1. CALL TO ORDER
A. Roll Call
B. Pledge of Allegiance
2. PUBLIC COMMENT
(Members of the audience will have five minutes to present any matter of concern to the Commission. No official action may be taken at this time.)
3. CONSENT AGENDA
A. 08/7/2023 Commission Meeting Minutes
B. $08 / 14 / 2023$ Joint Commission Meeting Minutes
C. Appropriation Ordinances 2023-16; 202316A; 2023-16B; 2023-P16
4. PRESENTATIONS \& PROCLAMATIONS

None this meeting.
5. ORDINANCES AND RESOLUTIONS

None this meeting.
6. FORMAL ACTIONS

None this meeting.
7. DISCUSSION ITEMS
A. Water towers inspection report and recommendations - Viking
B. TEAP study
C. Follow up to joint meeting with County Wolak building and floodplain map
8. REPORTS
A. City Manager
(1) Manager Memo
(2) July Month End Budget Report
(3) Police monthly activity report
(4) League of Kansas Municipalities annual meeting in Wichita
B. City Commissioners
C. Mayor
9. ADJOURNMENT
A. Next Regular Meeting is Tuesday September 5, 2023

NOTE: Background information is available for review in the office of the City Clerk prior to the meeting. The Public Comment section is to allow members of the public to address the Commission on matters pertaining to any business within the scope of Commission authority and not appearing on the Agenda. Ordinance No. 1730 requires anyone who wishes to address the Commission on a nonagenda item to sign up in advance of the meeting and to provide their name, address, and the subject matter of their comments.

City of Goodland
204 W. 11 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Street
Goodland, KS 67735

## MEMORANDUM

TO: Mayor Thompson and City Commissioners
FROM: Kent Brown, City Manager
DATE: August 21,2023
SUBJECT: Agenda Report

## Consent Agenda:

A. 7-17-2023 Commission Meeting Minutes
B. 7-24-2023 Commission Special Meeting Minutes
C. Appropriation Ordinances 2023-16; 2023-16A; 2023-P16;

RECOMMENDED MOTION: "I move that we approve Consent Agenda items A, B and C."

## Presentations \& Proclamations

None this meeting.
Ordinances and Resolutions:
None this meeting.

## Formal Actions

None this meeting.

## Discussion Items

A. Water towers inspection report and recommendations - Viking

Rick Penner will give a presentation that provides an overview of the water tower inspection report on the towers at $4^{\text {th }} / K a n s a s, 25^{\text {th }} /$ Market St., the Power Plant and the two holding tanks at the water treatment plant. Presentation will include a number of projects identified as a result of the inspection report and the options to proceed to address the identified issues. Staff will give a couple options to the Commission for next steps.

## B. TEAP study - traffic signal controls at $11^{\text {th }} /$ Main and $12^{\text {th }} /$ Main

Study is included in the packets. Study includes a base recommendation and an alternative recommendation based on the traffic warrants for the intersection. Staff will ask for consensus on which recommendation is preferred by the Commission and staff direction on steps to implement the chosen recommendation. Commission may want to gather some additional information before making final decision on which recommendation to implement.

## C. Follow up to joint meeting with County - Wolak building and floodplain map

 See CCCF for introduction to topic. Additional factors to consider include cost, insurance, size of parcel (with or without parking lot), reverter clause, name of building, intended use and there may be others.
## Reports:

A. City Manager
> Manager Memo
$>$ July Month End Budget Report
> Police monthly activity report
> League of Kansas Municipalities annual meeting in Wichita
B. City Commissioners

The Mayor will ask each City Commissioner for their comments or questions for staff on any other topic not on the agenda at this time.
C. Mayor

Mayor will present any comments or questions for staff at this time.

## GOODLAND CITY COMMISSION

Regular Meeting
August 7, 2023
5:00 P.M.
Mayor Aaron Thompson called the meeting to order with Vice-Mayor J. J. Howard, Commissioner Jason Showalter and Commissioner Brook Redlin responding to roll call. Commissioner Ann Myers was reported absent.

Also present were Frank Hayes - Chief of Police, LeAnn Taylor - Municipal Court Judge/Clerk, Joshua Jordan - IT Director, Kenton Keith - Director of Streets and Facilities, Neal Thomburg - Director of Water and Wastewater, Danny Krayca - Director of Parks, Zach Hildebrand - Code Enforcement/Building Official, Jake Kling - City Attorney, Mary Volk - City Clerk and Kent Brown - City Manager.

## Mayor Thompson led Pledge of Allegiance

## PUBLIC COMMENT

## CONSENT AGENDA

A. 07/17/23 Commission Meeting Minutes
B. 07/24/23 Special Commission Meeting Minutes
C. Appropriation Ordinances: 2023-15, 2023-15A, 2023-P15 and 2023-P15A ON A MOTION by Commissioner Redlin to approve Consent Agenda seconded by Vice-Mayor Howard. MOTION carried on a VOTE of 4-0.

## PRESENTATIONS \& PROCLAMATIONS

A. Police Intern Presentation - Haydn Blochlinger served as police intern over the summer. She presented a report of her work in the department and how she learned what the officers do every day. Haydn stated, I am interested in this for a career path. I have a new understanding on the life of an officer and the commitment they have to the community. Frank stated, we were approached by NexGen about the intern program. Haydn graduated from the program last week and presented this information at graduation. She has done a good job and hope to host her again next year.
B. 811 Day Proclamation - Mayor Thompson proclaimed August 11, 2023 as 811 day in the City of Goodland and encourages everyone to call 811 before they dig. It is the law in the State of Kansas. Troy Mannis from Black Hills was present for the proclamation.

## ORDINANCES AND RESOLUTIONS

A. Ordinance 1764: 2023 Standard Traffic Ordinance - LeAnn stated, included in packet are changes outlined by the League. Main change for us is removing mandatory term of confinement for driving while suspended or revoked. ON A MOTION by Commissioner Showalter to approve Ordinance 1764: 2023 Standard Traffic Ordinance seconded by Commissioner Redlin. MOTION carried on a VOTE of 4-0.
B. Ordinance 1765: 2023 Uniform Public Offense Code - LeAnn stated, there are more changes in the public offense code, especially in definitions. We have amendments for many of the changes to turn case over to District Court. The age to purchase electronic cigarettes is now 21. ON A MOTION by Commissioner Showalter to approve Ordinance 1765: 2023 Uniform Public Offense Code seconded by Vice-Mayor Howard. MOTION carried on a VOTE of 4-0.

## FORMAL ACTIONS

A. Authorization to Apply for Dane G. Hansen Grant: Flock System - Kent stated, we had a demonstration a couple months ago and also discussed system during budget. This allows staff to apply to the foundation for a grant to install the system in the community. The application would be
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to install the system for the first year. Mayor Thompson asked, the application is for year one, if you get the grant is the program important enough for you to continue budgeting $\$ 15,000$ in future years? Frank stated, yes, I plan to track data received to determine if we should continue. I believe it will benefit the community more than many realize. Mayor Thompson asked, some people have the "big brother" concern with the system. Frank stated, the system is not for day to day review. The only reason we will review the system is when we receive an alert for a vehicle. A lot of plates will be tracked but we are only looking for specific plates when we have reason to search for that vehicle. Mayor Thompson asked, is there a query in the data base to start the search? Frank stated, that is correct. We input information involved in the situation to see if the vehicle passes through our system. Mayor Thompson asked, where are the five locations the system will be installed? Frank stated, where we have traffic entering the community from the interstate and Highway 24 and 27. We recently have had situations where the camera would have picked up stolen vehicle information. Commissioner Showalter asked, do we have a flock camera operating in Sherman County? Frank stated, yes the Highway Patrol has one on I-70 east bound that is old. Commissioner Showalter stated, so we are just adding to a system in place. Will this help you fight the drug problems in our community? Frank stated, absolutely. We have a policy in place with roles set as to who can access information in the system. Mayor Thompson asked, who would have access? Frank stated, myself and Jason Erhart will have investigative ability in system. It will run through dispatch so alerts will notify dispatch and the officer. ON A MOTION by Commissioner Showalter to authorize the City to apply for Dane G. Hansen Grant for the Flock System seconded by Vice-Mayor Howard.
MOTION carried on a VOTE of 4-0.
B. Memory Park Standpipe: Roof Repair Proposal - Kent stated, we have been informed there is an issue with the roof on standpipe in Memory Park. We have been working with USG, formerly Midwest Tank who the City signed a contract with in the 1990's. They submitted a proposal for the repairs but we asked for additional information and received no response. During your special meeting the Commission voted to discontinue the contract. We received two additional bids for standpipe repairs and feel we should be responsible and proceed with repairs. Maguire Iron physically inspected the roof and submitted a bid in the amount of $\$ 62,500$. Viking Industrial Painting (Viking) submitted a bid in the amount of $\$ 78,500$. Viking currently has the contract for maintenance and inspection for our other towers and will give a presentation at our next meeting. Staff recommends approving the proposal from Maguire Iron, even though a different company, staff is comfortable with their professionalism. This was brought forward two years ago when someone was inspecting standpipe and did not feel comfortable getting on structure. ARPA funds can be used for this repair. Mayor Thompson asked, do we know time frame for repair? Neal stated, I am hoping toward fall when we do not need to utilize tank for storage. Commissioner Showalter asked, is there enough ARPA money remaining for this project? Kent stated, there are enough remaining ARPA funds for project. ON A MOTION by Mayor Thompson to utilize ARPA funds for project and approve the bid from Maguire Iron in the amount of $\$ 62,500$, seconded by Commissioner Redlin. MOTION carried on a VOTE of 4-0.
C. Approve Engineering Contract: Cost Share Project for Caldwell Ave. - Kent stated, we received cost share grant for driving lanes of Caldwell and intersections between $10^{\text {th }}$ and $17^{\text {th }}$ Streets. We need engineers for design and to let project for bid. Andrew stated, project is mill and overlay of center 26 feet of street. There will be some depth patching in places. Mayor Thompson stated, the contract is in the amount of $\$ 12,500$ for design and not to exceed $\$ 20,000$ for construction engineering. ON A MOTION by Mayor Thompson to approve the engineering contract with EBH Engineering for the Cost Share Project on Caldwell Avenue seconded by Commissioner Showalter. MOTION carried on a VOTE of 4-0.

