to all sidewalks and driveways requiring a permit within the City of Goodland.
Article 109.6 Fee schedule. The board feels as though the fee schedule already set fourth within the City of Goodland current code is sufficient.

Article 109.6 Fee refunds. The board felt as there is no reason to have a fee refund.

Article 114.4 Violation penalties. This section will refer to the current violation penalties that have already been established in Chapter 4 Article II Section 4-202 of the City of Goodland Code.

The rest of the book was reviewed and determined to be okay as is. The CABT approved a motion to recommend to the City Commission to adopt the 2018 International Building Code Book, the 2018 International Existing Building Code Book and make the appropriate changes to the Goodland City Code.

City staff has reviewed further the correct format from ICC for the proposed ordinance to be presented and Jake Kling has approved the resulting ordinance as well.

ORDINANCE NO. 1766

## AN ORDINANCE ADOPTING AND AMENDING THE 2018 BUILDING CODE BOOK, 2018 INTERNATIONAL EXISTING BUILDING CODE BOOK, AMENDING CHAPTER 4 ARTICLE II SECTION 4-201 AND CHAPTER 4 ARTICLE II SECTION 4-207 OF THE CITY CODE FOR THE CITY OF GOODLAND, KANSAS.

WHEREAS, the City of Goodland Construction Board has recommended to approve adopting and amending the 2018 International Building Code book and the 2018 International Existing Building Code book to update the City's current code which is the 1997 version of the Uniform Building Code book.

WHEREAS, the Governing Body finds it is in the best interest of the City to adopt the 2018 International Building Code book and 2018 International Existing Building Code book for the City of Goodland

## NOW THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED BY THE GOVERNING BODY OF THE CITY OF GOODLAND, KANSAS:

SECTION 1. The City of Goodland Code Section 4-201 is amended as follows:
4-201 (1) 2018 INTERNATIONAL BUILDING CODE not including chapter 9 or appendixes $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{D}, \mathrm{L}$ and M is hereby adopted by the City of Goodland for the purpose of establishing rules, regulations and minimum requirements to provide a reasonable level of safety, public health and general welfare through structural strength, means of egress facilities, stability, sanitation, adequate light and ventilation, energy conservation, and safety to life and property from fire, explosion and other hazards, and to provide a reasonable level of safety to firefighters and emergency responders during emergency operations, including the issuance of permits and providing a penalty for violation thereof, that certain building code known as the "International Building Code", Edition of 2018, including all Appendix Chapters, prepared and published in book form by the International Code Council, Incorporated, 4051 Flossmoor Road, Country Club Hills, Illinois 60478, to be known as the Building Code of the City of Goodland, Kansas, save and except such articles, sections, parts, or portions as are hereafter omitted, deleted, modified, or changed. One official copy of said building code shall be filed with the city clerk to be open to inspection and available to the public at all reasonable hours.

4-201 (2) 2018 INTERNATIONAL EXISTING BUILDING CODE is hereby adopted for the purpose of establishing the minimum requirements for existing buildings using prescriptive and performance-related provisions to encourage the use and reuse of existing buildings while requiring reasonable upgrades and improvements while adequately protecting the public health, safety and welfare; provisions that do not unnecessarily increase construction costs; provisions that do not restrict the use of new materials, products or methods of construction; and provisions that do not give preferential treatment to particular types or classes of materials, products or methods of construction.

4-207.1 AMENDMENTS AND OMISSIONS TO THE 2018 INTERNATIONAL BUILDING CODE. The following sections of the Building Codes adopted by references in this article are hereby amended or deleted as follows:
a) INTERNATIONAL BUILDING CODE 101.1 Title. Amended to read as follows: These regulations shall be known as the Building Code of the City of Goodland hereinafter referred to as "this code."
b) INTERNATIONAL BUILDING CODE 105.1.1 Annual permit. Repealed.
c) INTERNATIONAL BUILDING CODE 105.2 Exception \#1 amended to read as follows: One-story detached accessory structures used as tool and storage sheds, playhouses and similar uses, provided the floor area is not greater than 150 square feet. ( $13.94 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ ).
d) INTERNATIONAL BUILDING CODE 105.2 Exception \#2. Repealed.
e) INTERNATIONAL BUILDING CODE 105.2 Exception \#6. Repealed.
f) INTERNATIONAL BUILDING CODE 109.2 Fee schedule. Amended to read as follows: The fees for all construction, enlarging, repairs, alterations, additions, moving, or demolishing work shall be as indicated in the following schedule:

| Item | Fees |
| :--- | :--- |
| Residential Fences $\ldots .$. | $\$ 15.00$ |
| Residential Roofing .... | $\$ 15.00$ |
| Total Valuation | $\$ 15.00$ |
| $\$ 1.00$ to $\$ 500.00$ | $\$ 15.00$ for the first $\$ 500.00$ plus $\$ 1.50$ for <br> each additional $\$ 100.00$ or fraction <br> thereof, up to and including $\$ 2,000.00$. |
| $\$ 501.00$ to $\$ 2,000.00$ | $\$ 37.50$ for the first $\$ 2,000.00$ plus $\$ 6.00$ <br> for each additional $\$ 1,000.00$ or fraction <br> thereof, up to and including $\$ 25,000.00$. |
| $\$ 2,001.00$ to $\$ 25,000.00$ | $\$ 175.50$ for the first $\$ 25,000.00$ plus <br> $\$ 4.50$ for each additional $\$ 1,000.00$ or |
| $\$ 25,001.00$ to $\$ 50,000.00$ | $\$ 50,000.00$. |
| $\$ 50,001.00$ to $\$ 100,000.00$ | $\$ 288.00$ for the first $\$ 50,000.00$ plus <br> $\$ 3.00$ for each additional $\$ 1,000.00$ or <br> fraction thereof, up to and including <br> $\$ 100,000.00$. |
| $\$ 100,001.00$ to $\$ 500,000.00$ | $\$ 438.00$ for the first $\$ 100,000.00$ <br> plus $\$ 2.25$ for each additional $\$ 1,000.00$ <br> or fraction thereof, up to and including <br> $\$ 500,000.00$. |


| $\$ 500,001.00$ to $\$ 1,000,000.00$ | $\$ 1,338.00$ for the first $\$ 500,000.00$ plus <br>  <br> $\$ 1.50$ for each additional $\$ 1,000.00$ or <br> fraction thereof, up to and including <br> $\$ 1,000,000.00$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\$ 1,000,001.00$ and up | $\$ 2,088.00$ for the first $\$ 1,000,000.00$ plus <br> $\$ 1.50$ for each additional $\$ 1,000.00$ or <br> fraction thereof. |

g) INTERNATIONAL BUILDING CODE 109.6 Fee refunds. Repealed.
h) INTERNATIONAL BUILDING CODE 114.4 Violation penalties. Amended to read as follows: Refer to Chapter 4 Article II Section 4-202 of the City of Goodland Code.

## SECTION 2.

The City of Goodland Code Section 4-207.2 Repealed
The City of Goodland Code Section 4-207.3 Repealed
The City of Goodland Code Section 4-207.4 Repealed
The City of Goodland Code Section 4-207.5 Repealed
The City of Goodland Code Section 4-207.6 Repealed
The City of Goodland Code Section 4-207.7 Repealed
The City of Goodland Code Section 4-208.4 amended to read as follows: All new residential basements shall have at least one egress window installed in each habitable room

The City of Goodland Code amended to read as follows: When foundation designs are used other than those specified in the International Building Code, the plan shall be sealed by a licensed structural engineer licensed in the State of Kansas. All foundations must be accompanied by cross sections showing all reinforcement and other details at each exchange in foundation design.

The City of Goodland Code Section 4-208.6 Repealed

SECTION 3. This ordinance shall be in force and take effect after its publication in the Goodland Star News.

PASSED AND ADOPTED this $6^{\text {th }}$ day of November, 2023, by the Governing Body of the City of Goodland, Kansas.

Aaron Thompson, Mayor
ATTEST:

Mary P. Volk, City Clerk

## FROM: Zach Hildebrand, Building Official

## DATE: $\quad$ November 6, 2022

ITEM: Ordinance 1767-Adopt the 2018 Edition of the International Property Maintenance Code Book (IPMC)

## NEXT STEP: Motion to Approve

## X ORDINANCE

 MOTIONINFORMATION

## I. REQUEST OR ISSUE:

The Construction Advisory Board of Trades and Appeals (CABT) recommends that the City Commission adopt the 2018 Edition of the International Property Maintenance Code Book (IPMC) to update the current city code 1997 Uniform Housing Code. Ordinance 1767 is attached for the Commission's consideration.

## II. RECOMMENDED ACTION / NEXT STEP:

Motion to approve the proposed Ordinance

## III. FISCAL IMPACTS:

None

## IV. BACKGROUND INFORMATION:

At the last CABT meeting on November 1, 2023, Building Official Hildebrand and the board members discussed adopting the (IPMC) 2018 International Property Maintenance Code Book for the City of Goodland, with the following amendments to be made.
a) IPMC 101.1 Title. Amended as follows: These regulations shall be known as the International Property Maintenance Code of the City of Goodland, hereinafter referred to as "this code"
b) IPMC 103.5 Fees. Repealed.
c) IPMC 112.4 Failure to Comply. Amended to read as follows: Any person who shall continue any work having been served a stop work order, except such work as that a person is directed to perform to remove a violation or unsafe condition, shall be subject to a fine of not more than $\$ 500.00$. Every day the violation continues is deemed a separate offense.
d) IPMC 302.4 Weeds. Amended to read as follows: Premises and exterior property shall be maintained free from weeds or plant growth in excess of 8 inches. Noxious weeds shall be prohibited. Weeds shall be defined as all grasses, annual plants and vegetation, other than trees or shrubs provided; however, this term shall not include cultivated flowers and gardens. Refer to City of Goodland Code Chapter 7 Article 5 for abatement and penalties.
e) IPMC 304.14 Insect Screens. Amended to read as follows: During the period from January 1 to December 31, every door, window and other outside opening required for ventilation of habitable rooms, food preparation areas, food service areas or any areas where products to be included or utilized in food for human consumption are processed, manufactured, packaged or stored shall be supplied with approved tightly fitting screens of minimum 16 mesh per inch ( 16 mesh per 25 mm ), and every screen door used for insect control shall have a self-closing device in good working condition.
f) IPMC 602.3 Heat Supply. Amended to read as follows: Every owner and operator of any building who rents, leases or lets one or more dwelling units or sleeping units on terms, either expressed or implied, to furnish heat to the occupants thereof shall supply heat during the period from September 1 to May 1 to maintain a minimum temperature of $68^{\circ} \mathrm{F}\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ in all habitable rooms, bathrooms, and toilet rooms.
g) IPMC 602.4 Occupiable work spaces. Amended to read as follows: Indoor occupiable work spaces shall be supplied with heat during the period from September 1 to May 1 to maintain a minimum temperature of $65^{\circ} \mathrm{F}\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$.

The rest of the book was reviewed and determined to be okay as is. The CABT approved a motion to recommend to the City Commission to adopt the 2018 International Property Maintenance Code Book and make the appropriate changes to the Goodland City Code.

City staff has reviewed further the correct format from ICC for the proposed ordinance to be presented and Jake Kling has approved the resulting ordinance as well.

## ORDINANCE NO. 1767

## AN ORDINANCE ADOPTING AND AMENDING THE 2018 INTERNATIONAL PROPERTY MAINTEANCE CODE BOOK, AND AMENDING CHAPTER 7 ARTICLE IV FOR THE CITY OF GOODLAND, KANSAS.

WHEREAS, the City of Goodland Construction Board has recommended to approve adopting and amending the 2018 International Property Maintenance Code book not including Appendix A to update the City's current code from the 1997 Uniform Housing Code.

[^3]
## NOW THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED BY THE GOVERNING BODY OF THE CITY OF GOODLAND, KANSAS:

SECTION 1. The City of Goodland Code Chapter 7 Article 4 is amended to read as follows:
Article IV: Property Maintenance Code
7-401 Adoption of code incorporated. Amended to read as follows: There is hereby incorporated by the governing body of the city that certain uniform housing code known as the 2018 International Property Maintenance Code as compiled by the International Code Council and all supplements thereto of which not less than three copies have and are now filed in the office of the city clerk of the and the same are hereby adopted and incorporated as fully as if set out in length therein and from the date on which this code shall take effect, the provisions thereof shall be controlling on all dwellings and premises within the corporate limits of the city.

7-402 Amendments to Code is amended to read as follows:
2018 International Property Maintenance Code Section 101.1 Title. Amended as follows: These regulations shall be known as the International Property Maintenance Code of the City of Goodland, hereinafter referred to as "this code"

2018 International Property Maintenance Code Section 103.5 Fees. Repealed.
2018 International Property Maintenance Code Section 112.4 Failure to Comply. Amended to read as follows: Any person who shall continue any work having been served a stop work order, except such work as that a person is directed to perform to remove a violation or unsafe condition, shall be subject to a fine of not more than $\$ 500.00$. Every day the violation continues is deemed a separate offense.

2018 International Property Maintenance Code Section 302.4 Weeds. Amended to read as follows: Premises and exterior property shall be maintained free from weeds or plant growth in excess of 8 inches. Noxious weeds shall be prohibited. Weeds shall be defined as all grasses, annual plants and vegetation, other than trees or shrubs
provided; however, this term shall not include cultivated flowers and gardens. Refer to City of Goodland Code Chapter 7 Article 5 for abatement and penalties.

2018 International Property Maintenance Code Section 304.14 Insect Screens. Amended to read as follows: During the period from January 1 to December 31, every door, window and other outside opening required for ventilation of habitable rooms, food preparation areas, food service areas or any areas where products to be included or utilized in food for human consumption are processed, manufactured, packaged or stored shall be supplied with approved tightly fitting screens of minimum 16 mesh per inch ( 16 mesh per 25 mm ), and every screen door used for insect control shall have a self-closing device in good working condition.

2018 International Property Maintenance Code Section 602.3 Heat Supply. Amended to read as follows: Every owner and operator of any building who rents, leases or lets one or more dwelling units or sleeping units on terms, either expressed or implied, to furnish heat to the occupants thereof shall supply heat during the period from September 1 to May 1 to maintain a minimum temperature of $68^{\circ} \mathrm{F}\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ in all habitable rooms, bathrooms, and toilet rooms.

2018 International Property Maintenance Code Section 602.4 Occupiable work spaces. Amended to read as follows: Indoor occupiable work spaces shall be supplied with heat during the period from September 1 to May 1 to maintain a minimum temperature of $65^{\circ} \mathrm{F}\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$.

Section 7-403 City of Goodland Code is repealed.

SECTION 2. This ordinance shall be in force and take effect after its publication in the Goodland Star News.

PASSED AND ADOPTED this $6^{\text {st }}$ day of November, 2023, by the Governing Body of the City of Goodland, Kansas.