## DISCUSSION

A. 516 W. Hwy 24 \#406: Building Official Report - Zach stated, I was contacted by property manager of mobile home park because resident was in hospital and noticed house was in bad shape. I entered property with N-95 mask and my nose was burning. Trailer is unfit for human habitation with limited electrical outlets and no water as the stool was leaking. There were 25 to 30 cats and the house is full of cat urine and feces. I discussed property with City Attorney and Department of Animal Health who will not be able to assist. The owners are in charge of taking care of animals until we can determine what can be done with them. Once the animals are removed, she will turn mobile home over to property manager to remove trailer. We are trying to remove animals as cheap as we can. Kent stated, we are looking at removing trailer if property manager does not. Mayor Thompson stated, there are two issues: the cats and removal of trailer by someone. It is unfortunate for the cats. What is the time frame to remove cats? Zach stated, I feel we are looking at two weeks but if they do not remove them we will have to put them down. Mayor Thompson stated, our focus should first be on the cats. If the cats are removed and time goes by and the trailer is not removed, the City needs to get involved.
B. 1319 Cherry Ave.: Building Official Report - Zach stated, property owner is same as trailer in lot 406. When I visited her about the trailer, she gave permission to inspect this property. There are also numerous cats at this property we need to address before we address property. It is also unfit for human habitation. Mayor Thompson asked, what is her intention with property? Zach stated, she wants to fix it up and live there. It will be difficult for her financially. Vice-Mayor Howard asked, are there utilities on in this house? Zach stated, the utilities are off on this property. Mayor Thompson stated, again, finding a place for the cats is our priority. Then if we determine property is unfit we need to start demolition process. It is a process so there is time for the property owner to come forward and show her intention to rehabilitate property.
C. $122 \mathrm{~W} .14^{\text {th }}$ St.: Building Official Report - Zach stated, this property has been vacant for a period of time and has delinquent taxes since 2020. I spoke with property owner and they indicated plan is to remove building and build new apartment building. I have received no response to date. There was an open door on property so it is open to animals and kids. There is a lot of mold and graffiti inside. We will have to wait until next year as we do not have funds available in budget for demolition. Mayor Thompson stated, this property has been brought to my attention and it will take a lot to demolish. I do not like to see our entire budget go toward one property. Zach stated, I agree but this is right across from our park so people see it. Commissioner Showalter stated, I agree this is not a good sight for people visiting our community in the park. Kent stated, it is a process so we can begin to be ready next year.
D. Topics for Joint Meeting with County Commission and Hospital Board - Kent stated, I have not received confirmation from Hospital Director but did get confirmation from his staff and the County Commission that the $14^{\text {th }}$ will work for a joint meeting. Mayor Thompson stated, items listed for discussion are hospital direction and future plans, sales tax sunset and start of new sales tax (replacement), Wolak building and update FEMA floodplain map panels. Kent stated, the County is sole entity in the Wolak building so need to discuss future plans of building. The FEMA map has not been updated since 1981. They are updating other counties around but have not received appropriate response to update our map. Mayor Thompson stated, can you inform importance of flood map. Kent stated, citizens need for insurance rates and property that could be developed, whether in the county or city. It is important for people to know if they live next to property in a flood zone. They do aerial flights showing extent of the flood plain. Mayor
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Thompson stated, if there are more topics let Kent know. Mary asked, what time is meeting? Kent stated, we will have to confirm time.

## REPORTS

A. City Manager - 1. Manager memo is in the packet. 2. Informed by SCCD of possibility of a trackless train rental for Main Street Christmas event. Mayor Thompson asked, is this like the train car BANKWEST used for events? Kent stated, similar but a little different. The vendor is from Colorado Springs. Commissioner Showalter asked, who will drive it? Julica Ohara, SCCD Director stated, they run the operation and where we struggle finding volunteers we felt it was a good idea. 3. I have no update on playground grant application. 4. Staff had idea of a water conservation sign contest. At the water meeting we got a timer, which we felt was a good promotion. I have purchased a few more to place around town for people to find. We will also be submitting conservation tips for the public. We are pretty high per capita with water usage per day compared to rest of state. Hays had to implement procedures to conserve water. They have lowered their water usage to 75 gallons per capita per day. I feel it is better to start encouraging conservation before we are required to in an emergency. 5. Community BBQ is Friday at Steever Park from 5:00 to 7:00 p.m. Other events are scheduled that day. Julica stated, this is our last concert in the park from 6:00 to 8:00 p.m. Sherman County Community Foundation is providing bounce houses, GAA has a pie throwing contest and boys' basketball have corn-hole tournament. GAC is having events through the morning. Kent stated, staff will be helping and invite commission to help and come meet the public. 6. Notice of hearing for budget is in your packet that will be published in paper in near future. 7. Kenton stated we have had complaints on alleys around town that Black Hills has worked on. Brian Rippe is their contractor to fix alleys and is having trouble getting millings. The City is helping him with alleys so they get fixed and he will replace our millings when the mill is back up. 8. We are sealing approximately 60,000 square yards of streets Wednesday and Thursday this week.
B. City Commissioners

Vice-Mayor Howard - 1. Welcome everyone to BBQ Friday.
Commissioner Showalter - 1. I had opportunity last week to attend meeting for potential economic development project by Ruleton. There are good things happening to bring jobs to our community. We need to stand behind the housing issues in community to make sure these projects go forward.

## Commissioner Myers - 1. Absent, No Report

Commissioner Redlin - 1. No Report

## C. Mayor Thompson- 1. No Report

ADJOURNMENT WAS HAD ON A MOTION BY Commissioner Redlin seconded by Commissioner Showalter. Motion carried by unanimous VOTE, meeting adjourned at 6:10 p.m. Next regular scheduled meeting is August 21, 2023.

## ATTEST:

Aaron Thompson, Mayor

Mary P. Volk, City Clerk

## GOODLAND CITY COMMISSION

# Special Joint Commission Meeting City Commission and Sherman County Commission with Goodland Regional Medical Center Board 

January 23, 2023
5:00 P.M.

Mayor Aaron Thompson called the meeting to order with Vice-Mayor J. J. Howard, Commissioner Jason Showalter and Commissioner Brook Redlin responding to roll call. Commissioner Ann Myers was reported absent.

Sherman County Chairman Steve Evert called the meeting to order with Sherman County Commissioner Rodney Blake and Sherman County Commissioner Harvey Swager responding to roll call.

Also present from the City were Danny Krayca - Director of Parks, Mary Volk - City Clerk, Joshua Jordan - IT Director and Kent Brown - City Manager. Also present from Sherman County are Bret Mangan County Attorney, Ashley Mannis - County Clerk and Eric Albright - County IT Director. Representing Goodland Regional Medical Center (GRMC) are Craig Loveless - GRMC CEO, Breanna McEwen GRMC Executive Assistant, Greg Cure - Board Chairman and Board members Valerie Gavin and Sabrina Thompson. In addition, there are approximately twenty-five to thirty members present in the audience.

## Mayor Thompson led Pledge of Allegiance

## DISCUSSION ITEMS

A. Direction of Goodland Regional Medical Center and Future Plans - Mayor Thompson stated, our first discussion is future plans with the hospital. Craig stated, in my monthly reports I have discussed the current status of our building and its age. We had engineers and architects evaluate our structures. The main building was built in 1972 with specialty clinic added in 1995 and medical clinic in 1998. Through the course of the study the engineers and architects do a lot of work in this area. They looked at the current structure and total building layout. A concern is the distance from the clinic to the hospital is clear across the building. With changes in the law, if any part of the building is changed we have to upgrade the entire building to be compliant. There are a number of things to consider beside age. Our boilers are fifty-one years old. This year we have replaced an HVAC unit, rebuilt a coil in chiller system and have quotes out for a new roof. Our concern is where to head with aging building as we will continue to have repairs show up that need addressed. If we comply with current regulations our rooms are too small and we do not meet current code for our trauma and emergency room. We are fine if we do not touch anything, but if we touch anything we have to bring to current code. Do we look at a major remodel or build a new facility. An audience member questioned how much will our taxes increase? Craig stated, none if we use a revenue bond. Another audience member asked, at a recent hospital meeting you closed dialysis, what other services will we lose? Craig stated, dialysis had staffing issues, this plan does not have same issue. An audience member asked, what is estimated cost? Craig stated, we do not have costs at this point. A remodel today costs about as much as building a new facility. An audience member asked, will you check costs for both options? Craig stated, yes but we will do a feasibility study before we proceed. An audience member asked, how long will study take to complete? Craig stated, not long. An audience member asked, where will facility be built? Craig stated, that has to be determined. We own some neighboring lots that we would look at because it gives us direct access to Highway 27. Another audience member asked, do you plan to add services and bring in more doctors? Craig stated, right now we believe staffing is adequate but we are always looking for new doctors. An audience member asked, what will you do with
old building if it is outdated? Craig stated, we have options but that has to be determined. Mayor Thompson stated, we appreciate your questions but we are getting deeper in issue than the intention of this meeting. Those questions should be approached to the board or a planning committee. A member of the audience asked, we are critical access hospital now, how many rooms do we have? Craig stated, we have twenty-five rooms but staffed for twelve. Mayor Thompson stated, these are good concerns and need to be addressed as process continues. Greg stated, these questions will be answered but right now we are just beginning the process. Mayor Thompson stated, our meeting is to discuss the direction and future needs for the hospital. This is a general meeting so we know where hospital is in process. These are all great questions that will be answered. An audience member stated, this meeting should let you know we do not want a decrease in services. Craig stated, we have no intention in that. A member of the audience asked, there is no point to remodel facility and throw good money after bad in the building? County Commissioner Blake stated, that is exactly what we are faced with the old nursing home. We have moved on and it is working out well. We have to be open minded at this time as there are a lot of unanswered questions. An audience member asked, what funding is available for hospital? Craig stated, we are looking at FDA financing using a revenue bond. County Commissioner Swager asked, are you asking for direction from commissions? Craig stated, at this point we want to keep everyone informed; we are at a crossroad and would like direction. Audience member asked, how does the feasibility study work, does it go to the public? Craig stated, no the firm evaluates hospital finances to see if we are able to take on debt. Under FDA rules with our critical access and Medicare status, a good portion will be reimbursed based on those programs. An audience member stated, we just moved from Minnesota and had services there that are not offered here such as Medicare Advantage. I would like to see the community grow. There is a lot of negative from things that have happened in the past. I would like to see a progressive community that is thriving. Craig stated, that is why we are here. The community and hospital are one, neither will survive without other. We need to learn from past and move forward. An audience member asked, will feasibility study consider offering dialysis services again? Craig stated, that is not part of study but we know there is a need for the services. An audience member stated, there are citizens that need it. County Chairman Evert stated, we need to remember when the hospital was built we had a higher population and more students in schools. Our community numbers have changed and we still make it work. We have opportunities in near future but it takes time to get things to work. We have things growing but we have to determine how to move ahead. There is negativity but have to do what is important for community. Sometimes regulations tie our hands. It costs a lot of money especially today. Craig stated, the hospital expansion in 2015 was bid at $\$ 400$ per square foot but ended up being $\$ 500$. Today the estimate is $\$ 650$ to $\$ 700$ per square foot. Vice-Mayor Howard stated, with prices today by the time the project is complete the cost will probably be closer to $\$ 1,000$ per square foot. Craig stated, the build America buy America rule that we have to follow drives costs up more. It is a good rule but increases costs. An audience member asked, what about a wellness center? Craig stated, we have to discuss it because it does not make money so it is up to the responsible entity. The audience member stated, I feel we can add services without touching the building. Mayor Thompson stated, this is one of many discussions to come. Craig stated, we have to take all things into consideration. Mayor Thompson stated, everyone here wants the best for community which is what we are trying to do. We are all working same direction which is the reason we are having discussion. It is a long process and we are trying to work in the right direction. An audience member asked, when you mentioned the financing, you said no taxes; is it a grant or what since you referred to $\$ 60,000,000$ to $\$ 80,000,000$ debt? If county owned hospital how are you repaying debt? Craig stated, that is
reason we need feasibility study. Our financial tool is a revenue bond where security of the debt is hospital revenue. An audience member asked, does hospital generate enough money to repay debt? Craig stated, four years ago we borrowed money to make payroll. We have built up funds today through COVID money and some financial restructuring that was difficult at that time but we look good today. We have to make sure we can take on debt. An audience member asked, does study give you limit to spend or what does it consider? Craig stated, yes, our debt capacity study shows we can handle $\$ 60,000,000$ to $\$ 70,000,000$ in debt. COVID money is not considered but the study looks at our cash flow. Greg stated, health care is constantly changing. The hospital objective is to be transparent. We are in preliminary stages so do not have answers to a lot of questions tonight. County Commissioner Blake stated, ignoring the issue will not fix it. Craig stated, we see costs to maintain building continue to escalate. Greg stated, we are glad to be in a position we can discuss this issue. We are sitting well compared to other hospitals. An audience member asked, who will do study? Craig stated, we will take bids from firms that provide service. Vice-Mayor Howard stated, with any business there comes a time expenses outweigh costs of a new building. Do you continue putting money into an old facility? A new facility will be expensive but have to look at all costs to determine what is feasible. An audience member asked, will you keep old building, you still have to fix it up? Vice-Mayor Howard stated, you still have an old building but if you have a different use for building you can repurpose it. Craig stated, I said no new tax and that is our intention. Our plan is not to come to the tax payers to fund, but the community must be involved and support services. There will be increased costs to community but have to evaluate what we need to do to proceed. County Commissioner Swager stated, if people get service they need, they are more willing to pay. An audience member stated, how many in this room are so secure they believe they will not need healthcare and that resources will be provided for services needed. Mayor Thompson stated, I appreciate citizens coming out, it shows this is important to the community. Valarie stated, our board meetings are $4^{\text {th }}$ Wednesday of each month and open to the public. We welcome people to attend. This will continue to be discussed with our other items. An audience member asked, who will make final decision whether to build or remodel. Greg stated, we have discussed options for a committee to assist with process. We need to consider who will make up the committee. Craig stated, I feel confident it will not be only the board and administration. We will not make decision without community. County Commissioner Swager stated, we assume you will proceed with study. Craig stated, yes it is in our budget this year. Commissioner Redlin asked, what does study cost? Craig stated, about $\$ 70,000$. Commissioner Showalter stated, I feel good this conversation has begun, people will not come without a job. We have economic options happening that include additional jobs, but have to make sure infrastructure and services are in place. I am in favor of moving forward with feasibility study. Valarie stated, when we establish committee the milestones will be available to the public. An audience member stated, you are saying revenues from the hospital will pay the debt of the revenue bond. Craig stated, that is our goal. The audience member stated, if study shows hospital has revenue to meet debt but healthcare changes, revenues could drop but debt is not removed. What is next source to pay debt? Craig stated, revenue is the collateral. Revenue from hospital is risk the investor is taking. Because this would be an FDA obligation, the risk is with the FDA, so the government is assuming the risk. FDA stands for Food and Drug Administration. The audience member replied who is the federal government, but us. Craig stated, that is why I want to make it clear our intention is not to increase taxes but the community has to support it. Mayor Thompson stated, we are getting to questions where information has not been provided. Valarie stated, we are not set on that route yet, we have to have study to determine best way to proceed. Mayor Thompson stated, these are good questions that need to be asked but
they are not there yet. The audience member asked, have you looked at other options. Craig stated, yes we have looked at other options but this appears to be best direction at this point

## OTHER DISCUSSION ITEMS FOR CITY COMMISSION AND SHERMAN COUNTY COMMISSION

A. Sales Tax Sunset \& Start of New Sales Tax - Mayor Thompson stated, the City wanted an update on the sunset date for the sales tax bond. We received word the new tax is in place. Ashley stated, the tax started July $1^{\text {st }}$ and you will receive checks monthly.
B. Wolak Building - Kent stated, we entered into agreement in 2012 allowing fire to occupy building with separate departments. Now that departments merged, the building is occupied by County functions but owned by City which makes it difficult with insurance and building. City insures building and county insures equipment and contents. Some vehicles are city and some county. Eventually it will all be part of merged district but will take time. Brett stated, Jake Kling, City Attorney and I have discussed that all vehicles need to be titled to county but equipment needs to be kept separate. Kent stated, we agree but it is not in agreement so will need to amend agreement. As far as the building, it serves the county but is city owned. I feel the commissions need to discuss if we want to change ownership. County Commissioner Blake stated, the county has spent quite a bit of money on the building and the merger has worked out well. We do not know who will be occupying commission seats ten years from now. I feel the commissions need to think about it. Mayor Thompson stated, I feel we need to look at the agreement overall and evaluate how it is working. The merger has gone well. I feel we all felt things would pop up. County Commissioner Blake stated, there was a lot of trust going into merger. We have good EMS and fire departments. County Commission Swager stated, I feel the city and county should think it over then meet again. I feel county should own building. Commissioner Showalter stated, it makes sense but I would add the caveat that if building changed hands the building name will not change as Ed Wolak gave a lot to the City. Kent stated, another caveat since the building is in close proximity to city hall is that if county moves out of building, the City will have some input as to what building will be used for. I would expect fire to remain in building, but one never knows.
C. Update FEMA Floodplain Map - Kent stated, the State is working to update mapping for a number of neighboring counties. The last update for City of Goodland was 1981. There is no FEMA floodplain map for the county but the city map from 1981 is outdated. It still shows the old "Y" on Highway 24, which has triggered questions. The improvements of the retention pond may have changed flood zones in and out of city. The State has not had a favorable response from Sherman County to update map, which is how they move project forward. They did indicate that FEMA may not move ahead if county is not involved. The County does not have to adopt the map but the analysis will be done. They want local input while occurring. Does the county have interest in having conversations with state officials to update maps? Mapping is completed through state resources not the Division of Water Resources, but for city purposes we feel we need to update map. County Commissioner Blake stated, the study could be done but county does not have to adopt. Kent stated, that is my understanding. County Commissioner Blake stated, if we adopt county map then we could require county residents to carry flood insurance on farm ground. Kent stated, I believe it is structures not farm ground, but need clarification. County Commissioner Blake asked, what is cost? Kent stated, it is through the State and does not cost the community. County Chairman Evert asked, who would county talk to about feasibility of plan and whether it is for County structures? We need to see if County does not endorse plan can city have map updated. Kent stated, I talked with floodplain coordinator she agreed to discuss information
and address specific questions at a County meeting. County Commissioner Blake stated, if we could get city updated without obligating farmers/ranchers in the County, I have no problem proceeding. Kent stated, that is our goal. County Commissioner Blake stated, please get us the state contact information to meet. Kent stated, I will get information to Ashley. Mayor Thompson stated, I appreciate willingness to have discussion.

ADJOURNMENT FOR SHERMAN COUNTY COMMISSION WAS HAD ON A MOTION County Commissioner Swager seconded by County Commissioner Blake. Motion carried by unanimous VOTE, meeting Adjourned at 6:10 p.m.

ADJOURNMENT WAS HAD ON A MOTION Commissioner Redlin seconded by Commissioner Showalter. Motion carried by unanimous VOTE, meeting Adjourned at 6:10 p.m.

## ATTEST:

Aaron Thompson, Mayor

Mary P. Volk, City Clerk
INVOICE NO LN DATE PO NO REFERENCE
PR20230811
PR20230811
PR20230811
PR20230811
PR20230811
PR20230811
PR20230811
PR20230811
PR20230811
PR20230811
PR20230811
PR20230811
PR20230811

|  | 2871 | AMERICAN FAMILY LIFE |
| ---: | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | $8 / 11 / 23$ | AFLAC CANCER |
| 2 | $8 / 11 / 23$ | AFLAC CANCER |
| 3 | $8 / 11 / 23$ | AFLAC ACCIDENT |
| 4 | $8 / 11 / 23$ | AFLAC ACCIDENT |
| 5 | $8 / 11 / 23$ | AFLAC DENTAL |
| 6 | $8 / 11 / 23$ | AFLAC ST DISB |
| 7 | $8 / 11 / 23$ | AFLAC ST DISB |
| 8 | $8 / 11 / 23$ | AFLAC LIFE RIDR |
| 9 | $8 / 11 / 23$ | AFLAC LIFE |
| 10 | $8 / 11 / 23$ | AFLAC LIFE |
| 11 | $8 / 11 / 23$ | SPEC HLTH EVENT |
| 12 | $8 / 11 / 23$ | SPEC HLTH EVENT |
| 13 | $8 / 11 / 23$ | AFLAC HOSP CONF |

$\mathrm{N} \quad 3.76 \quad 3045772 \quad 8 / 18 / 23 \mathrm{E}$
$N \quad 36.33 \quad 3045772 \quad 8 / 18 / 23 \mathrm{E}$
21-00-0012 N $\quad 12.51 \quad 3045772$ 8/18/23 E11-00-0012 N 20.10 3045772 8/18/23 E23-00-0012 N $\quad 13.62 \quad 3045772$ 8/18/23 E11-00-0012 N 51.96 3045772 8/18/23 E

AMERICAN FAMILY LIFE

## 1389 AMERICAN FID

PR20230811 PR20230811 PR20230811 PR20230811 PR20230811 PR20230811 PR20230811 PR20230811 PR20230811 PR20230811 PR20230811 PR20230811 PR20230811 PR20230811 PR20230811 PR20230811

PR20230811 PR20230811 PR20230811

## 1390 AMERICAN FIDELITY

$18 / 11 / 23$
2 8/11/23
3 8/11/23
AF CANCER AT
AF CANCER AT
AF CANCER AT
AF CANCER AT
AMER FID CANCER
AMER FID CANCER
AMER FID CANCER
AMER FID LIFE
AMER FID LIFE
AMER FID LIFE
AM FID ACCIDENT
AM FID ACCIDENT
AM FID HOSPITAL
AM FID HOSPITAL
AM FID HOSPITAL
AM FD DISABILTY
AF CRITICAL CR
AF CRITICAL CR
11-00-0012
15-00-001
15-00-001
21-00-0012
11-00-0012
15-00-001
21-00-001
11-00-0012
15-00-0012
15-00-0012
21-00-0012
11-00-0012
15-00-0012
11-00-0012
15-00-0012
21-00-0012
11-00-0012
11-00-0012
15-00-0012

|  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| N | 29.35 | 3045769 | $8 / 18 / 23$ | E |
| N | 21.85 | 3045769 | $8 / 18 / 23$ | E |
| N | 9.90 | 3045769 | $8 / 18 / 23$ | E |
| N | 116.84 | 3045769 | $8 / 18 / 23$ | E |
| N | 137.85 | 3045769 | $8 / 18 / 23$ | E |
| N | 26.95 | 3045769 | $8 / 18 / 23$ | E |
| N | 241.72 | 3045769 | $8 / 18 / 23$ | E |
| N | 229.78 | 3045769 | $8 / 18 / 23$ | E |
| N | 114.75 | 3045769 | $8 / 18 / 23$ | E |
| N | 67.85 | 3045769 | $8 / 18 / 23$ | E |
| N | 84.75 | 3045769 | $8 / 18 / 23$ | E |
| N | 26.99 | 3045769 | $8 / 18 / 23$ | E |
| N | 26.99 | 3045769 | $8 / 18 / 23$ | E |
| N | 15.93 | 3045769 | $8 / 18 / 23$ | E |
| N | 112.72 | 3045769 | $8 / 18 / 23$ | E |
| N | 13.94 | 3045769 | $8 / 18 / 23$ | E |
| N | 8.77 | 3045769 | $8 / 18 / 23$ | E |
| ----------- |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1286.93 |  |  |  |