[^4]
## ATTEST:

Mary P. Volk, City Clerk

CITY COMMISSION COMMUNICATION FORM

## FROM: Felicity Jordan, Administrative Assistant

DATE: 11/06/2023

## ITEM: Review of Construction Board Applicant

NEXT STEP: Commission Motion
$\qquad$ ORDINANCE
$\qquad$ MOTION INFORMATION
I. REQUEST OR ISSUE: Please discuss the applicant who is interested in serving on our Goodland City Construction Board of Trades \& Appeals.
II. BACKGROUND INFORMATION: Aimee Kendrick has resided in Goodland for 2 years and is a realtor/day care provider. She is interested in improving childcare and bettering the trades within the City. Aimee would be filling the position vacated by Peyton Ortner (realtor).

## SUMMARY AND ALTERNATIVES:

Commission may take one of the following actions:

1. Approve the applicant as requested.
2. Reject the applicant and move to deny the request.

City of Goodland
204 W. 11th St.
P.O. Box 59

785-890-4532(F)
Goodland, KS 67735

Board and Commission Form
Please print clearly or type. Use additional sheets if necessary. Return form to the address above.

I am seeking:
(New Appointment
$\square$ Reappointment

Please indicate the Boards or Commissions in which you are interested:Airport BoardCemetery Board
又 Construction Board of Trades/AppealsLibrary BoardHousing Authority BoardParks \& Recreation/Tree BoardMuseum BoardPlanning Commission/BZAEconomic Dev./Tourism Board

Full Name: $\qquad$ Aimee Kendrick E-mail: $\qquad$
Street Address: $\qquad$ 201 willow Rd bocdland,ks 67735
Phone: Home $\qquad$ Cell 303-929-9521 Work $\qquad$ Years lived in Goodland: 2 Education: Degree in Biology, Associates
Occupation: Realtor / Childcare Employer: Self Business Address: 201 Willow Rd. Goodland, Ks 67735
Prior Appointed or Elected Offices held (if any): None

Please described any present or past community involvement: $\qquad$ I an currently tying to
ter clidcome solution.

Why would you like to serve? $\qquad$ trades that help our community insteced of take advantage of them.
Referred by (if any): $\qquad$ Cate Ely

Date $10123 / 2023$ Signature:


# CARNEGIE AR ${ }^{\circ} \overline{\|}^{\circ} S$ 

## GOODLAND ARTS COUNCIL, INC

PO BOX 526
GOODLAND, KANSAS 67735
(785) 890-6442 | GOODLANDARTS.ORG

October 16, 2023
City of Goodland
Kent Brown, City Manager
204 W. 11th
Goodland, KS 67735

Dear Members of the City Commission,
On behalf of The Goodland Arts Council and the community at large, I wish to express the sincerest thanks for providing the physical home for the Council and for gifting the use of the Carnegie Arts Center. In the historic library building, all are welcomed to interact with and create art in many forms. With the use of the building and the support of maintenance and utilities, we, the Council, are able to carry out our mission more readily.

The City and the Goodland Arts Council's lease agreement is currently up for renewal. Our express desire is to renew this lease for an additional five years under the same terms and conditions as previously agreed.

We are grateful for your past support and look to a future of continued support. Thank you for the tremendous gift to the community of Goodland.

Sincerely,
Yuihslaw quat
Nickolas Evert
President, Goodland Arts Council, Inc.


November 12, 2013

## City of Goodland

Doug Gerber, City Manager
204 W. $11^{\text {th }}$
Goodland, KS 67735

## Dear Members of the City Commission,

The Goodland Arts Council greatly appreciates your generosity in providing the Carnegie Arts Center a place to provide all forms of art for people of all ages for the community in which we live. This valuable service could not be accomplished if it were not for the building with maintenance and utilities received from the City of Goodland.

The lease between the City and the Goodland Arts Council is currently up for renewal. It is our desire to renew this lease for an additional five years under the same terms and conditions as previously agreed.

Again we thank you for your past support and look forward to continuing to work together to promote the arts in our community.

Sincerely,


Kay Younger, Director Goodland Arts Council

## Goodland Arts Council

## LEASE

THIS LEASE, made and entered into this $\qquad$ day of September, 2008. BY AND BETWEEN THE CITY OF GOODLAND, KANSAS A Municipal Corporation, hereinafter referred to as LANDLORD and the GOODLAND ARTS COUNCIL, a not for profit Kansas Corporation, hereinafter referred to as TENANT.

WITNESSTH that:

1. LANDLORD, in consideration of one dollar ( $\$ 1.00$ ), the $\$ 1.00$ receipt, of which is hereby acknowledged, and other valuable consideration, and in consideration of the full performance of the covenants as hereinafter set out, coes hereby lease and let to the TENANT the following described property situated in the City of Goodland, Kansas, towit:

All of the Carnegie Public Library, and the land whereon this building is situated, with said land being described as Lot 13, 14, 15, Block 66 of Original Town, subdivision to the City of Goodland, Kansas, Sherman County.
2. The term of this lease shall begin on the $7^{\text {th }}$ day of October 2008, at 12:01 a.m. and extend for a period of Five years. TENANT shall herein upon giving LANDLORD 30 days notice, have the right to four, five year renewal options. The first renewal option being exercisable on the $7^{\text {th }}$ day of October, 2013. The second renewal option to be in the year 2018; the third in the year 2023; the fourth in the year 2028 to end in the year 2033.
3. TENANT hereby agrees that the use of said premises shall be limited solely to those activities for which the Goodland Arts Council was formed, which includes, art exhibits, music lessons, meeting site for the TENANT, headquarters for the TENANT, a music and art research center, and other related activities. If TENANT ceases to use the premises for any other purpose than as set above, then the lease shall terminate forthwith, upon written notice to TENANT.
4. TENANT hereby agrees that it will not sublease said premises without the prior written conssent of the tand lord.
5. TENANT hereby agrees that it will make no structural alterations, nor remove any additions or improvements permanently affixed to the premises, without the prior written consent of the LANDLORD and the KANSAS STATE HISTORICAL SOCIETY.
6. TENTANT agrees that at the expiration of the time mentioned in this LEASE, TENANT will give peaceful possession of the premises to the LANDLORD, in as good condition, as they are now, or better, subject to future remodeling, normal wear and tear excepted.
7. TENANT agrees to keep the interior premises of this building neat and clean, and in good condition and repair. TENANT will provide for routine repair, painting, window repair, and maintenance of the interior and exterior of the building. TENANT shall be responsible for janitorial service. LANDLORD agrees to be responsible for all maintenance other than the above mentioned.
8. All alternations, additions and improvements, such as partitions, doors and floor covering made by TENANT which are permanently affixed to the building, shall become the property of LANDLORD, and shall remain in and be surrendered with the premises as a part thereof at the termination of this LEASE, without disturbance or injury.
9. TENANT shall have the right to remove all of TENANT'S personal property, fixtures, and office equipment, whether attached to the building or premises, provided such may be removed without any damage to the building or premises.
10. LANDLORD or its representatives shall have the right to enter the premises at all reasonable times to examine or to exhibit the same, or to make such additions or alterations as LANDLORD may deem to be desirable or necessary.
11. TENANT shall be liable to the LANDLORD for any loss or damage occasioned by any süch breach or failure to comply with any of the agreements orrecitats contained herein. Any waiver by LANDLORD of any default or of any breach by TENANT of any agreements or recitals herein shall not be construed as a waiver of any subsequent default or breach.
12. LANDLORD will pay the natural gas, water, electric, and sewer bill. The TENANT shall be responsible for telephone service and bills, and shall utilize utilities in a reasonable manner. LANDLORD shall also be responsible for upkeep of the grounds hereby leased to TENANT. Said upkeep shall include, but not be limited to, lawn mowing, leaf removal, tree maintenance and snow removal.
13. The TENANT hereby agrees that it will comply with all City Ordinances while this LEASE is in effect, regarding the use of said premises.
14. The TENANT will carry liability insurance and contents insurance. Said liability insurance shall be in the minimum amount of $\$ 100,000.00$ and the LANDLORD shall be described on the insurance policy as a named insured, and LANDLORD shall be furnished with proof of insurance for each policy in force. Said minimum amount may be required to be increased from time to time as exposure to both parties may change.
15. TENANT shall at all times indemnify and hold the LANDLORD harmless against all acfiöns, claims, demañds, costs, damages and expenses of every kinct which my be brought or made, arising from the negligence of the TENANT, its agents, employees, and invitees.
16. The LANDLORD will insure the building and premises for loss due to fire, and other perils in such an amount as the LANDLORD may determine with a minimum amount or \$100,00.00.
17. No signs may be erected without the prior written consent of the LANDLORD.
18. In the event the operations and activities of the TENANT become defunct for a period of one year, LANDLORD may cancel this lease by providing thirty (30) days written notice to the TENANT.

Should TENANT fail to perform all covenants and agreements contained herein, then LANDLORD may terminate this LEASE by giving notice to TENANT of the default. TENANT shall have thirty (30) days from the date of the notice to remedy the default and if so remedied this LEASE shall continue in full force and effect. If not so remedied, this LEASE shall terminate automatically at the end of said thirty (30) days period without further notice to TENANT.
19. If LANDLORD decides to sell the above-described real estate, then LANDLORD shall first offer said real estate to TENANT on the same terms as those LANDLORD is considering for the sale of said real estate. TENANT shall have thirty (30) days, from the date of said offer, to notify LANDLORD in writing, they will purchase said real estate on those terms. If TENANT does not so notify LANDLORD, then LANDLORD may sell said real estate to any third party and this LEASE shall automatically terminate thirty (30) days after said thirty (30) days period expires.
20. This agreement shall extend to and bind the heirs, personal representatives and assigns of each of the parties hereto.
21. LANDLORD and TENANT specifically agree that the Kansas Landlord Tenant Act, K.S.A. 58-2540 etc. seq, shall not cover this LEASE.
22. At the commencement of the term of this LEASE, TENANT accepts the building and fixtures contained therein "as is" in their present existing condition.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties hereto have executed this LEASE as of the day and year first written above.

> Rick Billinger, Mayor

ATTEST:



Goodland Arts Council, President


Recording Secretary

# AGENDA ITEM \# <br> CITY COMMISSION COMMUNICATION FORM 

FROM: Dustin Bedore, Director of Public Power
DATE: November 6, 2023
ITEM: Purchase of Utility Poles
NEXT STEP:
ORDINANCE
X MOTION
$\qquad$ INFORMATION
I. REQUEST OR ISSUE: Purchase 35' wood utility poles. Our current stock is very low.
II. RECOMMENDED ACTION / NEXT STEP: I recommend that we accept the low bid from Thomasson Company for $\$ 27,751.40$
III. FISCAL IMPACTS: This purchase will come from the Electric Distribution Construction Material and Supply line item 15-42-3050
IV. BACKGROUND INFORMATION: We have been on a pole replacement program in the older alleys in town, for the last several years. This purchase would replace poles used during the last summer and fall. I tried multiple vendors, but only received two quotes. The quote from Brown Wood Preserving Company was for 37 poles, totaling $\$ 26,102$. The quote from Thomasson Company was for 38 poles, totaling $\$ 25,460$. This purchase will require tax to be added.

Brown Wood Preserving Company, Inc.
PO Box 969
Prospect, KY 40059

Ship To
City of Goodland
Dustin Bedore
1701 Cherry Ave
Goodland, KS 66735
City of Goodland Dustin Bedore 1701 Cherry Ave Goodland, KS 66735

## TOTAL

\$26,102.00
Expires: 10/18/2023

| Expires | Sales Rep | Shipping Method | Terms | Total Cubic Feet |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10/18/2023 | Logan Collier |  |  | 843.6 |  |
| Quantity | Item |  |  | Rate | Amount |
| 37 | 23550DCOIT <br> CLASS 235 FT M20 WQC DCOI |  |  | \$522.00 | \$19,314.00 |
| 1 | Freight Charge Freight |  |  | \$6,788.00 | \$6,788.00 |

Memo: SHIP VIA FLAT BED - SHIP TO 1701 CHERRY AVE GOODLAND KANSAS 66735
Subtotal
$\$ 26,102.00$
CALL DUSTIN PHONE\#785-890-4530
Delivery Instructions:
Tax Total (\%)
$\$ 0.00$
Total
$\$ 26,102.00$
Freight quoted at the time of the quote is an estimate and is subject to change at the time of delivery.
**Unless otherwise stated, prices are valid for 30 days from the quotation date.
Freight is based on quantities quoted and is subject to review should the quantity change.**

All poles conform to the requirements of the "American National Standard Specifications and Dimensions for Wood Poles, ANSI 05.1" and "American Wood Preservers Association (AWPA) Standards", latest editions. All poles will be treated in accordance with AWPC C1 and AWPA C4.

## HOMASSON COMPANY

' O Box 490
'hiladelphia, MS 39350

Date: 10/25/2023

* Quote is valid 14 days from this date DP-0248

Phone: 800-647-6260
Fax: 601-656-6317

ITTN: DUSTIN BEDORE
CITY OF GOODLAND KS
GOODLAND, KS 6773
dustin.bedore@goodlandks.gov
(785) 890-4530

| Qty | CLASS | LENGTH | DESCRIPTION | UNIT PRICE | EXTENDED |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 38 | 2 | 35 | DCOI | \$670.00 | \$25,460.00 |
|  |  |  | SYP |  |  |
|  |  |  | RUS Specs |  |  |
|  |  |  | WQC Inspection |  |  |
|  |  |  | Delivered to: GOODLAND, KS |  |  |
|  |  |  | Self Unloader |  |  |
|  |  |  | M-20 Framing |  |  |
|  |  |  | Freight Included |  |  |
|  |  |  | Lead time: 4-6 WEEKS |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Total: | \$25,460.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

Upon receipt of order within 15 days from date of quotation unless otherwise noted.

ERMS:
Net 30 Days on established credit or acceptable payment guarantee.
www.thomassoncompany.com

Thank You!

We appreciate the opportunity to quote on your pole needs. We look forward to serving you.

## THOMASSON COMPANY


dori@thomassoncompany.com

FROM: Kent Brown, City Manager<br>Kenton Keith, Streets Superintendent<br>Dustin Bedore, Director of Public Power

DATE: 11/06/2023
ITEM: TEAP Study $-11^{\text {th }} /$ Main and $12{ }^{\text {th }} /$ Main intersections

## NEXT STEP:

ORDINANCE
MOTION
___INFORMATION
I. REQUEST OR ISSUE:

Whether to follow the TEAP study recommendations for the stoplights at the $11^{\text {th }} /$ Main and $12^{\text {th }} /$ Main intersections.

## II. RECOMMENDED ACTION / NEXT STEP:

Staff direction on results of the TEAP study.
Staff recommendation is to remove the stoplights at the $11^{\text {th }}$ Street intersection and replace with a 2 way stop for the east and westbound traffic on $11^{\text {th }}$ Street.
Staff recommendation is to keep the stoplights at the $12^{\text {th }}$ Street intersection due to the emergency route status of $12^{\text {th }} \mathrm{St}$. and the additional pedestrian involvement at different times with the Sherman County Theater, Goodland Tech and First Baptist Church at the intersection. Staff could review the results in a year and the stoplights could be removed at a later date. However, if Commission directs to have both sets of stoplights removed, staff recommendation is to have at least an all way (4 way) stop at the $12^{\text {th }} /$ Main intersection.