11-00-0012 15-00-001 21-00-0012
RETMBURS
AF MED REIMBURS
AMERICAN FIDELITY

| N | 320.83 | 3045770 | $8 / 18 / 23$ | E |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| N | 274.17 | 3045770 | $8 / 18 / 23$ | E |
| N | 114.59 | 3045770 | $8 / 18 / 23$ | E |
| ------------- |  |  |  |  |
|  | 709.59 |  |  |  |

15-44-2140
39.23

66979 8/21/23

56 ARBOR DAY FOUNDATION

INVOICE NO LN DATE PO NO REFERENC

|  | 1331 | CASHIER'S | CHECK |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| GEN23-339 | 16 | $8 / 10 / 23$ | INVEST/WSB |
| GEN23-339 | 17 | $8 / 10 / 23$ | INVEST/WSB |
| GEN23-339 | 18 | $8 / 10 / 23$ | INVEST/WSB |
| GEN23-339 | 19 | $8 / 10 / 23$ | INVEST/WSB |
| GEN23-339 | 20 | $8 / 10 / 23$ | INVEST/WSB |
| GEN23-339 | 21 | $8 / 10 / 23$ | INVEST/WSB |
| GEN23-339 | 22 | $8 / 10 / 23$ | INVEST/WSB |

$11-00-0003$
$15-00-0003$
$18-00-0003$
$20-00-0003$
$21-00-0003$
$36-00-0003$

CASHIER'S CHECK

## 674 CITY OF GOODLAND, CASHIER

GEN23-35
GEN23-357 GEN23-357 GEN23-357 GEN23-35 GEN23-357

GEN23-342 GEN23-342 GEN23-342 GEN23-342 GEN23-34 GEN23-342 GEN23-342 GEN23-342 GEN23-342 GEN23-342 GEN23-342 GEN23-342 GEN23-342 GEN23-342 GEN23-342 GEN23-342

PD POSTAGE
MEAL/KROSKEY TRAINING
POSTAGE/PRAIRIELAND BILL POSTAGE/WATER TOWER CONTRACTS POSTAGE/PD

CITY OF GOODLAND, CASHIER
515 CITY OF GOODLAND, FUEI

| 1 | 8/01/23 | DIESEL | 15-42-3070 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 8/01/23 | DIESEL | 11-15-3070 |
| 3 | 8/01/23 | DIESEL | 11-23-3070 |
| 4 | 8/01/23 | DIESEL | 11-11-3070 |
| 5 | 8/01/23 | DIESEL | 21-42-3070 |
| 6 | 8/01/23 | GAS | 11-09-3070 |
| 7 | 8/01/23 | GAS | 15-44-3070 |
| 8 | 8/01/23 | GAS | 15-42-3070 |
| 9 | 8/01/23 | GAS | 15-40-3070 |
| 10 | 8/01/23 | GAS | 11-15-3070 |
| 11 | 8/01/23 | GAS | 11-03-3070 |
| 12 | 8/01/23 | GAS | 11-11-3070 |
| 13 | 8/01/23 | GAS | 23-41-3070 |
| 14 | 8/01/23 | GAS | 11-06-3070 |
| 15 | 8/01/23 | GAS | 21-42-3070 |
| 16 | 8/01/23 | GAS | 21-40-3070 |

CITY OF GOODLAND, FUEL
1880 CITY OF GOODLAND-REFUND A

| 1 | $8 / 10 / 23$ | ELECTRIC DEPOSIT REFUND |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | $8 / 10 / 23$ | WATER DEPOSIT REFUND |

CITY OF GOODLAND-REFUND A
122 COMMERCIAL SIGN COMPANY I
$18 / 16 / 23$
38-01-4010

20-01-5060
22-01-5070

| $23-43-2140$ | 36.00 | 66986 | $8 / 21 / 23$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| $11-03-3130$ | 12.40 | 66986 | $8 / 21 / 23$ |
| $11-06-3120$ | 8.72 | 66986 | $8 / 21 / 23$ |
| $15-44-3130$ | 9.05 | 66986 | $8 / 21 / 23$ |
| $21-40-3130$ | 18.98 | 66986 | $8 / 21 / 23$ |
| $11-03-3130$ | 4.90 | 66986 | $8 / 21 / 23$ |

1-15-3070 1-23-3070 11-11-3070 21-42-3070 15-44-3070 5-42-3070 11-15-3070 11-03-3070 23-41-3070 1-06-3070 21-40-3070
$\qquad$
2860.00

66989 8/21/23
90.05

| 1055.45 | 66988 | $8 / 21 / 23$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 233.05 | 66988 | $8 / 21 / 23$ |
| 57.14 | 66988 | $8 / 21 / 23$ |
| 1607.25 | 66988 | $8 / 21 / 23$ |
| 406.71 | 66988 | $8 / 21 / 23$ |
| 78.96 | 66988 | $8 / 21 / 23$ |
| 33.56 | 66988 | $8 / 21 / 23$ |
| 110.54 | 66988 | $8 / 21 / 23$ |
| 223.34 | 66988 | $8 / 21 / 23$ |
| 435.69 | 66988 | $8 / 21 / 23$ |
| 2657.85 | 66988 | $8 / 21 / 23$ |
| 536.65 | 66988 | $8 / 21 / 23$ |
| 78.11 | 66988 | $8 / 21 / 23$ |
| 324.86 | 66988 | $8 / 21 / 23$ |
| 327.97 | 66988 | $8 / 21 / 23$ |
| 353.63 | 66988 | $8 / 21 / 23$ |
| ---------- |  |  |
| 8520.76 |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| 3250.00 | 66976 | $8 / 10 / 23$ |
| 1150.00 | 66976 | $8 / 10 / 23$ |
| ----------- |  |  |
| 4400.00 |  |  |

COMMERCIAL SIGN COMPANY I

| 140000.00 | 66975 | $8 / 10 / 23$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 100000.00 | 66975 | $8 / 10 / 23$ |
| 150000.00 | 66975 | $8 / 10 / 23$ |
| 6500.00 | 66975 | $8 / 10 / 23$ |
| 33000.00 | 66975 | $8 / 10 / 23$ |
| 240000.00 | 66975 | $8 / 10 / 23$ |
| 1177000.00 | 66975 | $8 / 10 / 23$ |
| --------- |  |  |
| 3975400.00 |  |  |

APVENDRP
Thu Aug 17, 2023 1:26 PM
City of Goodland KS
OPER: SS
PAGE 4
07.01.21 8/08/2023 THRU 8/21/2023 ACCOUNTS PAYABLE VENDOR ACTIVITY

## TRACK

INVOICE NO LN DATE PO NO REFERENCE
$-\quad 987$ COMPLIANCE ONE
GL ACCOUNT

1099 NET
CHECK PD DATE


R FORD-MERCURY
100423
$1 \quad 7 / 20 / 23$
FILTER/\#2 STREET
1-11-3170
$\qquad$ 66993 8/21/23

DAN BRENNER FORD-MERCURY
27.16
---------16

184 DEPENDABLE GLASS SERVICE,
70319
$18 / 14 / 23$
DEADBOLT/BATHROOM ROSEWOOD PAR
11-15-3030

$$
\begin{gathered}
59.95 \\
-
\end{gathered}
$$

$18 / 11 / 23$
2682 DESIGNS
5192-33
$18 / 14 / 23$
EMBROIDER/PANTS \& SHIRTS PD/NAME TAGS
$15-42-3160$
$11-03-3160$
DESIGN

DE28000303-23
$17 / 31 / 23$
CHLORINE CYLINDERS
21-40-3040

| M | 170.04 | 66996 | $8 / 21 / 23$ |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| M | 6.00 | 66996 | $8 / 21 / 23$ |
| ------------ |  |  |  |
|  | 176.04 |  |  |

DPC ENTERPRISES, L.P.

|  | 2254 EAGLE COMMUNICATIONS |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GEN23-337 | 1 | 8/01/23 | TELEPHONE/INTERNET |
| GEN23-337 | 2 | 8/01/23 | TELEPHONE/INTERNET |
| GEN23-337 | 3 | 8/01/23 | TELEPHONE/INTERNET |
| GEN23-337 | 4 | 8/01/23 | TELEPHONE/INTERNET |
| GEN23-337 | 5 | 8/01/23 | TELEPHONE/INTERNET |

$11-02-2180$
$11-03-2180$
$11-04-2180$
$11-06-2180$
$11-09-2180$

| 281.43 | 66999 | $8 / 21 / 23$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 413.42 | 66999 | $8 / 21 / 23$ |
| 123.78 | 66999 | $8 / 21 / 23$ |
| 52.42 | 66999 | $8 / 21 / 23$ |
| 152.52 | 66999 | $8 / 21 / 23$ |

INVOICE NO LN DATE PO NO REFERENCE

|  | 2254 |  | EAGLE COMMUNICATIONS |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| GEN23-337 | 6 | $8 / 01 / 23$ | TELEPHONE/INTERNET |
| GEN23-337 | 7 | $8 / 01 / 23$ | TELEPHONE/INTERNET |
| GEN23-337 | 8 | $8 / 01 / 23$ | TELEPHONE/INTERNET |
| GEN23-337 | 9 | $8 / 01 / 23$ | TELEPHONE/INTERNET |
| GEN23-337 | 10 | $8 / 01 / 23$ | TELEPHONE/INTERNET |
| GEN23-337 | 11 | $8 / 01 / 23$ | TELEPHONE/INTERNET |
| GEN23-337 | 12 | $8 / 01 / 23$ | TELEPHONE/INTERNET |
| GEN23-337 | 13 | $8 / 01 / 23$ | TELEPHONE/INTERNET |
| GEN23-337 | 14 | $8 / 01 / 23$ | TELEPHONE/INTERNET |
| GEN23-337 | 15 | $8 / 01 / 23$ | TELEPHONE/INTERNET |


| $11-11-2100$ | 181.31 | 66999 | $8 / 21 / 23$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $11-15-2100$ | 123.78 | 66999 | $8 / 21 / 23$ |
| $11-17-2180$ | 123.78 | 66999 | $8 / 21 / 23$ |
| $11-25-2180$ | 181.31 | 66999 | $8 / 21 / 23$ |
| $15-40-2100$ | 210.07 | 66999 | $8 / 21 / 23$ |
| $15-42-2100$ | 181.31 | 66999 | $8 / 21 / 23$ |
| $15-44-2180$ | 267.60 | 66999 | $8 / 21 / 23$ |
| $21-40-2180$ | 123.78 | 66999 | $8 / 21 / 23$ |
| $21-42-2100$ | 152.54 | 66999 | $8 / 21 / 23$ |
| $23-41-2180$ | 123.73 | 66999 | $8 / 21 / 23$ |

7001031037 7001031037 7001031037 7001031037 7001031037 7001031037 7001031037 7001031037

4139391

9402997411 9402997412 9402997413 9402997414 9402997415
mUSEUM SALES/2 FLOWER BUNDLES
FORK \& HAY

032240

|  | 3800 | EMC |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $8 / 07 / 23$ | INSURANCE COMPANIES |
| 2 | $8 / 07 / 23$ | PREMIUM |
| 3 | $8 / 07 / 23$ | PREMIUM |
| 4 | $8 / 07 / 23$ | PREMIUM |
| 5 | $8 / 07 / 23$ | PREMIUM |
| 6 | $8 / 07 / 23$ | PREMIUM |
| 7 | $8 / 07 / 23$ | PREMIUM |
| 8 | $8 / 07 / 23$ | PREMIUM |
|  |  | PREMIUM |

ERGON ASPHALT \& EMULSIONS

ANNUAL TESTING/MAINTENANCE
FIRE ALARM SPECIALIST INC
2448 FIRE ALARM SPECIALIST INC
1 7/25/23

205 FRONTIER AG
1 7/20/23
1 7/20/23
1 7/20/23
POSTAGE/WATER SAMPLES
POSTAGE

21-40-206 21-40-2060 21-42-2060 23-43-2060 15-40-2060 15-42-2060 15-44-2060 11-02-2060

| 668.24 | 67000 | $8 / 21 / 23$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 668.24 | 67000 | $8 / 21 / 23$ |
| 668.24 | 67000 | $8 / 21 / 23$ |
| 668.24 | 67000 | $8 / 21 / 23$ |
| 9021.29 | 67000 | $8 / 21 / 23$ |
| 9021.29 | 67000 | $8 / 21 / 23$ |
| 1336.49 | 67000 | $8 / 21 / 23$ |
| 11360.14 | 67000 | $8 / 21 / 23$ |
| ---------- |  |  |
| 33412.17 |  |  |

45-01-1050
$60.00 \quad 67001$ 8/21/23

06-01-3120
06-01-3120 06-01-3120 06-01-3120 06-01-3120

15-40-306


67003 8/21/23
1220.00

67002 8/21/23 67002 8/21/23 67002 8/21/23 67002 8/21/23 67002 8/21/23
8510.79
17234.42
2393.88-
69379.43

11-00-0893 $\qquad$ 67004 8/21/23
$21-40-3130$
$21-40-3130$ 21-40-3130

| 14.51 | 67005 | $8 / 21 / 23$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 16.14 | 67005 | $8 / 21 / 23$ |
| 11.98 | 67005 | $8 / 21 / 23$ |


| INVOICE NO | LN | DATE | PO NO | REFERENCE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


|  | 205 |  |  |  |  |  |  | FRONTIER AG |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 032245 | 1 | $7 / 20 / 23$ | POSTAGE | $21-40-3130$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 032246 | 1 | $7 / 20 / 23$ | SHIPPING/PARTS \#26 LOADER | $11-11-3060$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 032247 | 1 | $7 / 20 / 23$ | SHIPPING/PROTECTIVE EQUIPMENT | $15-42-3130$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 032248 | 1 | $7 / 20 / 23$ | SHIPPING/PROTECTIVE EQUIPMENT | $15-42-3130$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 113203 | 1 | $6 / 26 / 23$ | TIRE REPAIR/MOWER | $11-11-3060$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 113405 | 1 | $7 / 06 / 23$ | TIRE REPAIR/11.2/12.4R24 TUBE | $11-11-3060$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 113701 | 1 | $7 / 19 / 23$ | $245 / 55 R 18$ FIREHAWK TIRES X 4 | $11-03-3170$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 113702 | 1 | $7 / 19 / 23$ | ALIGNMENT/\#10 | $11-03-3170$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 344190 | 1 | $7 / 18 / 23$ | DIESEL | $23-41-3070$ |  |  |  |  |  |


| 13.69 | 67005 | $8 / 21 / 23$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 16.64 | 67005 | $8 / 21 / 23$ |
| 80.26 | 67005 | $8 / 21 / 23$ |
| 28.98 | 67005 | $8 / 21 / 23$ |
| 21.40 | 67005 | $8 / 21 / 23$ |
| 114.48 | 67005 | $8 / 21 / 23$ |
| 706.56 | 67005 | $8 / 21 / 23$ |
| 102.67 | 67005 | $8 / 21 / 23$ |
| 613.05 | 67005 | $8 / 21 / 23$ |

67006 8/21/23
$11-11-3170$
$11-02-3060$
$11-15-3120$
$11-15-3120$
$15-42-3060$
$11-15-3060$
$11-03-3170$
$\qquad$ 67006 8/21/23
GADES SALES COMPANY
38-01-4010
0085129 -IN
1 8/15/23 20148
HWY 24/27 CONTROLLER/STOPLIGHT

$$
4597.00
$$

381158
381457
381580
381581
381767
381785
381858

11073

|  | 372 |
| :--- | ---: |
| 1 | $7 / 06 / 23$ |
| 1 | $7 / 13 / 23$ |
| 1 | $7 / 17 / 23$ |
| 1 | $7 / 17 / 23$ |
| 1 | $7 / 20 / 23$ |
| 1 | $7 / 21 / 23$ |
| 1 | $7 / 24 / 23$ |

BRAKE CLEANER
381457
381580
381767
381785

269
Rubber gloves
RUBBER GLOVES
DIESEL EXHAUST FLUID
AIR FILTER/\#72 RANGER
PADS/ROTORS, GUIDE PIN,AXLE\#10
GOODLAND AUTOMOTIVE LLC
2697 GOODLAND GLASS LLC

|  |  |  | GOODLAND GLASS LLC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 206 |  | STAR-NEWS |
| 029264 | 1 | 7/21/23 | HELP WANTED/MUSEUM |
| 029264 | 2 | 7/21/23 | HELP WANTER/WATER LABORER |
| 029268 | 1 | 7/21/23 | TREASURERS FINANCIALS |
| 029275 | 1 | 7/25/23 | HELP WANTED/WATER LABORER |
| 28624 | 1 | 7/04/23 | VAN AD |
|  |  |  | GOODLAND STAR-NEWS |

05-01-4050 $\qquad$ 67008 8/21/23
$11-17-2130$
$21-40-2130$
$11-02-2130$
$21-40-2130$

21-40-2130
11-06-2130

| 118.67 | 67007 | $8 / 21 / 23$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 375.16 | 67007 | $8 / 21 / 23$ |
| 16.89 | 67007 | $8 / 21 / 23$ |
| 16.89 | 67007 | $8 / 21 / 23$ |
| 163.39 | 67007 | $8 / 21 / 23$ |
| 141.78 | 67007 | $8 / 21 / 23$ |
| 183.08 | 67007 | $8 / 21 / 23$ |

$$
\begin{gathered}
-----------1 \\
3692.06
\end{gathered}
$$

- 

167 GOODLAND YOST FARM SUPPLY


1 6/29/2
23
OIL/TRIMMER \& BLOWER
ADAPTER
ROPE/WEED EATER
3/8 CHAIN \& GUIDE BAR
RAIL \& SLIDE RAIL/CHAINSAW
21-42-3120
11-15-3060
11-15-3060 11-11-3060 $11-11-3060$
$15-40-3020$

| 61.20 | 67009 | $8 / 21 / 23$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 61.20 | 67009 | $8 / 21 / 23$ |
| 152.52 | 67009 | $8 / 21 / 23$ |
| 43.20 | 67009 | $8 / 21 / 23$ |
| 42.90 | 67009 | $8 / 21 / 23$ |
| -------1 |  |  |
| 361.02 |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| 39.00 | 67010 | $8 / 21 / 23$ |
| 9.00 | 67010 | $8 / 21 / 23$ |
| 4.00 | 67010 | $8 / 21 / 23$ |
| 83.50 | 67010 | $8 / 21 / 23$ |
| 8.92 | 67010 | $8 / 21 / 23$ |
| 391.41 | 67010 | $8 / 21 / 23$ |

GOODLAND YOST FARM SUPPLY
535.83

2343 HACH COMPANY






JRNL ID/
ACCOUNT
-------
PAYROLL

11-00-0011
11-00-0001
15-00-0011
15-00-0001
15-00-0001
21-00-0011
21-00-0001
23-00-0001
11-00-0011
11-00-0001
15-00-0011
15-00-0001
21-00-0011
21-00-0011
21-00-0001
23-00-0011
$23-00-0001$
$11-00-0011$
$11-00-0011$
$11-00-0001$
15-00-0011
15-00-0001
21-00-0011
21-00-0001
23-00-0011
23-00-0011
23-00-0001
11-00-0011
11-00-0001
15-00-0011
21-00-0011
21-00-0001
23-00-0011
23-00-0001
07-01-5030
07-00-0001
15-44-0140
15-44-2140 15-00-0001 $15-44-2140$
$15-00-0001$ 45-01-1050 45-00-0001 15-40-1050 15-00-0001 15-42-1050 15-00-0001 15-00-0001 15-44-1050 15-00-0001 $21-40-1050$
$21-00-0001$ 21-42-1050 21-00-0001 23-41-1050

GENERAL EMP TAX A/P GENERAL OPERATING CASH
ELECTRIC EMP TAX A/P
ELECTRIC CASH
WATER EMP TAX A/P
WATER CASH
SEWER EMP TAX A/P SEWER CASH
GENERAL EMP TAX A/P
general operating CASH
ELECTRIC EMP TAX A/P
ELECTRIC CASH
WATER EMP TAX A/P
WATER CASH
SEWER EMP TAX A/P
SEWER CASH
GENERAL EMP TAX A/P
GENERAL OPERATING CASH
ELECTRIC EMP TAX A/P
ELECTRIC CASH
WATER EMP TAX A/P
WATER CASH
SEWER EMP TAX A/P
SEWER CASH
GENERAL EMP TAX A/P
GENERAL OPERATING CASH
ELECTRIC EMP TAX A/P
ELECTRIC CASH
WATER EMP TAX A/P
WATER CASH
SEWER EMP TAX A/P
SEWER CASH
SELF INSUR BCBS STOP LOSS PYMT STOP LOSS $08 / 01$ SELF INSUR CASH STOP IOSS 08/01
ELEC. COMM \& GEN PROF. SERV. ELECTRIC CASH
ELEC. COMM \& GEN PROF. SERV. LLECTRIC CASH
EMP BENEFIT HEALTH/ACC INSUR EMP BENEFITS CASH
ELEC. PROD. INSURANCE
ELECTRIC CASH
ELEC. DIST. INSURANCE
LECTRIC CASH
ELEC. COMM \& GEN INSURANCE ELEC. COMM \& CASH
ELECTRIC CASH
WATER PROD
WATER CASH
WATER CASH
WATER DIST. INSURANCE
WATER DIST. INSURANCE
WATER CASH
SEWER TREATMENT INSURANCE