## III. FISCAL IMPACTS:

To remove stoplights will only take the labor to remove the cross arm piece of the pole and the electrical wiring and control box at the intersection. There will be the labor to install stop signs at the intersection (whether 2 way or all way stop signs).

To keep stoplights at either intersection will take some costs to update the stoplight controls and cameras. See quote from Gades for controls, cameras, etc. included in packet.

## IV. BACKGROUND INFORMATION:

## From the August 21, 2023 City Commission meeting:

The City of Goodland requested KDOT perform a TEAP study of the intersections of $11^{\text {th }} /$ Main St. and $12^{\text {th }} /$ Main St. to estimate existing traffic demands and provide guidance on the proper traffic control scheme for the two intersections.
Kent stated, an engineer study was completed when Andrew Finzen was here but it got lost
in the shuffle. TEAP is a traffic engineering assistance program study that evaluated the appropriateness of existing traffic signal controls at the intersections of $11^{\text {th }}$ and $12^{\text {th }}$ Streets and Main Street. We know we will have discussion with KDOT for the signal at Highway 24/27. The traffic lights are within a central business district area. Typical weekday traffic was obtained along with $\mathrm{am} / \mathrm{pm}$ peak traffic and evaluating alternatives to traffic pattern. The recommendation in study is that same recommendation be followed for each intersection. Base recommendation is that existing traffic signal be removed, implementing two way stop with $11^{\text {th }}$ and $12^{\text {th }}$ Streets being the STOP controlled approach to Main Street. The alternate recommendation is the traffic signals remain but be upgraded to current day standards and technology. Right now the automatic timer seems to work. Our question to commission is do we keep them or not? Commissioner Showalter stated, the cheapest idea is best idea. I do not want a round-about and I am not in favor of updating. I feel we need to do stop signs. Mayor Thompson stated, even at the busiest time of day there was barely a vehicle a minute passing through intersection at one time. I have talked with many citizens lately and not one person said we need to keep them. They want them taken out. There does not appear to be a relevant reason to keep them. Commissioner Showalter stated, the cost to maintain is very high. Kent stated, two considerations to keep in mind is north of $11^{\text {th }}$ Street to $8^{\text {th }}$ Street the speed will pick up since there are more blocks without a traffic control device. The south end has the school that slows traffic and the street is a little rougher. Would there be complaints with speed and enforcement on Main Street? The other concern is that it provides protection for pedestrians crossing traffic. I agree maintenance costs are high but is it really useful to have signals two blocks in a row. Mayor Thompson stated, I have no idea the original reasoning for traffic lights. I agree speed will probably increase without the lights. The other concern is sometimes it is hard to see around vehicles parked on Main Street. Is cost to update and maintain lights worth safety and peace of mind for pedestrians? Dustin stated, the lights were here in 1983 when I came to town. Other intersections that had flashing red lights were $12^{\text {th }}$ and Broadway, $8^{\text {th }}$ and Main and $17^{\text {th }}$ and Main. I believe we got the issue resolved with the light at Highway 24/27 because we replaced controller. Replacement of controllers for these two lights will be coming. We will also need to discuss school zone lights as the equipment has also been there a while. Commissioner Showalter asked, the signal at Highway 24/27 intersection was flashing red this weekend, is there an issue? Dustin stated, we found the issue, the connection was loose. Kent stated, seems general consensus is the base recommendation. We will come back with estimated costs for base recommendation. Mayor Thompson stated, we need to have all commission present for decision as this is a big issue. We can also look at putting in stop sign to see if people would like it but leave poles in case we want to replace signals.

Gades
Sales Co.lnc.


Date: $\quad 11 / 3 / 2023$
TRAFFIC CONTROL SPECIALISTS www.gadestraffic.com

Quote to: City of Goodland KS Job: 12th. Ave \& Main Street Attn: Dustin Bedore

| Qty | Description | Unit Price | Line Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Currux Vision - SmartCity ITS | \$16,530.00 | \$16,530.00 |
|  | Consisting of: |  |  |
|  | (1) Fisheye Camera for Four Approaches |  |  |
|  | with Mounting Bracket \& 500' Cable |  |  |
|  | (1) Currux SmartCity ITS Standard |  |  |
|  | Cabinet interface with SDLC Module |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Yunex M60 Traffic Controller ATC Lite | \$4,725.00 | \$4,725.00 |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Price does NOT include any field labor such |  |  |
|  | as pulling CAT5e cable or mounting the new |  |  |
|  | video camera. |  |  |
|  | Technical assistance will be limited to work |  |  |
|  | inside of the existing controller cabinet. |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | New CAT5e cable will need to be run to |  |  |
|  | the new camera. |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Total | \$21,255.00 |

Delivery is 30-90 days after receipt of order.
Delivery dates are subject to change as material shortages arise.
Pricing is firm for 60 days.
By: James Tamplin
Jtamplin@gadestraffic.com

## City of Goodland, Kansas

## $11^{\text {th }} \&$ Main Street and $12^{\text {th }} \&$ Main Street Traffic Engineering Assistance Program (TEAP) Study

## Prepared by:

ENGINEERS • ARCHITECTS • SURVEYORS
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## Executive Summary and Recommendations

The purpose of this Traffic Engineering Assistance Program (TEAP) study is to evaluate the appropriateness of the existing traffic signal control in the Study Area intersections of $11^{\text {th }}$ Street/Main Street and $12^{\text {th }}$ Street/Main Street in Goodland, Kansas. This report documents the analysis and findings pertaining to roadway and traffic characteristics as well as the current use of traffic control devices and recommendations for possible improvements to enhance safety and operations.

The Study Area intersections are located within the Central Business District (CBD) area of Goodland. Numerous businesses are located along the Main Street corridor. Street characteristics and traffic patterns are typical for CBD areas including on-street parking, slow traffic speeds, and wide sidewalks for enhanced pedestrian accommodations.

Typical weekday traffic data was obtained at both the Study Area intersections. The data was used to evaluate the appropriateness of using traffic signal control at the intersections. The AM and PM peak hour data of the typical weekday was also used to evaluate traffic operations of alternative forms of traffic control including an All-Way STOP condition, Two-Way STOP condition, and conversion of the intersections to a roundabout style of intersection geometry.

## Recommendations and Suggestions:

Due to the similarities in street characteristics, traffic volume/patterns, and traffic operations, the following recommendations apply to both the $11^{\text {th }}$ Street/Main Street and the $12^{\text {th }}$ Street/Main Street intersections. We also recommend the same recommendation be applied concurrently to both intersections.

Base Recommendation: The existing traffic signal control should be removed in accordance with the procedures outlined in Section 4B. 02 of the MUTCD. Two-Way STOP Control should be implemented with $11^{\text {th }}$ Street and $12^{\text {th }}$ Street being the STOP controlled approaches at Main Street. The conversion should include the installation of STOP signs with street name signs for the STOP controlled approaches in advance of the crosswalk. $24^{\prime \prime}$ White pavement marking STOP lines should also be installed and/or refreshed on the $11^{\text {th }}$ Street and $12^{\text {th }}$ Street approaches to Main Street. Main Street STOP lines should be removed at the $11^{\text {th }}$ Street and $12^{\text {th }}$ Street approaches.
Alternative Recommendation: As an alternative to the Base Recommendation, the intersections of $11^{\text {th }}$ Street/Main Street and $12^{\text {th }}$ Street/Main Street could remain as traffic signal controlled intersections on the basis of Traffic Signal Warrant \#6. If this Alternative Recommendation is pursued by the City of Goodland, we recommend the signal systems be upgraded to current day standards and technology to include vehicle detection, battery backup, updated controller, and an accessible pedestrian signal system.

## Introduction

The City of Goodland requested the Kansas Department of Transportation (KDOT) perform a Traffic Engineering Assistance Program (TEAP) study of the intersections of $11^{\text {th }}$ Street/Main Street and $12^{\text {th }}$ Street/Main Street to estimate existing traffic demands and provide guidance on the proper traffic control scheme for the two intersections. Figure 1 below shows the Study Area within the City Limits.

Figure 1 - Study Area Map (City of Goodland, KS)


## Existing Conditions and Data Collection

This section summarizes some of the key current-day street and traffic characteristics of the Study Area intersections. Traffic data was collected during the weekdays of November 17-19, 2020 (Tuesday thru Thursday) and included sufficient data to encompass peak-hour turning movement counts with sufficient hourly intersection volume to enable traffic signal warrant analyses. The following information summarizes the existing conditions and peak hour turning movements.

- Main Street:
- Area Development Characteristics: Central Business District
- 2-lane brick street ( $48^{\prime}$ wide) with curb and gutter and angled on-street parking
- $+/-70^{\prime}$ wide with sidewalks on both sides of the street
- Low Speed facility ( $30-\mathrm{mph}$ or less); $\pm 1,500$ vehicles per day
- $\quad 11^{\text {th }}$ Street and $12^{\text {th }}$ Street @ Main Street:
- Area Development Characteristics: Central Business District
- 2-lane brick street (48' wide) with curb and gutter and angled on-street parking
- +/-70' wide with sidewalks on both sides of the street
- Low Speed facility ( $30-\mathrm{mph}$ or less); $\pm 600$ vehicles per day

| 11th Street and Main Street |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { AM Peak Hour } \\ 9: 45 \mathrm{am}-10: 45 \mathrm{am} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Midday Peak Hour } \\ \text { 11:45 am - 12:45 pm } \end{gathered}$ | PM Peak Hour 2:45 pm - 3:45 pm |
|  |  |  |
| AM Peak Hour 7:30 am - $8: 30 \mathrm{am}$ | 12th Street and Main Street Midday Peak Hour 11:30 am - 12:30 pm | PM Peak Hour 2:30 pm - 3:30 pm |
|  |  |  |

$11^{\text {th }} \&$ Main Street and $12^{\text {th }} \&$ Main Street TEAP Study

## Engineering Analyses Parameters and Design Guidance

The Study Area was evaluated for appropriateness of existing traffic control devices and for the feasibility of improving the Study Area to enhance traffic safety and operations. This TEAP Study analysis focused primarily on the appropriateness of the existing traffic signal control of the Study Area intersections and alternative methods for traffic control. The engineering evaluation parameters are based on the current edition of the MUTCD as well as traffic operation conditions outlined by the HCM.

Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices (MUTCD): The use of traffic control devices such as signs, pavement markings, and traffic signal systems in the State of Kansas should comply with the MUTCD. The engineering analyses in this study is based on the guidance provided in the Manual, including sign placement, the use of traffic signal-controlled intersections, the application of regulatory signs such as speed zones and STOP sign-controlled intersections, and the application of school zone signing.

The MUTCD provides guidance for determining the need for traffic signal control. Nine warrants are described in which a traffic signal may improve traffic operations. A traffic signal should not be installed unless 1 or more of these warrants is satisfied. Also, satisfaction of one or more of the 9 traffic signal warrants shall not in itself require the installation of a traffic control signal.

```
Warrant 1: Eight-Hour Vehicular Volume
Warrant 2: Four-Hour Vehicular Volume
Warrant 3: Peak Hour
Warrant 4: Pedestrian Volume
Warrant 5: School Crossing (based on pedestrian volume)
Warrant 6: Coordinated Signal System
Warrant 7: Crash Experience
Warrant 8: Roadway Network
Warrant 9: Intersection Near a Grade Crossing (Railroad)
```

Highway Capacity Manual (HCM): Traffic operations summarized in this study were completed using the methodologies of the $\underline{H C M}$ which outlines various approaches to estimate traffic operations for free flow and interrupted flow facilities. The quality of traffic operations are categorized in the form of Levels-of-Service (LOS). LOS A represents the best operating conditions and LOS F represents the worst operating conditions. LOS A-D are generally accepted as adequate traffic operations. The upper limit of LOS E is considered "capacity" of the roadway segment or intersection being analyzed. LOS F generally indicates demand exceeds the capacity of the specific movement. Synchro 11 software was used for a micro-simulation of the intersection. Table 1 summarizes the delay criteria.

Table 1: LOS Criteria for Interrupted Flow (Intersections)

| Level of Service | Signalized Intersection <br> Avg. Control Delay (sec/veh) | Unsignalized Intersection <br> Avg. Control Delay (sec/veh) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | $0-10$ | $0-10$ |
| B | $>10-20$ | $>10-15$ |
| C | $>20-35$ | $>15-25$ |
| D | $>35-55$ | $>25-35$ |
| E | $>55-80$ | $>35-50$ |
| F | $>80$ | $>50$ |

## $11^{\text {th }}$ Street/Main Street Intersection Analysis

The following information summarizes the findings of the traffic signal warrant analysis for the $11^{\text {th }}$ Street/Main Street intersection. Additional information and data are included in Appendix A.

- Warrants 1 or 2 are commonly used in the traffic engineering industry as a sound basis for recommending the use of traffic signal control at an intersection. Both of these warrants are intended to be applied in situations where a large volume of intersecting traffic on the side street is the principal reason for the traffic signal.
- For Warrant 1, existing traffic must meet or exceed the conditions of the warrant for at least eight (8) 60-minute periods in a typical weekday. There were no 60 -minute periods during a typical weekday that met the threshold criteria for Warrant 1.
- For warrant 2, existing traffic must meet or exceed the conditions of the warrant for at least four (4) 60-minute periods in a typical weekday. There were no 60-minute periods during a typical weekday that met the threshold criteria for Warrant 2.
- Warrant 3 is intended for use at a location where, during at least one-hour of an average day, the side street traffic is of sufficient volume to cause undue delay to Main Street. Furthermore, the MUTCD states is "shall be applied only in unusual cases...that attract or discharge large numbers of vehicles over a short time." Current traffic data does not meet the minimum warrant criteria during any one-hour period of an average day. Generally, there would need to be nearly four to five times as much traffic at this intersection to approach the threshold criteria of this warrant.
- Warrant 4 is based on pedestrian volume and traffic. The minimum number of pedestrians crossing Main Street would need to be well over 75 pedestrians per hour for at least 4-hours of a typical weekday to apply Warrant 4 as basis of installation.
- Warrant 5 is for school zone applications and is not applicable to this intersection.
- Warrant 6 is intended for use in a corridor with coordinated signal systems to aid in efficiently conveying through traffic on the Major Street with minimal delay. Because of the low traffic volumes on the side streets and the characteristics and because this area is within a CBD, this warrant could be applicable to these intersections if other schemes of traffic control are inappropriate.
- Warrants 7 thru 9 were not evaluated due to the warrants being inapplicable to this intersection's environment and/or crash patterns.
$\mathbf{1 1}^{\text {th }}$ Street/Main Street Traffic Signal Warrant Analysis Summary: Based on the information above and our traffic engineering analysis, traffic entering this intersection meets only one current-day traffic signal warrant in the MUTCD, Warrant 6 "Coordinated Signal System". Per the MUTCD, satisfaction of one or more of the 9 traffic signal warrants shall not in itself require the installation of a traffic control signal.

Alternatives to Consider for Traffic Control: Several alternatives for traffic control at $11^{\text {th }}$ Street/Main Street were analyzed against the baseline condition of traffic signal control. The alternatives are listed below followed by a tables summarizing the Level of Service (LOS) of the traffic operations.