STATE TAX SEW
SS/MED EMPE GEN SS/MED EMPE GEN SS/MED EMPE ELE SS/MED EMPE ELE SS/MED EMPE ELE
SS/MED EMPE WAT SS/MED EMPE WAT SS/MED EMPE WAT
SS/MED EMPE SEW SS/MED EMPE SEW SS/MED EMPE SEW SS/MED EMPR GEN SS/MED EMPR GEN SS/MED EMPR ELE SS/MED EMPR ELE SS/MED EMPR WAT SS/MED EMPR WAT SS/MED EMPR SEW SS/MED EMPR SEW FED TAX GEN FED TAX GEN FED TAX ELE FED TAX ELE FED TAX WAT FED TAX WAT FED TAX SEW FED TAX SEW STATE TAX GEN STATE TAX GEN
STATE TAX GEN STATE TAX GEN
STATE TAX ELE STATE TAX ELE
STATE TAX ELE STATE TAX ELE STATE TAX WAT StATE TAX WAT STATE TAX SEW STOP LOSS 08/01 cC TRANS CC TRANS CC POS CC POS BCBS GEN BCBS GEN BCBS ELPR BCBS ELPR BCBS ELDI BCBS ELDI BCBS ELDI BCBS ELCG BCBS ELCG BCBS WAPR BCBS WAPR BCBS WADI BCBS WADI BCBS SETR

THER REFERENCE/
DEBIT

| 5,322.53 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 5,322.53 | 1 |
| 2,414.80 |  |  |
|  | 2,414.80 | 1 |
| 530.25 |  |  |
|  | 530.25 | 1 |
| 219.26 |  |  |
|  | 219.26 | 1 |
| 5,322.53 |  |  |
|  | 5,322.53 | 1 |
| 2,414.80 |  |  |
|  | 2,414.80 | 1 |
| 530.25 |  |  |
|  | 530.25 | 1 |
| 219.26 |  |  |
|  | 219.26 | 1 |
| 4,688.86 |  |  |
|  | 4,688.86 | 1 |
| 2,173.10 |  |  |
|  | 2,173.10 | 1 |
| 503.09 |  |  |
|  | 503.09 | 1 |
| 93.59 |  |  |
|  | 93.59 | 1 |
| 2,585.59 |  |  |
|  | 2,585.59 | 1 |
| 1,363.60 |  |  |
|  | 1,363.60 | 1 |
| 272.38 |  |  |
|  | 272.38 | 1 |
| 100.12 |  |  |
|  | 100.12 | 1 |
| 5,063.55 |  |  |
|  | 5,063.55 | 1 |
| 669.60 |  |  |
|  | 669.60 | 1 |
| 2,359.79 |  |  |
|  | 2,359.79 | 1 |
| 14,996.03 |  |  |
|  | 14,996.03 | 1 |
| 2,803.94 |  |  |
|  | 2,803.94 | 1 |
| 4,441.35 |  |  |
|  | 4,441.35 | 1 |
| 3,110.57 |  |  |
|  | 3,110.57 | 1 |
| 704.21 |  |  |
|  | 704.21 | 1 |
| 837.45 |  |  |
|  | 837.45 | 1 |
| 182.98 |  |  |

GLJRNLUD

JRNL ID/
ACCOUNT NUMBER
23-00-0001
23-43-1050
23-00-0001

OTHER NUMBER/ ACCOUNT TITLE SEWER CASH SEWER COLL. INSURANCE SEWER CASH

City of Goodland KS GENERAL LEDGER JOURNAL ENTRIES CALENDAR 8/2023, FISCAL 8/2023

UPDATE
THER REFERENCE/
REFERENCE
BCBS SETR
BCBS SECO
BCBS SECO

OPER: MPV JRNL: 6040

| DEBIT | CREDIT | BANK \# |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 782.98 | 1 |
| 731.90 | 731.90 | 1 |



64,655.38

64,655.38

| FUND | NAME |
| :--- | :--- |
| $-------------------------------1 ~$ | SELF INSURANCE |
| 07 | GENERAL |
| 11 | ELECTRIC UTILITY |
| 15 | WATER UTILITY |
| 21 | SEWER UTILITY |
| 23 | EMPLOYEE BENEFIT |
|  |  |
|  | TOTALS |

** Transactions affected cash may need to be entered in Bank Rec!
** Review transactions that have a number in the Bank \# column.

| DEBITS | CREDITS |
| :---: | :---: |
| 5,063.55 | 5,063.55 |
| 17,919.51 | 17,919.51 |
| 21,751.55 | 21,751.55 |
| 3,377.63 | 3,377.63 |
| 1,547.11 | 1,547.11 |
| 14,996.03 | 14,996.03 |
| 64,655.38 | 64,655.38 |

**
**

GLJRNLUD
07.01 .21

Tue Aug 8, 2023 1:48 PM POSTING DATE: 8/04/2023

City of Goodland KS
GENERAL LEDGER SUMMARY CALENDAR 8/2023, FISCAL 8/2023

OPER: MPV JRNL: 6040

PAGE 3

| ACCOUNT NUMBER | ACCOUNT TITLE | DEBITS | CREDITS | NET |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 07-00-0001 | SELF INSUR CASH | . 00 | 5,063.55 | 5,063.55- |
| 07-01-5030 | SELF INSUR BCBS STOP LOSS PYMT | 5,063.55 | . 00 | 5,063.55 |
| 11-00-0001 | GENERAL OPERATING CASH | . 00 | 17,919.51 | 17,919.51- |
| 11-00-0011 | GENERAL EMP TAX A/P | 17,919.51 | . 00 | 17,919.51 |
| 15-00-0001 | ELECTRIC CASH | . 00 | 21,751.55 | 21,751.55- |
| 15-00-0011 | ELECTRIC EMP TAX A/P | 8,366.30 | . 00 | 8,366.30 |
| 15-40-1050 | ELEC. PROD. INSURANCE | 2,803.94 | . 00 | 2,803.94 |
| 15-42-1050 | Elec. DISt. Insurance | 4,441.35 | . 00 | 4,441.35 |
| 15-44-1050 | ELEC. COMM \& GEN INSURANCE | 3,110.57 | . 00 | 3,110.57 |
| 15-44-2140 | ELEC. COMM \& GEN PROF. SERV. | 3,029.39 | . 00 | 3,029.39 |
| 21-00-0001 | WATER CASH | . 00 | 3,377.63 | 3,377.63- |
| 21-00-0011 | WATER EMP TAX A/P | 1,835.97 | . 00 | 1,835.97 |
| 21-40-1050 | WATER PROD. INSURANCE | 704.21 | . 00 | 704.21 |
| 21-42-1050 | WATER DIST. INSURANCE | 837.45 | . 00 | 837.45 |
| 23-00-0001 | SEWER CASH | . 00 | 1,547.11 | 1,547.11- |
| 23-00-0011 | SEWER EMP TAX A/P | 632.23 | . 00 | 632.23 |
| 23-41-1050 | SEWER TREATMENT INSURANCE | 182.98 | . 00 | 182.98 |
| 23-43-1050 | SEWER COLL. INSURANCE | 731.90 | . 00 | 731.90 |
| 45-00-0001 | Emp Benefits CASh | . 00 | 14,996.03 | 14,996.03- |
| 45-01-1050 | EMP BENEFIT HEALTH/ACC INSUR | 14,996.03 | . 00 | 14,996.03 |


| JRNL ID/ <br> ACCOUNT NUMBER | OTHER NUMBER/ ACCOUNT TITLE | OTHER REFERENCE/ REFERENCE | DEBIT | CREDIT | BANK \# |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PAYROLL |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11-00-0011 | GENERAL EMP TAX A/P | SS/MED EMPE GEN | 5,303.22 |  |  |
| 11-00-0001 | GENERAL OPERATING CASH | SS/MED EMPE GEN |  | 5,303.22 | 1 |
| 15-00-0011 | ELECTRIC EMP TAX A/P | SS/MED EMPE ELE | 2,383.99 |  |  |
| 15-00-0001 | ELECTRIC CASH | SS/MED EMPE ELE |  | 2,383.99 | 1 |
| 21-00-0011 | WATER EMP TAX A/P | SS/MED EMPE WAT | 527.58 |  |  |
| 21-00-0001 | WATER CASH | SS/MED EMPE WAT |  | 527.58 | 1 |
| 23-00-0011 | SEWER EMP TAX A/P | SS/MED EMPE SEW | 194.22 |  |  |
| 23-00-0001 | SEWER CASH | SS/MED EMPE SEW |  | 194.22 | 1 |
| 11-00-0011 | GENERAL EMP TAX A/P | SS/MED EMPR GEN | 5,303.22 |  |  |
| 11-00-0001 | GENERAL OPERATING CASH | SS/MED EMPR GEN |  | 5,303.22 | 1 |
| 15-00-0011 | ELECTRIC EMP TAX A/P | SS/MED EMPR ELE | 2,383.99 |  |  |
| 15-00-0001 | Electric CASH | SS/MED EMPR ELE |  | 2,383.99 | 1 |
| 21-00-0011 | WATER EMP TAX A/P | SS/MED EMPR WAT | 527.58 |  |  |
| 21-00-0001 | WATER CASH | SS/MED EMPR WAT |  | 527.58 | 1 |
| 23-00-0011 | SEWER EMP TAX A/P | SS/MED EMPR SEW | 194.22 |  |  |
| 23-00-0001 | SEWER CASH | SS/MED EMPR SEW |  | 194.22 | 1 |
| 11-00-0011 | GENERAL EMP TAX A/P | FED TAX GEN | 4,613.83 |  |  |
| 11-00-0001 | GENERAL OPERATING CASH | FED TAX GEN |  | 4,613.83 | 1 |
| 15-00-0011 | ELECTRIC EMP TAX A/P | FED TAX ELE | 2,113.87 |  |  |
| 15-00-0001 | ELECTRIC CASH | FED TAX ELE |  | 2,113.87 | 1 |
| 21-00-0011 | WATER EMP TAX A/P | FED TAX WAT | 496.52 |  |  |
| 21-00-0001 | WATER CASH | FED TAX WAT |  | 496.52 | 1 |
| 23-00-0011 | SEWER EMP TAX A/P | FED TAX SEW | 102.31 |  |  |
| 23-00-0001 | SEWER CASH | FED TAX SEW |  | 102.31 | 1 |
| 11-00-0011 | GENERAL EMP TAX A/P | State tax gen | 2,524.79 |  |  |
| 11-00-0001 | GENERAL OPERATING CASH | State tax gen |  | 2,524.79 | 1 |
| 15-00-0011 | ELECTRIC EMP TAX A/P | State tax ele | 1,337.42 |  |  |
| 15-00-0001 | ELECTRIC CASH | State tax Ele |  | 1,337.42 | 1 |
| 21-00-0011 | WATER EMP TAX A/P | State tax wat | 266.75 |  |  |
| 21-00-0001 | WATER CASH | State tax wat |  | 266.75 | 1 |
| 23-00-0011 | SEWER EMP TAX A/P | State tax sew | 101.93 |  |  |
| 23-00-0001 | SEWER CASH | StATE TAX SEW |  | 101.93 | 1 |
| 07-01-5030 | SELF InSUR BCBS Stop loss pymt | STOP LOSS 08/08 | 10,026.46 |  |  |
| 07-00-0001 | SELF INSUR CASH | STOP LOSS 08/08 |  | 10,026.46 | 1 |
| 07-01-5030 | SELF INSUR BCBS STOP LOSS PYMT | STOP LOSS 08/15 | 6,741.18 |  |  |
| 07-00-0001 | SELF INSUR CASH | STOP LOSS 08/15 |  | 6,741.18 | 1 |
| 15-50-5020 | Electric Compensating tax | COMP TAX JULY | 66.49 |  |  |
| 15-00-0001 | Electric CASH | COMP TAX JULY |  | 66.49 | 1 |
| 14-01-5080 | SALES tax Remittance to state | SALES TAX JULY | 20,646.26 |  |  |
| 14-00-0001 | SALE TAX CASH | SALES TAX JULY |  | 20,646.26 | 1 |
| 15-50-5020 | Electric Compensating tax | SALES TAX JULY | 3,086.00 |  |  |
| 15-00-0001 | Electric CASH | SALES TAX JULY |  | 3,086.00 | 1 |
| 21-52-5080 | WATER COMPENSATING TAX REMIT. | SALES TAX JULY | 3.06 |  |  |
| 21-00-0001 | WATER CASH | SALES TAX JULY |  | 3.06 | 1 |
| 11-25-3130 | GEN OP ST WATER PK CONC. SUPP | SALES TAX JULY | 228.93 |  |  |
| 11-00-0001 | GENERAL OPERATING CASH | SALES TAX JULY |  | 228.93 | 1 |
| 11-00-0893 | GENERAL OP. MISC RECEIPTS | SALES TAX JULY | 35.83 |  |  |
| 11-00-0001 | GENERAL OPERATING CASH | SALES TAX JULY |  | 35.83 | 1 |
| 12-01-6010 | BOND \& INT. BOND PRIN | St Bond PRIN PYMT | 250,000.00 |  |  |
| 12-00-0001 | BOND \& INTEREST CASH | ST BOND PRIN PYMT |  | 250,000.00 | 1 |
| 12-01-6020 | BOND \& INT. BOND INT. | ST BOND INT PYMT | 19,000.00 |  |  |

OTHER NUMBER/ ACCOUNT TITLE BOND \& INTEREST CASH $\quad$ REFERENCE $\quad$ ST BOND INT PYMT

ST BOND INT PY
WA BOND PYMT

## WA BOND PYMT <br> $\begin{array}{ll}\text { CAP IMP RES FUND WATER UTILITY WA BOND } \\ \text { WA } \\ \text { IMP RES FUND CASH } & \text { WA BOND PYMT }\end{array}$

JRNL ID/
ACCOUNT NUMBER
12-00-0001
38-01-4080
38-00-0001
T DEBIT

DEBIT
CREDIT
19,000.00
ANK 232.718.75

232,718.75
570,928.40
Journal Total :
Sub Total
570,928.40
570,928.40
** Report Total *
570,928.40
570,928.40

| FUND | NAME |
| :---: | :---: |
| 07 | SELF INSURANCE |
| 11 | GENERAL |
| 12 | BOND And Interest |
| 14 | SALES TAX |
| 15 | ELECTRIC UTILItY |
| 21 | WAter Utility |
| 23 | SEWER UTILITY |
| 38 | CAPITAL RESERVE |
|  | TOTALS |


** ransactions affected cash may need to be entered in Bank Rec.
** Review transactions that have a number in the Bank \# column.

| ACCOUNT NUMBER | ACCOUNT TITLE | DEBITS | CREDITS | NET |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 07-00-0001 | SELF INSUR CASH | . 00 | 16,767.64 | 16,767.64- |
| 07-01-5030 | SELF INSUR BCBS STOP LOSS PYMT | 16,767.64 | . 00 | 16,767.64 |
| 11-00-0001 | GENERAL OPERATING CASH | . 00 | 18,009.82 | 18,009.82- |
| 11-00-0011 | GENERAL EMP TAX A/P | 17,745.06 | . 00 | 17,745.06 |
| 11-00-0893 | GENERAL OP. MISC RECEIPTS | 35.83 | . 00 | 35.83 |
| 11-25-3130 | GEN OP St WAter Pk Conc. SUPP | 228.93 | . 00 | 228.93 |
| 12-00-0001 | BOND \& INTEREST CASH | . 00 | 269,000.00 | 269,000.00- |
| 12-01-6010 | BOND \& INT. BOND PRIN | 250,000.00 | . 00 | 250,000.00 |
| 12-01-6020 | BOND \& INT. BOND INT. | 19,000.00 | . 00 | 19,000.00 |
| 14-00-0001 | SALE TAX CASH | . 00 | 20,646.26 | 20,646.26- |
| 14-01-5080 | SALES TAX REMITtANCE TO STATE | 20,646.26 | . 00 | 20,646.26 |
| 15-00-0001 | Electric CASH | . 00 | 11,371.76 | 11,371.76- |
| 15-00-0011 | ELECTRIC EMP TAX A/P | 8,219.27 | . 00 | 8,219.27 |
| 15-50-5020 | ELECTRIC COMPENSATING TAX | 3,152.49 | . 00 | 3,152.49 |
| 21-00-0001 | WATER CASH | . 00 | 1,821.49 | 1,821.49- |
| 21-00-0011 | WATER EMP TAX A/P | 1,818.43 | . 00 | 1,818.43 |
| 21-52-5080 | WATER COMPENSATING TAX REMIT. | 3.06 | . 00 | 3.06 |
| 23-00-0001 | SEWER CASH | . 00 | 592.68 | 592.68- |
| 23-00-0011 | SEWER EMP TAX A/P | 592.68 | . 00 | 592.68 |
| 38-00-0001 | CAP IMP RES FUND CASH | . 00 | 232,718.75 | 232,718.75- |
| 38-01-4080 | CAP IMP RES FUND WATER UTILITY | 232,718.75 | . 00 | 232,718.75 |
|  | TRANSACTION TOTALS | 570,928.40 | 570,928.40 | . 00 |

# PAYROLL REGISTER 

## ORDINANCE \#2023-P16

## 8/18/2023

| DEPARTMENT | GROSS PAY |
| :--- | ---: |
|  | $70,101.29$ |
| GENERAL | $31,909.12$ |
| ELECTRIC | $7,053.82$ |
| WATER | $2,552.50$ |
| SEWER | $111,616.73$ |

PASSED AND SIGNED THIS $\qquad$ DAY OF
, 2023

# CITY COMMISSION COMMUNICATION FORM 

## FROM: Neal Thornburg, Water \& Sewer Superintendent <br> Kent Brown, City Manager

DATE: 8/21/2023

## ITEM: Water tower maintenance inspection report and recommendations

## NEXT STEP: Staff direction

_ORDINANCE
_-__INOTION
__INFORMATION
I. REQUEST OR ISSUE: The purpose of the original project was to solicit qualifications and cost proposals from firms to provide inspection, cleaning, sampling of water and accumulated sediment, conducting a condition assessment report detailing observations and deficiencies of three (3) water tower storage tanks and two (2) clearwell tanks for the next three (3) years. This report and discussion is to review the condition assessment report and the priority of projects identified within the report.

## II. RECOMMENDED ACTION / NEXT STEP:

Staff is requesting direction to develop proposals to address the projects identified over a set time period up to 5,10 or more years.

## III. FISCAL IMPACTS:

No fiscal impacts with the report. Depending on the number of projects and time period, there will be significant fiscal impacts.

## IV. BACKGROUND INFORMATION:

From the March 20, 2023 City Commission minutes:
D. RFP 2023-02: Water Storage Tank Maintenance Inspection Program - Kent stated, the City received four responses. H2O solutions did not comply with bid request so bid will not be considered. Commissioner Howard asked, have any of the companies been used in the past? Neal stated, we have used Maguire Iron. Mayor Thompson asked, where is Viking Industrial based? Neal stated, they are based in Nebraska, and have many other Cities in the state of Kansas. Staff is recommending the low bid that meets criteria of RFP. ON A MOTION by Mayor Thompson to approve the bid from Viking Industrial Painting for a three year contract in the amount of $\$ 45,540.00$ for water storage tank maintenance inspection seconded by Commissioner Myers. MOTION carried on a VOTE of 5-0.

Staff has received water tower maintenance inspection reports as required over the years. However, the urgency to address the issues identified increases with each passing year and the limitation of available resources has made it difficult to set out a plan to systematically address these issues. Maintaining these assets will allow the towers to continue to be in service for the next 50 to 100 years.

## V. LEGAL ISSUES: None

VI. CONFLICTS OR ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES:

SUMMARY AND ALTERNATIVES:
Commission may take one of the following actions:

1. Approve the proposal as requested.
2. Reject the proposal and move to deny the request.
3. Direct staff to pursue an alternative approach.

FROM: Kent Brown, City Manager<br>Kenton Keith, Streets Superintendent<br>Dustin Bedore, Director of Public Power<br>DATE: 8/21/2023<br>ITEM: TEAP Study $-11^{\text {th }} /$ Main and $12^{\text {th }} /$ Main intersections

NEXT STEP: Information and Staff direction

```
        ORDINANCE
        MOTION
    X
        INFORMATION
```


## I. REQUEST OR ISSUE:

The attached study is included for your review. Staff is seeking direction on the recommendations as listed on page 1 of the report in the executive summary and recommendations. The base recommendation is to remove the existing traffic signals at both intersections. The alternative recommendation is to keep the traffic signals at both intersections with upgrades to current day standards and technology on the signals.

## II. RECOMMENDED ACTION / NEXT STEP:

Recommendation: Discussion by Commission - Staff Direction.

## III. FISCAL IMPACTS:

Some costs to remove signals and install other devices for base recommendation.
Costs to upgrade signals for alternative recommendation.

## IV. BACKGROUND INFORMATION:

The City of Goodland requested KDOT perform a TEAP study of the intersections of $11^{\text {th }} /$ Main St. and $12^{\text {th }} /$ Main St. to estimate existing traffic demands and provide guidance on the proper traffic control scheme for the two intersections.
TEAP (Traffic Engineering Assistance Program) is a program funded by KDOT and BG Consultants was selected to complete the study. BG Consultants performed traffic data collection and study of the 12 th \& Main and the 13th \& Main traffic signals. Per Jason Hoskinson of BG Consultants, they had completed the study a while ago and but the draft copy to the City was overlooked.