- Alternative No. 1 - Maintain Existing Traffic Signal Control
- Alternative No. 2-4-Way STOP Control
- Alternative No. 3-2-Way STOP Control
- Alternative No. 4 - Roundabout Geometric Improvement

Table 2 - AM Peak Hour Traffic Operations Summary (11 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ \& Main)

| Summary of Traffic Operations Analysis (AM Peak Hour) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Main Street |  |  |  | 11 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Street |  |  |  | Intersection |  |
|  | NB |  | SB |  | EB |  | WB |  |  |  |
|  | LOS | (sec.) | LOS | (sec.) | LOS | (sec.) | LOS | (sec.) | LOS | (sec.) |
| Alternative No. 1 (Traffic Signal) | C | 20.8 | A | 7.8 | A | 8.6 | A | 8.3 | B | 12.5 |
| Alternative No. 2 (4-Way STOP) | A | 7.4 | A | 7.3 | A | 7.1 | A | 7.2 | A | 7.3 |
| Alternative No. 3 (2-Way STOP) | A | 1.2 | A | 1.0 | A | 9.3 | A | 9.4 | N/A | N/A |
| Alternative No. 4 (Roundabout) | A | 3.1 | A | 3.1 | A | 2.9 | A | 3.0 | A | 3.1 |

Table 3 - PM Peak Hour Traffic Operations Summary (11 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ \& Main)

| Summary of Traffic Operations Analysis (PM Peak Hour) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Main Street |  |  |  | 11 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Street |  |  |  | Intersection |  |
|  | NB |  | SB |  | EB |  | WB |  |  |  |
|  | LOS | (sec.) | LOS | (sec.) | LOS | (sec.) | LOS | (sec.) | LOS | (sec.) |
| Alternative No. 1 (Traffic Signal) | B | 17.9 | A | 8.5 | B | 11.2 | B | 10.5 | B | 12.9 |
| Alternative No. 2 (4-Way STOP) | A | 7.6 | A | 7.5 | A | 7.4 | A | 7.5 | A | 7.5 |
| Alternative No. 3 (2-Way STOP) | A | 0.7 | A | 1.1 | B | 10.0 | B | 10.0 | N/A | N/A |
| Alternative No. 4 (Roundabout) | A | 3.3 | A | 3.3 | A | 3.0 | A | 3.2 | A | 3.2 |

Another means of comparing the delay experienced by alternative solutions for controlling traffic at an intersection is to compare the estimated total peak hour delay experienced by all traffic entering the intersection during the specific time period(s). The following graph in Figure 2 conveys the total delay, tabulated in minutes, experienced by traffic traveling through the $11^{\text {th }}$ Street/Main Street intersection for the alternatives evaluated.

Figure 2 - Estimated Total Peak Hour Delay Chart ( $11^{\text {th }}$ \& Main Street)


Alternative No. 1 - Maintain Existing Traffic Signal Control. The original basis of installation of the existing traffic signal condition is unknown. However, because the intersection is located within a signalized corridor with signalized intersections to the north and south, maintaining the existing traffic signal control scheme on the basis of Warrant \#6 is a viable option to the City of Goodland. If this Alternative is pursued, the traffic signal system should be upgraded to current standards to include vehicle detection, battery backup, a new timing plan and accessible pedestrian signal system.

Alternative No. 2 - All-Way STOP Control. All-way STOP controlled intersections are most commonly used at locations where traffic on the intersection streets is approximately equal. Section 2B. 07 of the MUTCD lists criteria that should be met when considering the use of an all-way STOP control scheme. Although the intersection would operate with reasonable LOS's, the $11^{\text {th }}$ Street/Main Street intersection currently does not convey traffic volumes/patterns that meet the MUTCD criteria for implementing an Allway STOP configuration. This Alternative is therefore not recommended.

Alternative No. 3 - Two-Way STOP Control. Two-way STOP control at the $11^{\text {th }}$ Street/Main Street intersection could be an appropriate traffic control scheme per the MUTCD with $11^{\text {th }}$ Street being the STOP controlled approach. As would be expected, the delay to north/south Main Street traffic decreases significantly operating as a free-flow condition. The intersection would experience an estimated $75 \%$ reduction in overall delay experienced by peak hour traffic and traffic operations during peak hours would be at a desirable LOS B or better.

If this Alternative No. 3 is pursued, the process of removing a traffic signal system outlined in the MUTCD should be followed. The process would include an interim study period before full removal of the traffic signal infrastructure.

Alternative No. 4 - Roundabout Geometric Improvement. Geometric re-configuration of this intersection to a roundabout could be a viable, although expensive and impactful, solution. Properly designed modern urban roundabouts have been shown to have efficient traffic operations while enhancing traffic safety by providing a speed calming effect, reducing the number of conflict points, and decreasing the severity of traffic collision types.

Our analysis of converting the $11^{\text {th }}$ Street/Main Street to a roundabout indicates the traffic operations (LOS) improvement as a roundabout would be comparable to a Two-Way STOP control solution. However, the roundabout would require significant reconstruction of the entire right-of-way, building-to-building as well as significant reduction of on-street parking on Main Street as well as on $11^{\text {th }}$ Street.

BASE RECOMMENDATION FOR $11^{\text {th }}$ STREET/MAIN STREET: The intersection of $11^{\text {th }}$ Street/Main Street should be converted to a Two-Way STOP Control configuration. The process of removing the traffic signal system should comply with the MUTCD guidelines. It is anticipated this recommendation can be implemented with minimal effort for installing STOP signs, street name signs, installation of a STOP line on the $12^{\text {th }}$ Street approaches and removal of any STOP line markings on Main Street at $12^{\text {th }}$ Street.

ALTERNATIVE RECOMMENDATION FOR $11^{\text {th }}$ STREET/MAIN STREET: As an alternative to the Base Recommendation, the intersection of $11^{\text {th }}$ Street/Main Street could remain as a traffic signal controlled intersection. If this alternative recommendation is pursued by the City of Goodland, we recommend the signal system be upgraded to current day technology and standards to include vehicle detection, battery backup, updated controller, and an accessible pedestrian signal system.

## $12^{\text {th }}$ Street/Main Street Intersection Analysis

The following information summarizes the findings of the traffic signal warrant analysis for the $12^{\text {th }}$ Street/Main Street intersection. Additional information and data are included in Appendix A.

- Warrants 1 or 2 are commonly used in the traffic engineering industry as a sound basis for recommending the use of traffic signal control at an intersection. Both of these warrants are intended to be applied in situations where a large volume of intersecting traffic on the side street is the principal reason for the traffic signal.
- For Warrant 1, existing traffic must meet or exceed the conditions of the warrant for at least eight (8) 60 -minute periods in a typical weekday. There were no 60 -minute periods during a typical weekday that met the threshold criteria for Warrant 1.
- For warrant 2, existing traffic must meet or exceed the conditions of the warrant for at least four (4) 60-minute periods in a typical weekday. There were no 60-minute periods during a typical weekday that met the threshold criteria for Warrant 2.
- Warrant 3 is intended for use at a location where, during at least one-hour of an average day, the side street traffic is of sufficient volume to cause undue delay to Main Street. Furthermore, the MUTCD states is "shall be applied only in unusual cases...that attract or discharge large numbers of vehicles over a short time." Current traffic data does not meet the minimum warrant criteria during any one-hour period of an average day. Generally, there would need to be nearly four to five times as much traffic at this intersection to approach the threshold criteria of this warrant.
- Warrant 4 is based on pedestrian volume and traffic volume. The minimum number of pedestrians crossing Main Street would need to be well over 75 pedestrians per hour for at least 4-hours of a typical weekday to apply Warrant 4 as basis of installation.
- Warrant 5 is for school zone applications and is not applicable to this intersection.
- Warrant 6 is intended for use in a corridor with coordinated signal systems to aid in efficiently conveying through traffic on the Major Street with minimal delay. Because of the low traffic volumes on the side streets and the characteristics and because this area is within a CBD, this warrant could be applicable to these intersections if other schemes of traffic control are inappropriate.
- Warrants 7 thru 9 were not evaluated due to the warrants being inapplicable to this intersection's environment and/or crash patterns.
$\mathbf{1 2}^{\text {th }}$ Street/Main Street Traffic Signal Warrant Analysis Summary: Based on the information above and our traffic engineering analysis, traffic entering this intersection meets only one current-day traffic signal warrant in the MUTCD, Warrant 6 "Coordinated Signal System". Per the MUTCD, satisfaction of one or more of the 9 traffic signal warrants shall not in itself require the installation of a traffic control signal.

Alternatives to Consider for Traffic Control: Several alternatives for traffic control at $12^{\text {th }}$ Street/Main Street were analyzed against the baseline condition of traffic signal control. The alternatives are listed below followed by a tables summarizing the Level of Service (LOS) of the traffic operations.

- Alternative No. 1 - Maintain Existing Traffic Signal Control
- Alternative No. 2-4-Way STOP Control
- Alternative No. 3-2-Way STOP Control
- Alternative No. 4 - Roundabout Geometric Improvement

Table 3-AM Peak Hour Traffic Operations Summary (12 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ \& Main)

| Summary of Traffic Operations Analysis (AM Peak Hour) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Main Street |  |  |  | 12 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Street |  |  |  | Intersection |  |
|  | NB |  | SB |  | EB |  | WB |  |  |  |
|  | LOS | (sec.) | LOS | (sec.) | LOS | (sec.) | LOS | (sec.) | LOS | (sec.) |
| Alternative No. 1 (Traffic Signal) | A | 8.9 | B | 14.4 | A | 9.6 | B | 11.2 | B | 10.8 |
| Alternative No. 2 (4-Way STOP) | A | 7.3 | A | 7.3 | A | 7.4 | A | 7.2 | A | 7.3 |
| Alternative No. 3 (2-Way STOP) | A | 0.9 | A | 0.2 | A | 9.5 | A | 9.7 | N/A | N/A |
| Alternative No. 4 (Roundabout) | A | 3.1 | A | 3.0 | A | 2.9 | A | 3.0 | A | 3.0 |

Table 4 - PM Peak Hour Traffic Operations Summary (12 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ \& Main)

| Summary of Traffic Operations Analysis (PM Peak Hour) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Main Street |  |  |  | 12 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Street |  |  |  | Intersection |  |
|  | NB |  | SB |  | EB |  | WB |  |  |  |
|  | LOS | (sec.) | LOS | (sec.) | LOS | (sec.) | LOS | (sec.) | LOS | (sec.) |
| Alternative No. 1 (Traffic Signal) | A | 9.3 | B | 17.1 | B | 11.4 | B | 10.7 | B | 12.6 |
| Alternative No. 2 (4-Way STOP) | A | 7.6 | A | 7.5 | A | 7.6 | A | 7.4 | A | 7.5 |
| Alternative No. 3 (2-Way STOP) | A | 1.1 | A | 0.2 | B | 10.2 | B | 10.1 | N/A | N/A |
| Alternative No. 4 (Roundabout) | A | 3.3 | A | 3.3 | A | 3.1 | A | 3.1 | A | 3.2 |

Another means of comparing the delay experienced by alternative solutions for controlling traffic at an intersection is to compare the estimated total peak hour delay experienced by all traffic entering the intersection during the specific time period(s). The following graph in Figure 3 conveys the total delay, tabulated in minutes, experienced by traffic traveling through the $12^{\text {th }}$ Street/Main Street intersection for the alternatives evaluated.

Figure 3 - Estimated Total Peak Hour Delay Chart (12th \& Main)


Alternative No. 1 - Maintain Existing Traffic Signal Control. The original basis of installation of the existing traffic signal condition is unknown. However, because the intersection is located within a signalized corridor with signalized intersections to the north and south, maintaining the existing traffic signal control scheme on the basis of Warrant \#6 is a viable option to the City of Goodland. If this Alternative is pursued, the traffic signal system should be upgraded to current standards to include vehicle detection, battery backup, a new timing plan and accessible pedestrian signal system.

Alternative No. 2 - All-Way STOP Control. All-way STOP controlled intersections are most commonly used at locations where traffic on the intersection streets is approximately equal. Section 2B. 07 of the MUTCD lists criteria that should be met when considering the use of an all-way STOP control scheme. Although the intersection would operate with reasonable LOS's, the $12^{\text {th }}$ Street/Main Street intersection currently does not convey traffic volumes/patterns that meet the MUTCD criteria for implementing an Allway STOP configuration. This Alternative is therefore not recommended.

Alternative No. 3 - Two-Way STOP Control. Two-way STOP control at the $12^{\text {th }}$ Street/Main Street intersection is an appropriate traffic control scheme per the MUTCD with $12{ }^{\text {th }}$ Street being the STOP controlled approach. As would be expected, the delay to north/south Main Street traffic decreases significantly as a free-flow condition. The intersection would experience an estimated $75 \%$ reduction in overall delay experienced by peak hour traffic and traffic operations during peak hours would be at a desirable LOS B or better.

If this Alternative No. 3 is pursued, the process of removing a traffic signal system outlined in the MUTCD should be followed. The process would include an interim study period before full removal of the traffic signal infrastructure.

Alternative No. 4 - Roundabout Geometric Improvement. Geometric re-configuration of this intersection to a roundabout could be a viable, although expensive and impactful, solution. Our analysis of converting the $12^{\text {th }}$ Street/Main Street to a roundabout indicates the traffic operations (LOS) improvement as a roundabout would be comparable to a Two-Way STOP control solution. However, the roundabout would require significant reconstruction of the entire right-of-way, building-to-building as well as significant reduction of on-street parking on Main Street as well as on $12^{\text {th }}$ Street. For these reasons, pursuit of Alternative No. 4 at the $12^{\text {th }}$ Street/Main Street is not recommended.

BASE RECOMMENDATION FOR $12{ }^{\text {th }}$ STREET/MAIN STREET: The intersection of $12^{\text {th }}$ Street/Main Street should be converted to a Two-Way STOP Control configuration. The process of removing the traffic signal system should comply with Section 4B. 02 the MUTCD guidelines. It is anticipated this recommendation can be implemented with minimal effort to include installing STOP signs with street name signs and a $24^{\prime \prime}$ white STOP line on the $12^{\text {th }}$ Street approaches. Any STOP line markings on Main Street at $12^{\text {th }}$ Street should be removed.

ALTERNATIVE RECOMMENDATION FOR $12^{\text {th }}$ STREET/MAIN STREET: As an alternative to the Base Recommendation, the intersection of $12^{\text {th }}$ Street/Main Street could remain as a traffic signal controlled intersection. If this alternative recommendation is pursued by the City of Goodland, we recommend the signal system be upgraded to current day technology and standards to include vehicle detection, battery backup, updated controller, and an accessible pedestrian signal system.

## Appendix A

Peak Hour Turning Movement Data and Traffic Signal Warrant Analysis
$11^{\text {th }}$ Street \& Main Street
$12^{\text {th }}$ Street $\&$ Main Street

|  |  | u |  | U-Tum | App Toal | $\begin{gathered} \text { Eassto } \\ \substack{\text { nestu } \\ \text { Reigt }} \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  | U.Tum | App Toal | $\begin{aligned} & \text { South } \\ & \text { Northbound } \\ & \text { Right } \end{aligned}$ |  | Let |  | U-Turn | App Toal | $\begin{aligned} & \text { West } \\ & \text { Eastbound } \\ & \text { Right } \end{aligned}$ |  | Let | UTum An | App Tola |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ${ }^{\text {an meakk }}$ |  |  |  | Urum | App |  |  |  |  | U.um | App Toual |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Approar |  |
| 200 | ${ }_{6}^{2}$ | $\stackrel{8}{9}$ |  |  | ${ }_{17}^{12}$ |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{8}^{10}$ |  |  | 15 | ${ }_{4}^{2}$ |  | ${ }_{21}^{14}$ |  |  | ${ }^{2}$ |  | 4 |  |
| (200.1.1990.1.5000 |  | 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{14}^{14}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\frac{2020.19090}{\text { Grand Toala }}$ |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{60}$ | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| oundial | 250\% |  |  | 0.0\% |  | $42.9 \%$ |  | 1.49\% | 25.7\% | 0.0\% |  |  |  | ${ }^{67226}$ |  |  |  |  |  | 238\% | 0.0\% |  |  |
| ${ }^{\text {\%/Total }}$ | ${ }_{\text {b }}^{\text {8.625 }}$ | 20.941 |  | 0.0\% | ${ }^{33.989}$ | ${ }^{8.55 \%}$ |  | $\substack{6.288 \\ 0.55}_{\text {a, }}$ |  | 0.0\% | ${ }^{19.729}$ |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {5, }}^{\text {5.62\% }}$ | 0.0\%\% | ${ }^{34.75 \%}$ | ${ }_{\text {c. }}^{5.5}$ | ${ }^{3.3 .45}$ | ${ }_{\text {2.625 }}^{2.85}$ | 0.0\% | ${ }_{\text {a }}^{\text {1.47 }}$ (1.9\% |  |
| Veivices | ${ }^{150}$ | ${ }^{37}$ |  |  | 60 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 10 |  |  |  |  |  | 10 |  |  |  | ${ }^{21}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mad.oay Peak Huw |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{20200111911.4 .45000}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 17 |  |  | ${ }^{16}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 19 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - ${ }_{\text {c }}^{22}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grand Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{6}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \%approach | 20.0\% | ${ }_{\text {cher }}^{76.17 \%}$ | (1.0\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{\text {Phta }}$ Nov | ${ }^{6.9}$ | ${ }^{20.711}$ | -1.25 | 0.0\% | 0.761 | 5.0\% |  | ${ }_{\text {d. }}^{\text {0.688 }}$ | ${ }_{0}^{50.55}$ | 0.0\% | 0.65 | ${ }_{0} 0.593$ |  | 0.75 | ${ }_{0} 0.75$ | 0.0\% |  | ${ }_{0} .025$ | ${ }^{0.563}$ | ${ }_{0} 0.25$ | \% | 0.833 |  |
| Venciles | 100. ${ }^{14}$ |  |  |  |  | 100.\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 100.0\% |  | 0\% |  | 100.0\% |  |
| PMPeak Hour |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | ${ }_{20}^{10}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 200.1-1915.5.500 |  | 11 |  |  | ${ }_{19}^{13}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{14}^{21}$ |  |  | ${ }_{17}^{24}$ |  |  |  |  | 3 |  |
| Grand Toal |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | ${ }_{86}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3,4\% | ${ }^{\text {27,4\% }}$ | 5,3\%\% | 0.0\% | 36.1\% |  |  |  | ${ }_{4}^{25 \% \%}$ | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.0\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Noo 192020 2:45PM - 3:4 | 0.438 | ${ }^{0.773}$ | ${ }_{\text {c. }}^{1.55}$ |  | ${ }^{0.964}$ |  |  |  | ${ }_{9}^{45}$ |  | ${ }^{0.818}$ | ${ }^{0.583}$ |  | ${ }^{1845}$ | 0.48 |  | ${ }_{\text {¢96 }} 96$ |  |  | -0.375 |  | ${ }_{\text {di. }}^{11}$ |  |
| \%Venicies | 100.8 | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 0.0\% | 100. | 100.\% | 100. | 10.0\% |  | 0.0\% |  | - 100.0\% | 10 | 100 |  | \% | \% \% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.\% | 5\% | 100.0\% | \%20\% |


| 11th Street \& Main Street - Traffic Signal Warrant Analysis (Traffic Volume Warrants) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Thurs., 11/19/20 | Major Street | Minor Street | Pedestrians (one | Warrant No. 1 |  |  |  | Warrant 2 |  | Warrant 3 |  |  |
| BEGIN to END | (veh., total both) | (veh., one direction) | direction only) | Condition A | Condition B | 80\% (A) | 80\% (B) | 100\% | 70\% | 100\% | 70\% | Warrant 4 |
| 12:00 AM to 1:00 AM | 1 | 2 | 0 | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO |
| 1:00 AM to 2:00 AM | 3 | 0 | 0 | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO |
| 2:00 AM to 3:00 AM | 1 | 0 | 0 | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO |
| 3:00 AM to 4:00 AM | 1 | 0 | 0 | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO |
| 4:00 AM to 5:00 AM | 3 | 0 | 0 | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO |
| 5:00 AM to 6:00 AM | 7 | 2 | 0 | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO |
| 6:00 AM to 7:00 AM | 24 | 9 | 0 | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO |
| 7:00 AM to 8:00 AM | 70 | 18 | 0 | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO |
| 8:00 AM to 9:00 AM | 82 | 22 | 0 | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO |
| 9:00 AM to 10:00 AM | 92 | 41 | 0 | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO |
| 10:00 AM to 11:00 AM | 114 | 35 | 0 | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO |
| 11:00 AM to 12:00 PM | 110 | 40 | 0 | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO |
| 12:00 PM to 1:00 PM | 141 | 43 | 0 | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO |
| 1:00 PM to 2:00 PM | 130 | 45 | 0 | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO |
| 2:00 PM to 3:00 PM | 120 | 26 | 0 | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO |
| 3:00 PM to 4:00 PM | 153 | 40 | 0 | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO |
| 4:00 PM to 5:00 PM | 124 | 22 | 0 | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO |
| 5:00 PM to 6:00 PM | 110 | 21 | 0 | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO |
| 6:00 PM to 7:00 PM | 81 | 14 | 0 | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO |
| 7:00 PM to 8:00 PM | 41 | 11 | 0 | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO |
| 8:00 PM to 9:00 PM | 26 | 13 | 0 | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO |
| 9:00 PM to 10:00 PM | 28 | 6 | 0 | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO |
| 10:00 PM to 11:00 PM | 9 | 0 | 0 | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO |
| 11:00 PM to 12:00 AM | 10 | 3 | 0 | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO |



| 12th Street \& Main Street - Traffic Signal Warrant Analysis (Traffic Volume Warrants) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tues., 11/17/20 | Major Street | Minor Street | Pedestrians (one | Warrant No. 1 |  |  |  | Warrant 2 |  | Warrant 3 |  |  |
| BEGIN to END | (veh., total both) | (veh., one direction) | direction only) | Condition A | Condition B | 80\% (A) | 80\% (B) | 100\% | 70\% | 100\% | 70\% | Warrant 4 |
| 12:00 AM to 1:00 AM | 0 | 2 | 0 | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO |
| 1:00 AM to 2:00 AM | 4 | 1 | 0 | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO |
| 2:00 AM to 3:00 AM | 0 | 1 | 0 | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO |
| 3:00 AM to 4:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO |
| 4:00 AM to 5:00 AM | 4 | 1 | 0 | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO |
| 5:00 AM to 6:00 AM | 9 | 4 | 0 | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO |
| 6:00 AM to 7:00 AM | 37 | 16 | 0 | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO |
| 7:00 AM to 8:00 AM | 79 | 39 | 0 | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO |
| 8:00 AM to 9:00 AM | 72 | 23 | 0 | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO |
| 9:00 AM to 10:00 AM | 87 | 22 | 0 | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO |
| 10:00 AM to 11:00 AM | 94 | 27 | 0 | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO |
| 11:00 AM to 12:00 PM | 133 | 37 | 0 | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO |
| 12:00 PM to 1:00 PM | 155 | 35 | 0 | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO |
| 1:00 PM to 2:00 PM | 114 | 32 | 0 | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO |
| 2:00 PM to 3:00 PM | 139 | 29 | 0 | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO |
| 3:00 PM to 4:00 PM | 139 | 41 | 0 | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO |
| 4:00 PM to 5:00 PM | 106 | 29 | 0 | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO |
| 5:00 PM to 6:00 PM | 97 | 21 | 0 | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO |
| 6:00 PM to 7:00 PM | 59 | 18 | 0 | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO |
| 7:00 PM to 8:00 PM | 47 | 19 | 0 | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO |
| 8:00 PM to 9:00 PM | 36 | 5 | 0 | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO |
| 9:00 PM to 10:00 PM | 24 | 5 | 0 | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO |
| 10:00 PM to 11:00 PM | 14 | 3 | 0 | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO |
| 11:00 PM to 12:00 AM | 4 | 3 | 0 | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO |

## Appendix B

AM Peak Hour Traffic Operations Analysis Reports (Levels-of-Service)
Alternatives 1-4

|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ | $\cdots$ |  |  | 4 | 4 | $\dagger$ | $p$ | , | $\dagger$ | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | 4 |  |  | $\$$ |  |  | $\$$ |  |  | * |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 5 | 6 | 10 | 9 | 11 | 15 | 10 | 41 | 10 | 8 | 37 | 15 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 5 | 6 | 10 | 9 | 11 | 15 | 10 | 41 | 10 | 8 | 37 | 15 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Frt |  | 0.938 |  |  | 0.941 |  |  | 0.978 |  |  | 0.966 |  |
| Flt Protected |  | 0.988 |  |  | 0.987 |  |  | 0.992 |  |  | 0.993 |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 0 | 1554 | 0 | 0 | 1557 | 0 | 0 | 1626 | 0 | 0 | 1608 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted |  | 0.963 |  |  | 0.959 |  |  | 0.970 |  |  | 0.976 |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 0 | 1514 | 0 | 0 | 1513 | 0 | 0 | 1590 | 0 | 0 | 1581 | 0 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  | 11 |  |  | 17 |  |  | 11 |  |  | 17 |  |
| Link Speed (mph) |  | 30 |  |  | 30 |  |  | 30 |  |  | 30 |  |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 695 |  |  | 775 |  |  | 1307 |  |  | 795 |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 15.8 |  |  | 17.6 |  |  | 29.7 |  |  | 18.1 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 6 | 7 | 11 | 10 | 12 | 17 | 11 | 46 | 11 | 9 | 41 | 17 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 39 | 0 | 0 | 68 | 0 | 0 | 67 | 0 |
| Enter Blocked Intersection | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Lane Alignment | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right |
| Median Width(ft) |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Link Offset(ft) |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Crosswalk Width(ft) |  | 16 |  |  | 16 |  |  | 16 |  |  | 16 |  |
| Two way Left Turn Lane |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Headway Factor | 1.14 | 1.14 | 1.14 | 1.14 | 1.14 | 1.14 | 1.14 | 1.14 | 1.14 | 1.14 | 1.14 | 1.14 |
| Turning Speed (mph) | 15 |  | 9 | 15 |  | 9 | 15 |  | 9 | 15 |  | 9 |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA |  |
| Protected Phases |  | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |  |
| Minimum Split (s) | 22.5 | 22.5 |  | 22.5 | 22.5 |  | 22.5 | 22.5 |  | 22.5 | 22.5 |  |
| Total Split (s) | 28.0 | 28.0 |  | 28.0 | 28.0 |  | 32.0 | 32.0 |  | 32.0 | 32.0 |  |
| Total Split (\%) | 46.7\% | 46.7\% |  | 46.7\% | 46.7\% |  | 53.3\% | 53.3\% |  | 53.3\% | 53.3\% |  |
| Maximum Green (s) | 23.5 | 23.5 |  | 23.5 | 23.5 |  | 27.5 | 27.5 |  | 27.5 | 27.5 |  |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.5 | 3.5 |  | 3.5 | 3.5 |  | 3.5 | 3.5 |  | 3.5 | 3.5 |  |
| All-Red Time (s) | 1.0 | 1.0 |  | 1.0 | 1.0 |  | 1.0 | 1.0 |  | 1.0 | 1.0 |  |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Total Lost Time (s) |  | 4.5 |  |  | 4.5 |  |  | 4.5 |  |  | 4.5 |  |
| Lead/Lag |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Walk Time (s) | 7.0 | 7.0 |  | 7.0 | 7.0 |  | 7.0 | 7.0 |  | 7.0 | 7.0 |  |
| Flash Dont Walk (s) | 11.0 | 11.0 |  | 11.0 | 11.0 |  | 11.0 | 11.0 |  | 11.0 | 11.0 |  |
| Pedestrian Calls (\#/hr) | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Act Effct Green (s) |  | 23.5 |  |  | 23.5 |  |  | 27.5 |  |  | 27.5 |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio |  | 0.39 |  |  | 0.39 |  |  | 0.46 |  |  | 0.46 |  |
| v/c Ratio |  | 0.04 |  |  | 0.06 |  |  | 0.09 |  |  | 0.09 |  |
| Control Delay |  | 8.6 |  |  | 8.3 |  |  | 20.8 |  |  | 7.8 |  |
| Queue Delay |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Total Delay |  | 8.6 |  |  | 8.3 |  |  | 20.8 |  |  | 7.8 |  |



Splits and Phases: 3: Main Street \& 11th Street


|  | $\rangle$ | $\rightarrow$ |  | $\checkmark$ | $\downarrow$ |  | 4 | $\uparrow$ | P |  | $\dagger$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | ¢ |  |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 1 | 17 | 9 | 0 | 19 | 3 | 8 | 52 | 2 | 1 | 35 | 5 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 1 | 17 | 9 | 0 | 19 | 3 | 8 | 52 | 2 | 1 | 35 | 5 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Frt |  | 0.955 |  |  | 0.983 |  |  | 0.996 |  |  | 0.982 |  |
| FIt Protected |  | 0.998 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.994 |  |  | 0.999 |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 0 | 1598 | 0 | 0 | 1648 | 0 | 0 | 1660 | 0 | 0 | 1645 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted |  | 0.996 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.979 |  |  | 0.998 |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 0 | 1595 | 0 | 0 | 1648 | 0 | 0 | 1635 | 0 | 0 | 1643 | 0 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  | 10 |  |  | 3 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |
| Link Speed (mph) |  | 30 |  |  | 30 |  |  | 30 |  |  | 30 |  |
| Link Distance ( t ) |  | 655 |  |  | 815 |  |  | 575 |  |  | 1307 |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 14.9 |  |  | 18.5 |  |  | 13.1 |  |  | 29.7 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 1 | 19 | 10 | 0 | 21 | 3 | 9 | 58 | 2 | 1 | 39 | 6 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 69 | 0 | 0 | 46 | 0 |
| Enter Blocked Intersection | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Lane Alignment | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right |
| Median Width(tt) |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Link Offset(ft) |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Crosswalk Width(ft) |  | 16 |  |  | 16 |  |  | 16 |  |  | 16 |  |
| Two way Left Turn Lane |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Headway Factor | 1.14 | 1.14 | 1.14 | 1.14 | 1.14 | 1.14 | 1.14 | 1.14 | 1.14 | 1.14 | 1.14 | 1.14 |
| Turning Speed (mph) | 15 |  | 9 | 15 |  | 9 | 15 |  | 9 | 15 |  | 9 |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA |  |  | NA |  | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA |  |
| Protected Phases |  | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |  |
| Minimum Split (s) | 22.5 | 22.5 |  | 22.5 | 22.5 |  | 22.5 | 22.5 |  | 22.5 | 22.5 |  |
| Total Split (s) | 27.0 | 27.0 |  | 27.0 | 27.0 |  | 33.0 | 33.0 |  | 33.0 | 33.0 |  |
| Total Split (\%) | 45.0\% | 45.0\% |  | 45.0\% | 45.0\% |  | 55.0\% | 55.0\% |  | 55.0\% | 55.0\% |  |
| Maximum Green (s) | 22.5 | 22.5 |  | 22.5 | 22.5 |  | 28.5 | 28.5 |  | 28.5 | 28.5 |  |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.5 | 3.5 |  | 3.5 | 3.5 |  | 3.5 | 3.5 |  | 3.5 | 3.5 |  |
| All-Red Time (s) | 1.0 | 1.0 |  | 1.0 | 1.0 |  | 1.0 | 1.0 |  | 1.0 | 1.0 |  |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Total Lost Time (s) |  | 4.5 |  |  | 4.5 |  |  | 4.5 |  |  | 4.5 |  |
| Lead/Lag |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Walk Time (s) | 7.0 | 7.0 |  | 7.0 | 7.0 |  | 7.0 | 7.0 |  | 7.0 | 7.0 |  |
| Flash Dont Walk (s) | 11.0 | 11.0 |  | 11.0 | 11.0 |  | 11.0 | 11.0 |  | 11.0 | 11.0 |  |
| Pedestrian Calls (\#hr) | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Act Effct Green (s) |  | 22.5 |  |  | 22.5 |  |  | 28.5 |  |  | 28.5 |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio |  | 0.38 |  |  | 0.38 |  |  | 0.48 |  |  | 0.48 |  |
| v/c Ratio |  | 0.05 |  |  | 0.04 |  |  | 0.09 |  |  | 0.06 |  |
| Control Delay |  | 9.6 |  |  | 11.2 |  |  | 8.9 |  |  | 14.4 |  |
| Queue Delay |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Total Delay |  | 9.6 |  |  | 11.2 |  |  | 8.9 |  |  | 14.4 |  |



Splits and Phases: 6: Main Street \& 12th Street


| Intersection |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Intersection Delay, s/veh | 7.3 |
| Intersection LOS | A |


| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations |  | ¢ |  |  | ¢ |  |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | ¢ |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 5 | 6 | 10 | 9 | 11 | 15 | 10 | 41 | 10 | 8 | 37 | 15 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 5 | 6 | 10 | 9 | 11 | 15 | 10 | 41 | 10 | 8 | 37 | 15 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 6 | 7 | 11 | 10 | 12 | 17 | 11 | 46 | 11 | 9 | 41 | 17 |
| Number of Lanes | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Approach | EB |  |  | WB |  |  | NB |  |  | SB |  |  |
| Opposing Approach | WB |  |  | EB |  |  | SB |  |  | NB |  |  |
| Opposing Lanes | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| Conflicting Approach Left | SB |  |  | NB |  |  | EB |  |  | WB |  |  |
| Conflicting Lanes Left | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| Conflicting Approach Right | NB |  |  | SB |  |  | WB |  |  | EB |  |  |
| Conflicting Lanes Right | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| HCM Control Delay | 7.1 |  |  | 7.2 |  |  | 7.4 |  |  | 7.3 |  |  |
| HCM LOS | A |  |  | A |  |  | A |  |  | A |  |  |


| Lane | NBLn1 | EBLn1 | WBLn1 | SBLn1 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Vol Left, \% | $16 \%$ | $24 \%$ | $26 \%$ | $13 \%$ |
| Vol Thu, \% | $67 \%$ | $29 \%$ | $31 \%$ | $62 \%$ |
| Vol Right, \% | $6 \%$ | $48 \%$ | $43 \%$ | $25 \%$ |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop |
| Traffic Vol by Lane | 61 | 21 | 35 | 60 |
| LT Vol | 10 | 5 | 9 | 8 |
| Through Vol | 41 | 6 | 11 | 37 |
| RT Vol | 10 | 10 | 15 | 15 |
| Lane Flow Rate | 68 | 23 | 39 | 67 |
| Geometry Grp | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Degree of Util (X) | 0.076 | 0.026 | 0.043 | 0.074 |
| Departure Headway (Hd) | 4.028 | 3.957 | 3.977 | 3.971 |
| Convergence, Y/N | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Cap | 886 | 895 | 891 | 899 |
| Service Time | 2.067 | 2.024 | 2.041 | 2.011 |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.077 | 0.026 | 0.044 | 0.075 |
| HCM Control Delay | 7.4 | 7.1 | 7.2 | 7.3 |
| HCM Lane LOS | A | A | A | A |
| HCM 95th-tile Q | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 |


| Intersection |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Intersection Delay, s/veh | 7.3 |
| Intersection LOS | A |


| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations |  | ¢ |  |  | ¢ |  |  | ¢ |  |  | ¢ |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 1 | 17 | 9 | 0 | 19 | 3 | 8 | 52 | 2 | 1 | 35 | 5 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 1 | 17 | 9 | 0 | 19 | 3 | 8 | 52 | 2 | 1 | 35 | 5 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 1 | 19 | 10 | 0 | 21 | 3 | 9 | 58 | 2 | 1 | 39 | 6 |
| Number of Lanes | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Approach | EB |  |  |  | WB |  | NB |  |  | SB |  |  |
| Opposing Approach | WB |  |  |  | EB |  | SB |  |  | NB |  |  |
| Opposing Lanes | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| Conflicting Approach Left | SB |  |  |  | NB |  | EB |  |  | WB |  |  |
| Conflicting Lanes Left | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| Conflicting Approach Right | NB |  |  |  | SB |  | WB |  |  | EB |  |  |
| Conflicting Lanes Right | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| HCM Control Delay | 7.2 |  |  |  | 7.3 |  | 7.4 |  |  | 7.3 |  |  |
| HCM LOS | A |  |  |  | A |  | A |  |  | A |  |  |


| Lane | NBLn1 | EBLn1 | WBLn1 | SBLn1 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Vol Left, \% | $13 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $2 \%$ |
| Vol Thru, \% | $84 \%$ | $63 \%$ | $86 \%$ | $85 \%$ |
| Vol Right, \% | $3 \%$ | $33 \%$ | $14 \%$ | $12 \%$ |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop |
| Traffic Vol by Lane | 62 | 27 | 22 | 41 |
| LT Vol | 8 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Through Vol | 52 | 17 | 19 | 35 |
| RT Vol | 2 | 9 | 3 | 5 |
| Lane Flow Rate | 69 | 30 | 24 | 46 |
| Geometry Grp | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Degree of Util (X) | 0.078 | 0.033 | 0.028 | 0.051 |
| Departure Headway (Hd) | 4.07 | 3.957 | 4.072 | 4.013 |
| Convergence, Y/N | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Cap | 878 | 897 | 872 | 889 |
| Service Time | 2.103 | 2.016 | 2.132 | 2.052 |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.079 | 0.033 | 0.028 | 0.052 |
| HCM Control Delay | 7.4 | 7.2 | 7.3 | 7.3 |
| HCM Lane LOS | A | A | A | A |
| HCM 95th-tile Q | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 3.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |  |
| Lane Configurations |  | ¢ |  |  | ¢ |  |  | ${ }_{4}$ |  |  | ¢ |  |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 5 | , | 10 | 9 | 11 | 15 | 10 | 41 | 10 | 8 | 37 | 15 |  |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 5 | 6 | 10 | 9 | 11 | 15 | 10 | 41 | 10 | 8 | 37 | 15 |  |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Sign Control Stor | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free |  |
| RT Channelized | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None |  |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |  |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 |  |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |  |
| Mvmt Flow | 6 | 7 | 11 | 10 | 12 | 17 | 11 | 46 | 11 | 9 | 41 | 17 |  |





| Intersection |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersection Delay, s/veh |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | EB | WB | NB | SB |
| Entry Lanes | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Conflicting Circle Lanes | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Adj Approach Flow, veh/h | 24 | 39 | 68 | 67 |
| Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 24 | 39 | 69 | 68 |
| Vehicles Circulating, veh/h | 61 | 64 | 22 | 33 |
| Vehicles Exiting, veh/h | 40 | 27 | 63 | 70 |
| Ped Vol Crossing Leg, \#/h | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped Cap Adj | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Approach Delay, s/veh | 2.9 | 3.0 | 3.1 | 3.1 |
| Approach LOS | A | A | A | A |


| Lane | Left | Left | Left | Left |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Designated Moves | LTR | LTR | LTR | LTR |
| Assumed Moves | LTR | LTR | LTR | LTR |
| RT Channelized |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Util | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Follow-Up Headway, s | 2.609 | 2.609 | 2.609 | 2.609 |
| Critical Headway, s | 4.976 | 4.976 | 4.976 | 4.976 |
| Entry Flow, veh/h | 24 | 39 | 69 | 68 |
| Cap Entry Lane, veh/h | 1297 | 1293 | 1349 | 1334 |
| Entry HV Adj Factor | 0.994 | 0.994 | 0.987 | 0.988 |
| Flow Entry, veh/h | 24 | 39 | 68 | 67 |
| Cap Entry, veh/h | 1289 | 1285 | 1331 | 1318 |
| VIC Ratio | 0.019 | 0.030 | 0.051 | 0.051 |
| Control Delay, s/veh | 2.9 | 3.0 | 3.1 | 3.1 |
| LOS | A | A | A | A |
| 95th \%tile Queue, veh | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersection Delay, s/veh | 3.0 |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS | A |  |  |  |
| Approach | EB | WB | NB | SB |
| Entry Lanes | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Conflicting Circle Lanes | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Adj Approach Flow, veh/h | 30 | 24 | 69 | 46 |
| Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 30 | 24 | 70 | 47 |
| Vehicles Circulating, veh/h | 41 | 69 | 21 | 30 |
| Vehicles Exiting, veh/h | 36 | 22 | 50 | 63 |
| Ped Vol Crossing Leg, \#/h | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped Cap Adj | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Approach Delay, s/veh | 2.9 | 3.0 | 3.1 | 3.0 |
| Approach LOS | A | A | A | A |


| Lane | Left | Left | Left | Left |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Designated Moves | LTR | LTR | LTR | LTR |
| Assumed Moves | LTR | LTR | LTR | LTR |
| RT Channelized |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Util | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Follow-Up Headway, s | 2.609 | 2.609 | 2.609 | 2.609 |
| Critical Headway, s | 4.976 | 4.976 | 4.976 | 4.976 |
| Entry Flow, veh/h | 30 | 24 | 70 | 47 |
| Cap Entry Lane, veh/h | 1323 | 1286 | 1351 | 1338 |
| Entry HV Adj Factor | 0.988 | 0.983 | 0.983 | 0.983 |
| Flow Entry, veh/h | 30 | 24 | 69 | 46 |
| Cap Entry, veh/h | 1307 | 1264 | 1328 | 1316 |
| VIC Ratio | 0.023 | 0.019 | 0.052 | 0.035 |
| Control Delay, s/veh | 2.9 | 3.0 | 3.1 | 3.0 |
| LOS | A | A | A | A |
| 95th \%tile Queue, veh | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

## Appendix C

Alternatives 1-4

|  | 4 |  |  | 7 |  |  |  | $\dagger$ | \% |  | $\ddagger$ | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | * |  |  | * |  |  | * |  |  | \& |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 3 | 6 | 2 | 9 | 19 | 8 | 8 | 71 | 7 | 11 | 57 | 7 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 3 | 6 | 2 | 9 | 19 | 8 | 8 | 71 | 7 | 11 | 57 | 7 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Frt |  | 0.977 |  |  | 0.970 |  |  | 0.989 |  |  | 0.987 |  |
| Flt Protected |  | 0.988 |  |  | 0.988 |  |  | 0.995 |  |  | 0.993 |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 0 | 1618 | 0 | 0 | 1607 | 0 | 0 | 1650 | 0 | 0 | 1643 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted |  | 0.968 |  |  | 0.960 |  |  | 0.983 |  |  | 0.970 |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 0 | 1585 | 0 | 0 | 1561 | 0 | 0 | 1630 | 0 | 0 | 1605 | 0 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  | 2 |  |  | 9 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 8 |  |
| Link Speed (mph) |  | 30 |  |  | 30 |  |  | 30 |  |  | 30 |  |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 695 |  |  | 775 |  |  | 1307 |  |  | 795 |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 15.8 |  |  | 17.6 |  |  | 29.7 |  |  | 18.1 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 3 | 7 | 2 | 10 | 21 | 9 | 9 | 79 | 8 | 12 | 63 | 8 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 96 | 0 | 0 | 83 | 0 |
| Enter Blocked Intersection | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Lane Alignment | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right |
| Median Width(ft) |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Link Offset(ft) |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Crosswalk Width(ft) |  | 16 |  |  | 16 |  |  | 16 |  |  | 16 |  |
| Two way Left Turn Lane |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Headway Factor | 1.14 | 1.14 | 1.14 | 1.14 | 1.14 | 1.14 | 1.14 | 1.14 | 1.14 | 1.14 | 1.14 | 1.14 |
| Turning Speed (mph) | 15 |  | 9 | 15 |  | 9 | 15 |  | 9 | 15 |  | 9 |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA |  |
| Protected Phases |  | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |  |
| Minimum Split (s) | 22.5 | 22.5 |  | 22.5 | 22.5 |  | 22.5 | 22.5 |  | 22.5 | 22.5 |  |
| Total Split (s) | 27.0 | 27.0 |  | 27.0 | 27.0 |  | 33.0 | 33.0 |  | 33.0 | 33.0 |  |
| Total Split (\%) | 45.0\% | 45.0\% |  | 45.0\% | 45.0\% |  | 55.0\% | 55.0\% |  | 55.0\% | 55.0\% |  |
| Maximum Green (s) | 22.5 | 22.5 |  | 22.5 | 22.5 |  | 28.5 | 28.5 |  | 28.5 | 28.5 |  |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.5 | 3.5 |  | 3.5 | 3.5 |  | 3.5 | 3.5 |  | 3.5 | 3.5 |  |
| All-Red Time (s) | 1.0 | 1.0 |  | 1.0 | 1.0 |  | 1.0 | 1.0 |  | 1.0 | 1.0 |  |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Total Lost Time (s) |  | 4.5 |  |  | 4.5 |  |  | 4.5 |  |  | 4.5 |  |
| Lead/Lag |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Walk Time (s) | 7.0 | 7.0 |  | 7.0 | 7.0 |  | 7.0 | 7.0 |  | 7.0 | 7.0 |  |
| Flash Dont Walk (s) | 11.0 | 11.0 |  | 11.0 | 11.0 |  | 11.0 | 11.0 |  | 11.0 | 11.0 |  |
| Pedestrian Calls (\#/hr) | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Act Effct Green (s) |  | 22.5 |  |  | 22.5 |  |  | 28.5 |  |  | 28.5 |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio |  | 0.38 |  |  | 0.38 |  |  | 0.48 |  |  | 0.48 |  |
| v/c Ratio |  | 0.02 |  |  | 0.07 |  |  | 0.12 |  |  | 0.11 |  |
| Control Delay |  | 11.2 |  |  | 10.5 |  |  | 17.9 |  |  | 8.5 |  |
| Queue Delay |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Total Delay |  | 11.2 |  |  | 10.5 |  |  | 17.9 |  |  | 8.5 |  |



Splits and Phases: 3: Main Street \& 11th Street


|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  | 4 | $\dagger$ | $p$ |  | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\dagger$ |  |  | \$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | \$ |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 8 | 32 | 3 | 2 | 23 | 4 | 11 | 57 | 5 | 2 | 54 | 21 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 8 | 32 | 3 | 2 | 23 | 4 | 11 | 57 | 5 | 2 | 54 | 21 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Frt |  | 0.992 |  |  | 0.983 |  |  | 0.990 |  |  | 0.963 |  |
| Flt Protected |  | 0.991 |  |  | 0.997 |  |  | 0.993 |  |  | 0.999 |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 0 | 1648 | 0 | 0 | 1643 | 0 | 0 | 1648 | 0 | 0 | 1613 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted |  | 0.969 |  |  | 0.992 |  |  | 0.970 |  |  | 0.997 |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 0 | 1612 | 0 | 0 | 1635 | 0 | 0 | 1610 | 0 | 0 | 1610 | 0 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  | 3 |  |  | 4 |  |  | 6 |  |  | 23 |  |
| Link Speed (mph) |  | 30 |  |  | 30 |  |  | 30 |  |  | 30 |  |
| Link Distance ( t ) |  | 655 |  |  | 815 |  |  | 575 |  |  | 1307 |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 14.9 |  |  | 18.5 |  |  | 13.1 |  |  | 29.7 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 9 | 36 | 3 | 2 | 26 | 4 | 12 | 63 | 6 | 2 | 60 | 23 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 48 | 0 | 0 | 32 | 0 | 0 | 81 | 0 | 0 | 85 | 0 |
| Enter Blocked Intersection | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Lane Alignment | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right |
| Median Width(ft) |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Link Offset(ft) |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Crosswalk Width(t) |  | 16 |  |  | 16 |  |  | 16 |  |  | 16 |  |
| Two way Left Turn Lane |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Headway Factor | 1.14 | 1.14 | 1.14 | 1.14 | 1.14 | 1.14 | 1.14 | 1.14 | 1.14 | 1.14 | 1.14 | 1.14 |
| Turning Speed (mph) | 15 |  | 9 | 15 |  | 9 | 15 |  | 9 | 15 |  | , |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA |  |
| Protected Phases |  | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |  |
| Minimum Split (s) | 22.5 | 22.5 |  | 22.5 | 22.5 |  | 22.5 | 22.5 |  | 22.5 | 22.5 |  |
| Total Split (s) | 28.0 | 28.0 |  | 28.0 | 28.0 |  | 32.0 | 32.0 |  | 32.0 | 32.0 |  |
| Total Split (\%) | 46.7\% | 46.7\% |  | 46.7\% | 46.7\% |  | 53.3\% | 53.3\% |  | 53.3\% | 53.3\% |  |
| Maximum Green (s) | 23.5 | 23.5 |  | 23.5 | 23.5 |  | 27.5 | 27.5 |  | 27.5 | 27.5 |  |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.5 | 3.5 |  | 3.5 | 3.5 |  | 3.5 | 3.5 |  | 3.5 | 3.5 |  |
| All-Red Time (s) | 1.0 | 1.0 |  | 1.0 | 1.0 |  | 1.0 | 1.0 |  | 1.0 | 1.0 |  |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Total Lost Time (s) |  | 4.5 |  |  | 4.5 |  |  | 4.5 |  |  | 4.5 |  |
| Lead/Lag |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Walk Time (s) | 7.0 | 7.0 |  | 7.0 | 7.0 |  | 7.0 | 7.0 |  | 7.0 | 7.0 |  |
| Flash Dont Walk (s) | 11.0 | 11.0 |  | 11.0 | 11.0 |  | 11.0 | 11.0 |  | 11.0 | 11.0 |  |
| Pedestrian Calls (\#/hr) | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Act Efft Green (s) |  | 23.5 |  |  | 23.5 |  |  | 27.5 |  |  | 27.5 |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio |  | 0.39 |  |  | 0.39 |  |  | 0.46 |  |  | 0.46 |  |
| v/c Ratio |  | 0.08 |  |  | 0.05 |  |  | 0.11 |  |  | 0.11 |  |
| Control Delay |  | 11.4 |  |  | 10.7 |  |  | 9.3 |  |  | 17.1 |  |
| Queue Delay |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Total Delay |  | 11.4 |  |  | 10.7 |  |  | 9.3 |  |  | 17.1 |  |



Splits and Phases: 6: Main Street \& 12th Street


| Intersection |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Intersection Delay, s/veh | 7.5 |
| Intersection LOS | A |


| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | ¢ |  |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | ${ }_{*}$ |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 3 | 6 | 2 | 9 | 19 | 8 | 8 | 71 | 7 | 11 | 57 | 7 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 3 | 6 | 2 | 9 | 19 | 8 | 8 | 71 | 7 | 11 | 57 | 7 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 3 | 7 | 2 | 10 | 21 | 9 | 9 | 79 | 8 | 12 | 63 | 8 |
| Number of Lanes | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Approach | EB |  |  | WB |  |  | NB |  |  | SB |  |  |
| Opposing Approach | WB |  |  | EB |  |  | SB |  |  | NB |  |  |
| Opposing Lanes | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| Conflicting Approach Left | SB |  |  | NB |  |  | EB |  |  | WB |  |  |
| Conflicting Lanes Left | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| Conflicting Approach Right | NB |  |  | SB |  |  | WB |  |  | EB |  |  |
| Conflicting Lanes Right | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| HCM Control Delay | 7.4 |  |  | 7.5 |  |  | 7.6 |  |  | 7.5 |  |  |
| HCM LOS | A |  |  | A |  |  | A |  |  | A |  |  |


| Lane | NBLn1 | EBLn1 | WBLn1 | SBLn1 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Vol Left, \% | $9 \%$ | $27 \%$ | $25 \%$ | $15 \%$ |
| Vol Thu, \% | $83 \%$ | $55 \%$ | $53 \%$ | $76 \%$ |
| Vol Right, \% | $8 \%$ | $18 \%$ | $22 \%$ | $9 \%$ |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop |
| Traffic Vol by Lane | 86 | 11 | 36 | 75 |
| LT Vol | 8 | 3 | 9 | 11 |
| Through Vol | 71 | 6 | 19 | 57 |
| RT Vol | 7 | 2 | 8 | 7 |
| Lane Flow Rate | 96 | 12 | 40 | 83 |
| Geometry Grp | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Degree of Util (X) | 0.108 | 0.014 | 0.046 | 0.094 |
| Departure Headway (Hd) | 4.059 | 4.219 | 4.168 | 4.072 |
| Convergence, Y/N | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Cap | 879 | 835 | 847 | 876 |
| Service Time | 2.102 | 2.311 | 2.252 | 2.117 |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.109 | 0.014 | 0.047 | 0.095 |
| HCM Control Delay | 7.6 | 7.4 | 7.5 | 7.5 |
| HCM Lane LOS | A | A | A | A |
| HCM 95th-tile Q | 0.4 | 0 | 0.1 | 0.3 |


| Intersection |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Intersection Delay, s/veh | 7.5 |
| Intersection LOS | A |


| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | ¢ |  |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | ${ }_{\$}$ |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 8 | 32 | 3 | 2 | 23 | 4 | 11 | 57 | 5 | 2 | 54 | 21 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 8 | 32 | 3 | 2 | 23 | 4 | 11 | 57 | 5 | 2 | 54 | 21 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 9 | 36 | 3 | 2 | 26 | 4 | 12 | 63 | 6 | 2 | 60 | 23 |
| Number of Lanes | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Approach | EB |  |  | WB |  |  | NB |  |  | SB |  |  |
| Opposing Approach | WB |  |  | EB |  |  | SB |  |  | NB |  |  |
| Opposing Lanes | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| Conflicting Approach Left | SB |  |  | NB |  |  | EB |  |  | WB |  |  |
| Conflicting Lanes Left | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| Conflicting Approach Right | NB |  |  | SB |  |  | WB |  |  | EB |  |  |
| Conflicting Lanes Right | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| HCM Control Delay | 7.6 |  |  | 7.4 |  |  | 7.6 |  |  | 7.5 |  |  |
| HCM LOS | A |  |  | A |  |  | A |  |  | A |  |  |


| Lane | NBLn1 | EBLn1 | WBLn1 | SBLn1 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Vol Left, \% | $15 \%$ | $19 \%$ | $7 \%$ | $3 \%$ |
| Vol Tru, \% | $78 \%$ | $74 \%$ | $79 \%$ | $70 \%$ |
| Vol Right, \% | $7 \%$ | $7 \%$ | $14 \%$ | $27 \%$ |
| Sign Control | 73 | 43 | 29 | 77 |
| Traffic Vol by Lane | 11 | 8 | 2 | 2 |
| LT Vol | 57 | 32 | 23 | 54 |
| Through Vol | 5 | 3 | 4 | 21 |
| RT Vol | 81 | 48 | 32 | 86 |
| Lane Flow Rate | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Geometry Grp | 0.093 | 0.056 | 0.037 | 0.094 |
| Degree of Util (X) | 4.127 | 4.242 | 4.189 | 3.976 |
| Departure Headway (Hd) | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Convergence, Y/N | 861 | 833 | 842 | 893 |
| Cap | 2.186 | 2.324 | 2.277 | 2.037 |
| Service Time | 0.094 | 0.058 | 0.038 | 0.096 |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 7.6 | 7.6 | 7.4 | 7.5 |
| HCM Control Delay | A | A | A | A |
| HCM Lane LOS | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.3 |




| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 3.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |  |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | ¢ |  |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | ¢ |  |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 8 | 32 | 3 | 2 | 23 | 4 | 11 | 57 | 5 | 2 | 54 | 21 |  |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 8 | 32 | 3 | 2 | 23 | 4 | 11 | 57 | 5 | 2 | 54 | 21 |  |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Sign Control S | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free |  |
| RT Channelized | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None | - |  | None |  |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |  |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 |  |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |  |
| Mvmt Flow | 9 | 36 | 3 | 2 | 26 | 4 | 12 | 63 | 6 | 2 | 60 | 23 |  |



| Intersection |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersection Delay, s/veh | 3.2 |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS | A |  |  |  |
| Approach | EB | WB | NB | SB |
| Entry Lanes | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Conflicting Circle Lanes | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Adj Approach Flow, veh/h | 12 | 40 | 96 | 83 |
| Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 12 | 40 | 98 | 84 |
| Vehicles Circulating, veh/h | 86 | 93 | 22 | 40 |
| Vehicles Exiting, veh/h | 38 | 27 | 76 | 93 |
| Ped Vol Crossing Leg, \#/h | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped Cap Adj | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Approach Delay, s/veh | 3.0 | 3.2 | 3.3 | 3.3 |
| Approach LOS | A | A | A | A |


| Lane | Left | Left | Left | Left |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Designated Moves | LTR | LTR | LTR | LTR |
| Assumed Moves | LTR | LTR | LTR | LTR |
| RT Channelized |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Util | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Follow-Up Headway, s | 2.609 | 2.609 | 2.609 | 2.609 |
| Critical Headway, s | 4.976 | 4.976 | 4.976 | 4.976 |
| Entry Flow, veh/h | 12 | 40 | 98 | 84 |
| Cap Entry Lane, veh/h | 1264 | 1255 | 1349 | 1325 |
| Entry HV Adj Factor | 0.989 | 0.990 | 0.984 | 0.985 |
| Flow Entry, veh/h | 12 | 40 | 96 | 83 |
| Cap Entry, veh/h | 1250 | 1242 | 1327 | 1305 |
| VIC Ratio | 0.009 | 0.032 | 0.073 | 0.063 |
| Control Delay, s/veh | 3.0 | 3.2 | 3.3 | 3.3 |
| LOS | A | A | A | A |
| 95th \%tile Queue, veh | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersection Delay, s/veh | 3.2 |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS | A |  |  |  |
| Approach | EB | WB | NB | SB |
| Entry Lanes | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Conflicting Circle Lanes | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Adj Approach Flow, veh/h | 48 | 32 | 81 | 85 |
| Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 49 | 33 | 82 | 86 |
| Vehicles Circulating, veh/h | 65 | 85 | 48 | 41 |
| Vehicles Exiting, veh/h | 62 | 45 | 66 | 77 |
| Ped Vol Crossing Leg, \#/h | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped Cap Adj | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Approach Delay, s/veh | 3.1 | 3.1 | 3.3 | 3.3 |
| Approach LOS | A | A | A | A |


| Lane | Left | Left | Left | Left |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Designated Moves | LTR | LTR | LTR | LTR |
| Assumed Moves | LTR | LTR | LTR | LTR |
| RT Channelized |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Util | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Follow-Up Headway, s | 2.609 | 2.609 | 2.609 | 2.609 |
| Critical Headway, s | 4.976 | 4.976 | 4.976 | 4.976 |
| Entry Flow, veh/h | 49 | 33 | 82 | 86 |
| Cap Entry Lane, veh/h | 1291 | 1265 | 1314 | 1323 |
| Entry HV Adj Factor | 0.985 | 0.984 | 0.985 | 0.986 |
| Flow Entry, veh/h | 48 | 32 | 81 | 85 |
| Cap Entry, veh/h | 1272 | 1245 | 1294 | 1305 |
| VIC Ratio | 0.038 | 0.026 | 0.062 | 0.065 |
| Control Delay, s/veh | 3.1 | 3.1 | 3.3 | 3.3 |
| LOS | A | A | A | A |
| 95th \%tile Queue, veh | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

City of Goodland
Month-end Fund Balance

| Fund No. | Fund | Beginning Balance | October 2023 |  | Ending Balance | Investments | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Deposits | Disbursements |  |  |  |
| 02 | Sales Tax Imp Project | 0.00 |  | - | 0.00 | - | 0.00 |
| 03 | Museum Endowment | 5,416.18 | 11,523.23 | $(10,207.35)$ | 6,732.06 | 86,206.20 | 92,938.26 |
| 04 | Street \& Project Improvement | $(32,500.00)$ | 2,500.00 | $(12,500.00)$ | $(42,500.00)$ | - | $(42,500.00)$ |
| 05 | Cemetery Improvement | 44,762.59 | 86,225.83 | $(84,000.00)$ | 46,988.42 | 232,960.15 | 279,948.57 |
| 06 | Special Highway | 96.52 | 30,252.92 | $(8,000.00)$ | 22,349.44 | 55,500.00 | 77,849.44 |
| 07 | Self Insurance | 109,806.28 | 196,047.21 | $(165,716.67)$ | 140,136.82 | 410,000.00 | 550,136.82 |
| 09 | Airport Fund | 140,177.44 | 93,627.75 | $(95,000.00)$ | 138,805.19 | 275,000.00 | 413,805.19 |
| 11 | General | 636,663.10 | 358,335.22 | (219,247.75) | 775,750.57 | 205,000.00 | 980,750.57 |
| 12 | Bond and Interest | (831.13) | 26,175.52 | $(18,500.00)$ | 6,844.39 | 18,500.00 | 25,344.39 |
| 13 | Library | 11,949.28 | 4,206.45 | $(11,949.28)$ | 4,206.45 | - | 4,206.45 |
| 14 | Sales Tax | 4,534.44 | 24,527.17 | $(25,510.43)$ | 3,551.18 | - | 3,551.18 |
| 15 | Electric Utility | 699,333.13 | 677,201.39 | $(726,863.00)$ | 649,671.52 | 200,000.00 | 849,671.52 |
| 18 | Municipal Court Diversion Fees | 5,067.05 | 764.70 | (958.82) | 4,872.93 | 8,500.00 | 13,372.93 |
| 19 | Law Enforcement Trust | 504.39 | 18,649.97 | $(19,062.09)$ | 92.27 | 30,000.00 | 30,092.27 |
| 20 | Electric Meter Deposit | 25,277.39 | 38,650.00 | $(34,650.00)$ | 29,277.39 | 120,500.00 | 149,777.39 |
| 21 | Water Utility | 59,753.82 | 243,096.09 | $(192,355.74)$ | 110,494.17 | 240,000.00 | 350,494.17 |
| 22 | Water Service Deposit | 51,266.95 | 4,373.54 | $(4,550.00)$ | 51,090.49 | 40,000.00 | 91,090.49 |
| 23 | Sewer Utility | 105,102.72 | 80,235.43 | $(94,141.78)$ | 91,196.37 | 105,000.00 | 196,196.37 |
| 25 | Vehicle Inspections (VIN) | 10,938.94 | 11,045.35 | $(9,820.56)$ | 12,163.73 | 22,500.00 | 34,663.73 |
| 26 | Special Park \& Recreation | 1,863.53 | 7,000.00 | $(7,000.00)$ | 1,863.53 | 12,500.00 | 14,363.53 |
| 27 | Grant Improvement Reserve Fund | 11,655.96 | 34,263.49 | $(29,000.00)$ | 16,919.45 | 44,900.00 | 61,819.45 |
| 28 | CID Projects | 20,714.22 | 17,566.66 | $(20,714.22)$ | 17,566.66 | - | 17,566.66 |
| 29 | Fire Equipment | - | - | - |  | - | - |
| 30 | Health and Sanitation | 30,447.29 | 86,736.86 | $(80,880.00)$ | 36,304.15 | 24,000.00 | 60,304.15 |
| 31 | Airport Improvement | 0.00 | - | - | 0.00 | - | 0.00 |
| 32 | Electric Reserve | 155,276.12 | 202,000.16 | $(201,000.00)$ | 156,276.28 | 422,500.00 | 578,776.28 |
| 33 | Water Reserve | 188,663.58 | 47,844.70 | $(47,000.00)$ | 189,508.28 | 90,500.00 | 280,008.28 |
| 34 | CDBG Grant | 0.00 | - | - | 0.00 | - | 0.00 |
| 35 | ARPA Project | 262,661.67 | 29,821.53 | $(40,000.00)$ | 252,483.20 | 65,000.00 | 317,483.20 |
| 36 | M.E.R.F | 1,046,707.09 | 385,695.99 | $(401,444.89)$ | 1,030,958.19 | 1,852,000.00 | 2,882,958.19 |
| 37 | Sewer Reserve | 73,659.37 | 10,260.47 | $(10,500.00)$ | 73,419.84 | 143,500.00 | 216,919.84 |
| 38 | Capital Improvement Reserve Fund | 2,992,368.18 | 469,024.12 | $(327,805.23)$ | $3,133,587.07$ | 2,240,000.00 | 5,373,587.07 |
| 39 | Efficiency KS Project | 0.00 | 137.13 | (137.13) | 0.00 | - | 0.00 |
| 40 | Insurance Proceeds Fund | 5,553.44 | 13.69 | - | 5,567.13 | - | 5,567.13 |
| 45 | Employee Benefits | 143,708.34 | 16,537.22 | $(53,265.10)$ | 106,980.46 | 148,000.00 | 254,980.46 |
| 46 | Library Employee Benefits | 2,854.56 | 1,112.87 | $(2,854.56)$ | 1,112.87 | - | 1,112.87 |
| 48 | State Water Plan | 6,018.49 | 1,269.42 | $(3,067.82)$ | 4,220.09 | - | 4,220.09 |
|  | TOTAL | 6,819,470.93 | 3,216,722.08 | (2,957,702.42) | 7,078,490.59 | 7,092,566.35 | 14,171,056.94 |
|  | FNB Bank | - | - | - | - | 3,607,900.00 | 3,607,900.00 |
|  | BANKWEST | 6,817,470.93 | 2,766,167.37 | (2,507,147.71) | 7,076,490.59 | 34,960.15 | 7,111,450.74 |
|  | Western State Bank | - | - | - | - | 3,383,500.00 | 3,383,500.00 |
|  | Ameriprise Ent. Inv. Services | - | - | - | - | 66,206.20 | 66,206.20 |
|  | Petty Cash | 2,000.00 | - | - | 2,000.00 | - | 2,000.00 |
|  | TOTAL | 6,819,470.93 | 2,766,167.37 | (2,507,147.71) | 7,078,490.59 | 7,092,566.35 | 14,171,056.94 |

AN ORDINANCE creating Article II in Chapter 26 of the Pittsburg City Code for the purpose of creating the Pittsburg Land Bank, and determining the membership, duties and functions of the Board of Trustees of the Pittsburg Land Bank.

WHEREAS, the City of Pittsburg recognizes that dilapidated, vacant, and unused properties can create a dis-incentive for new construction and infill;

WHEREAS, the Vision 2030 community strategic plan identified the improvement of the community's aesthetic appearance by addressing dilapidated and condemned structures as a specific area of focus;

WHEREAS, within that specific area of focus a strategy is to develop a focused property management strategy for the city;

WHEREAS, land banking provides the City a viable tool to address abandoned and taxdelinquent properties; and

WHEREAS, the City desires to establish a Land Bank as a proactive measure to return such properties to productive use.

THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED BY THE GOVERNING BODY OF THE CITY OF PITTSBURG, KANSAS:

Section 1. Article II in Chapter 26 of the Pittsburg City Code is hereby created as follows:

## ARTICLE II. PITTSBURG LAND BANK

Sec. 26-31. Creation; purpose. The Pittsburg Land Bank is hereby established pursuant to K.S.A. 12-5901, et. seq. The Pittsburg Land Bank is an independent agency and instrumentality of the City with the primary responsibility and authority to efficiently acquire, hold, manage, transform, and convey surplus City properties and other abandoned, taxforeclosed, or otherwise underutilized or distressed properties in order to convey these properties into productive use.

Sec. 26-32. Definitions. For the purpose of this Article, the words set out in this section shall have the following meanings.
(1) "City" means the City of Pittsburg, Kansas;
(2) "Board" means the Board of Trustees of the Pittsburg Land Bank;
(3) "Bank" means the Pittsburg Land Bank established pursuant to this Ordinance; and
(4) "Governing Body" means the governing body of the City of Pittsburg.

## Sec. 26-33. Land Bank Board of Trustees; Appointment; Terms; Dissolution.

a) There is hereby established a Land Bank Board of Trustees. The Board shall be composed of seven (7) members. Board members shall be appointed by the Governing Body. Vacancies on the Board shall be filled by appointment for the vacant unexpired term.
b) The term of office of the Board members shall be three (3) years.
c) Primary City staff support to the Board will come from the Director of Community Development and Housing, or his or her designee. City staff will provide technical and professional support for Bank operations; additional support may be contracted as deemed necessary.
d) The Bank may be dissolved by ordinance of the Governing Body, without cause. In such case, all property of the Bank shall be transferred to and held by the City and may be disposed of as otherwise provided by law.

## Sec. 26-34. Officers; Organization.

a) The Board shall select, annually, from its membership, a chairperson, a vice chairperson, a secretary and a treasurer. The treasurer shall be bonded in such amounts as the Governing Body may require.
b) The Board may appoint such officers, agents and employees as it may require for the performance of its duties, and shall determine the qualifications and duties and fix the compensation of such officers, agents and employees.
c) The Board shall fix the time and place at which its meetings shall be held. Meetings shall be held within the City and shall be subject to the Kansas Open Meeting Act, K.S.A. 75-4317, et seq., and amendments thereto.
d) A majority of the Board shall constitute a quorum for the transaction of business. No action of the Board shall be binding unless taken at a meeting at which at least a quorum is present.
e) The members of the Board shall be subject to the provisions of the laws of the State of Kansas which relate to conflicts of interest of county officers and employees, including, but not limited to, K.S.A. 75-4301, et seq., and amendments thereto.
f) Subject to the provisions of the Kansas Tort Claims Act, K.S.A. 75-6101, et seq., and amendments thereto, if any action at law or equity, or other legal proceeding, shall be brought against any member of the Board for any act or omission arising out of the performance of duties as a member of the Board, such member shall be indemnified in whole and held harmless by the Board for any judgment or decree entered against such member and, further, shall be defended at the cost and expense of the Bank in any such proceeding.

Sec. 26-35. Powers of the Board. The Land Bank Board of Trustees shall have the following powers and duties:
(1) To sue and be sued;
(2) To enter into contracts;
(3) To appoint and remove staff and provide for the compensation thereof;

To acquire, by purchase, gift or devise, and convey any real property, including easements and reversionary interest, and personal property, subject to the provisions of this Ordinance and state law;
(5) To rebate all or any portion thereof, the taxes on any property sold or conveyed by the Bank;
(6) To exercise any other power which may be delegated to the Bank by the Governing Body; and
(7) To exercise any other incidental power which is necessary to carry out the purposes of the Land Bank and state law.

Sec. 26-36. Administration. The Board shall assume possession and control of any property acquired by it under this Ordinance or state law and shall hold and administer such property. In the administration of property, the Board shall:
(1) Manage, maintain and protect or temporarily use for a public purpose such property in the manner the Board deems appropriate;
(2) Compile and maintain a written inventory of all such property. The inventory shall be available for public inspection and distribution at all times;
(3) Study, analyze and evaluate potential, present and future uses for such property which would provide for the effective reutilization of such property;
(4) Plan for and use the Board's best efforts to consummate the sale or other disposition of such property at such times and upon such terms and conditions deemed appropriate;
(5) Establish and maintain records and accounts reflecting all transactions, expenditures and revenues in relation to the Bank's activities, including separate itemizations of all transactions, expenditures and revenues concerning each individual parcel of property acquired; and
(6) No less than thirty (30) days prior to the sale of any property owned by the Bank, publish a notice in the official City newspaper announcing such sale.

## Sec. 26-37. Budget; Records; Report.

a) The Bank shall be subject to the provisions of the Cash Basis Law, K.S.A.101101 , et. seq., and amendments thereto.
b) The budget of the Bank shall be prepared, adopted and published as provided by law for other political subdivisions of the State of Kansas. No budget shall be adopted by the Board until it has been submitted to, reviewed and approved by the Governing Body. If the Governing Body elects not to ratify the budget, it must reject the plan in its entirety and remand it back to the Board with specific recommendations for reconsideration
c) The Board shall keep accurate accounts of all receipts and disbursements. The receipts and disbursements of the Board shall be audited yearly by a certified or licensed public accountant and the report of the audit shall be included in and become part of the annual report of the Board.
d) All records and accounts shall be subject to public inspection pursuant to K.S.A. 45-216, et seq., and amendments thereto.
e) Any moneys of the Bank which are not immediately required for the purposes of the Bank shall be invested in the manner prescribed by K.S.A. 12-1675, and amendments thereto.
f) The Bank shall make an annual report to the Governing Body on or before January 31 of each year, showing receipts and disbursements from all funds under its control and showing all property transactions occurring in each year. Such report shall include an inventory of all property held by the Bank. A copy of such inventory shall also be published in the official City newspaper on or before January 31 of each year.
g) The Bank shall be subject to the statutory requirements for the deposit of public money as provided in K.S.A. 9-1401, et seq., and amendments thereto.
h) The Board, without competitive bidding, may sell any property acquired by the Board at such times, to such persons, and upon such terms and conditions, and subject to such restrictions and covenants deemed necessary or appropriate to assure the property's effective reutilization.
i) The sale of any real property by the Board, under the provisions of this Ordinance or state law, on which there are delinquent special assessments to finance public improvements shall be conditioned upon the approval of the Governing Body.
j) The Board, for the purpose of land disposition, may consolidate, assemble or subdivide individual parcels of property acquired by the Bank.
k) Until sold or otherwise disposed of by the Bank, and except for special assessments levied by the City to finance public improvements, any property acquired by the Bank shall be exempt from the payment of ad valorem taxes levied by the State of Kansas and any other political or taxing subdivision of the state.

1) Except for special assessments levied by the City to finance public improvements, when the Board acquires property pursuant to this Ordinance and state law, the Crawford County Treasurer shall remove from the tax rolls all taxes, assessments, charges, penalties and interest that are due and payable on the property at the time of acquisition by the Board.
m) Property held by the Bank shall remain liable for special assessments levied by the City for public improvements, but no payment thereof shall be required until such property is sold or otherwise conveyed by the Bank.
n) The Governing Body may abate part or all of any special assessments which it has levied on property acquired by the Bank, and the Bank and the Governing Body may enter into agreements related thereto. Any special assessments that are abated shall be removed from the tax rolls by the County Treasurer as of the effective date of the abatement.
o) Any moneys derived from the sale of property by the Bank shall be retained by the Bank for the purposes and operations thereof; provided, however, that the Board may use all or part of the proceeds from such sale to reimburse the City for delinquent special assessments due on such property.
p) The Board may establish separate neighborhood or city advisory committees consisting of persons living or owning property within the city or neighborhood.

In the case of neighborhood advisory committees, the board shall determine the boundaries of each neighborhood. In the absence of a resolution by the Board providing otherwise, each advisory committee shall consist of not less than five (5) nor more than nine (9) persons, to be appointed by the board for two (2) year, overlapping terms. The Board shall consult with each advisory committee as needed to review the operations and activities of the Bank and to receive the advices of the members of the advisory committee concerning any matter which comes before the committees.

Section 2. This Ordinance shall take effect upon its passage and publication in the official city newspaper.

PASSED AND APPROVED this $12^{\text {th }}$ day of May, 2015.


Mayor - Chuck Munsell
ATTEST:
$\underset{\text { Tammy Nagel-City }}{\text { Onek }}$ nagel



[^0]:    Aaron Thompson, Mayor

[^1]:    Mary P. Volk, City Clerk

[^2]:    CD GL ACCOUNT

[^3]:    WHEREAS, the Governing Body finds it is in the best interest of the City to adopt the 2018 International Property Maintenance Code Book for the City of Goodland

[^4]:    Aaron Thompson, Mayor