## City of Goodland, Kansas

## $11^{\text {th }} \&$ Main Street and $12^{\text {th }} \&$ Main Street Traffic Engineering Assistance Program (TEAP) Study

## Prepared by:

ENGINEERS • ARCHITECTS • SURVEYORS


## Table of Contents
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## Executive Summary and Recommendations

The purpose of this Traffic Engineering Assistance Program (TEAP) study is to evaluate the appropriateness of the existing traffic signal control in the Study Area intersections of $11^{\text {th }}$ Street/Main Street and $12^{\text {th }}$ Street/Main Street in Goodland, Kansas. This report documents the analysis and findings pertaining to roadway and traffic characteristics as well as the current use of traffic control devices and recommendations for possible improvements to enhance safety and operations.

The Study Area intersections are located within the Central Business District (CBD) area of Goodland. Numerous businesses are located along the Main Street corridor. Street characteristics and traffic patterns are typical for CBD areas including on-street parking, slow traffic speeds, and wide sidewalks for enhanced pedestrian accommodations.

Typical weekday traffic data was obtained at both the Study Area intersections. The data was used to evaluate the appropriateness of using traffic signal control at the intersections. The AM and PM peak hour data of the typical weekday was also used to evaluate traffic operations of alternative forms of traffic control including an All-Way STOP condition, Two-Way STOP condition, and conversion of the intersections to a roundabout style of intersection geometry.

## Recommendations and Suggestions:

Due to the similarities in street characteristics, traffic volume/patterns, and traffic operations, the following recommendations apply to both the $11^{\text {th }}$ Street/Main Street and the $12^{\text {th }}$ Street/Main Street intersections. We also recommend the same recommendation be applied concurrently to both intersections.

Base Recommendation: The existing traffic signal control should be removed in accordance with the procedures outlined in Section 4B. 02 of the MUTCD. Two-Way STOP Control should be implemented with $11^{\text {th }}$ Street and $12^{\text {th }}$ Street being the STOP controlled approaches at Main Street. The conversion should include the installation of STOP signs with street name signs for the STOP controlled approaches in advance of the crosswalk. $24^{\prime \prime}$ White pavement marking STOP lines should also be installed and/or refreshed on the $11^{\text {th }}$ Street and $12^{\text {th }}$ Street approaches to Main Street. Main Street STOP lines should be removed at the $11^{\text {th }}$ Street and $12^{\text {th }}$ Street approaches.
Alternative Recommendation: As an alternative to the Base Recommendation, the intersections of $11^{\text {th }}$ Street/Main Street and $12^{\text {th }}$ Street/Main Street could remain as traffic signal controlled intersections on the basis of Traffic Signal Warrant \#6. If this Alternative Recommendation is pursued by the City of Goodland, we recommend the signal systems be upgraded to current day standards and technology to include vehicle detection, battery backup, updated controller, and an accessible pedestrian signal system.

## Introduction

The City of Goodland requested the Kansas Department of Transportation (KDOT) perform a Traffic Engineering Assistance Program (TEAP) study of the intersections of $11^{\text {th }}$ Street/Main Street and $12^{\text {th }}$ Street/Main Street to estimate existing traffic demands and provide guidance on the proper traffic control scheme for the two intersections. Figure 1 below shows the Study Area within the City Limits.

Figure 1 - Study Area Map (City of Goodland, KS)


## Existing Conditions and Data Collection

This section summarizes some of the key current-day street and traffic characteristics of the Study Area intersections. Traffic data was collected during the weekdays of November 17-19, 2020 (Tuesday thru Thursday) and included sufficient data to encompass peak-hour turning movement counts with sufficient hourly intersection volume to enable traffic signal warrant analyses. The following information summarizes the existing conditions and peak hour turning movements.

- Main Street:
- Area Development Characteristics: Central Business District
- 2-lane brick street ( $48^{\prime}$ wide) with curb and gutter and angled on-street parking
- $+/-70^{\prime}$ wide with sidewalks on both sides of the street
- Low Speed facility ( $30-\mathrm{mph}$ or less); $\pm 1,500$ vehicles per day
- $\quad 11^{\text {th }}$ Street and $12^{\text {th }}$ Street @ Main Street:
- Area Development Characteristics: Central Business District
- 2-lane brick street (48' wide) with curb and gutter and angled on-street parking
- +/-70' wide with sidewalks on both sides of the street
- Low Speed facility ( $30-\mathrm{mph}$ or less); $\pm 600$ vehicles per day

| 11th Street and Main Street |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { AM Peak Hour } \\ 9: 45 \mathrm{am}-10: 45 \mathrm{am} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Midday Peak Hour } \\ \text { 11:45 am - 12:45 pm } \end{gathered}$ | PM Peak Hour 2:45 pm - 3:45 pm |
|  |  |  |
| AM Peak Hour 7:30 am - $8: 30 \mathrm{am}$ | 12th Street and Main Street Midday Peak Hour 11:30 am - 12:30 pm | PM Peak Hour 2:30 pm - 3:30 pm |
|  |  |  |

$11^{\text {th }} \&$ Main Street and $12^{\text {th }} \&$ Main Street TEAP Study

## Engineering Analyses Parameters and Design Guidance

The Study Area was evaluated for appropriateness of existing traffic control devices and for the feasibility of improving the Study Area to enhance traffic safety and operations. This TEAP Study analysis focused primarily on the appropriateness of the existing traffic signal control of the Study Area intersections and alternative methods for traffic control. The engineering evaluation parameters are based on the current edition of the MUTCD as well as traffic operation conditions outlined by the HCM.

Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices (MUTCD): The use of traffic control devices such as signs, pavement markings, and traffic signal systems in the State of Kansas should comply with the MUTCD. The engineering analyses in this study is based on the guidance provided in the Manual, including sign placement, the use of traffic signal-controlled intersections, the application of regulatory signs such as speed zones and STOP sign-controlled intersections, and the application of school zone signing.

The MUTCD provides guidance for determining the need for traffic signal control. Nine warrants are described in which a traffic signal may improve traffic operations. A traffic signal should not be installed unless 1 or more of these warrants is satisfied. Also, satisfaction of one or more of the 9 traffic signal warrants shall not in itself require the installation of a traffic control signal.

```
Warrant 1: Eight-Hour Vehicular Volume
Warrant 2: Four-Hour Vehicular Volume
Warrant 3: Peak Hour
Warrant 4: Pedestrian Volume
Warrant 5: School Crossing (based on pedestrian volume)
Warrant 6: Coordinated Signal System
Warrant 7: Crash Experience
Warrant 8: Roadway Network
Warrant 9: Intersection Near a Grade Crossing (Railroad)
```

Highway Capacity Manual (HCM): Traffic operations summarized in this study were completed using the methodologies of the $\underline{H C M}$ which outlines various approaches to estimate traffic operations for free flow and interrupted flow facilities. The quality of traffic operations are categorized in the form of Levels-of-Service (LOS). LOS A represents the best operating conditions and LOS F represents the worst operating conditions. LOS A-D are generally accepted as adequate traffic operations. The upper limit of LOS E is considered "capacity" of the roadway segment or intersection being analyzed. LOS F generally indicates demand exceeds the capacity of the specific movement. Synchro 11 software was used for a micro-simulation of the intersection. Table 1 summarizes the delay criteria.

Table 1: LOS Criteria for Interrupted Flow (Intersections)

| Level of Service | Signalized Intersection <br> Avg. Control Delay (sec/veh) | Unsignalized Intersection <br> Avg. Control Delay (sec/veh) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | $0-10$ | $0-10$ |
| B | $>10-20$ | $>10-15$ |
| C | $>20-35$ | $>15-25$ |
| D | $>35-55$ | $>25-35$ |
| E | $>55-80$ | $>35-50$ |
| F | $>80$ | $>50$ |

## $11^{\text {th }}$ Street/Main Street Intersection Analysis

The following information summarizes the findings of the traffic signal warrant analysis for the $11^{\text {th }}$ Street/Main Street intersection. Additional information and data are included in Appendix A.

- Warrants 1 or 2 are commonly used in the traffic engineering industry as a sound basis for recommending the use of traffic signal control at an intersection. Both of these warrants are intended to be applied in situations where a large volume of intersecting traffic on the side street is the principal reason for the traffic signal.
- For Warrant 1, existing traffic must meet or exceed the conditions of the warrant for at least eight (8) 60-minute periods in a typical weekday. There were no 60 -minute periods during a typical weekday that met the threshold criteria for Warrant 1.
- For warrant 2, existing traffic must meet or exceed the conditions of the warrant for at least four (4) 60-minute periods in a typical weekday. There were no 60-minute periods during a typical weekday that met the threshold criteria for Warrant 2.
- Warrant 3 is intended for use at a location where, during at least one-hour of an average day, the side street traffic is of sufficient volume to cause undue delay to Main Street. Furthermore, the MUTCD states is "shall be applied only in unusual cases...that attract or discharge large numbers of vehicles over a short time." Current traffic data does not meet the minimum warrant criteria during any one-hour period of an average day. Generally, there would need to be nearly four to five times as much traffic at this intersection to approach the threshold criteria of this warrant.
- Warrant 4 is based on pedestrian volume and traffic. The minimum number of pedestrians crossing Main Street would need to be well over 75 pedestrians per hour for at least 4-hours of a typical weekday to apply Warrant 4 as basis of installation.
- Warrant 5 is for school zone applications and is not applicable to this intersection.
- Warrant 6 is intended for use in a corridor with coordinated signal systems to aid in efficiently conveying through traffic on the Major Street with minimal delay. Because of the low traffic volumes on the side streets and the characteristics and because this area is within a CBD, this warrant could be applicable to these intersections if other schemes of traffic control are inappropriate.
- Warrants 7 thru 9 were not evaluated due to the warrants being inapplicable to this intersection's environment and/or crash patterns.
$\mathbf{1 1}^{\text {th }}$ Street/Main Street Traffic Signal Warrant Analysis Summary: Based on the information above and our traffic engineering analysis, traffic entering this intersection meets only one current-day traffic signal warrant in the MUTCD, Warrant 6 "Coordinated Signal System". Per the MUTCD, satisfaction of one or more of the 9 traffic signal warrants shall not in itself require the installation of a traffic control signal.

Alternatives to Consider for Traffic Control: Several alternatives for traffic control at $11^{\text {th }}$ Street/Main Street were analyzed against the baseline condition of traffic signal control. The alternatives are listed below followed by a tables summarizing the Level of Service (LOS) of the traffic operations.

- Alternative No. 1 - Maintain Existing Traffic Signal Control
- Alternative No. 2-4-Way STOP Control
- Alternative No. 3-2-Way STOP Control
- Alternative No. 4 - Roundabout Geometric Improvement

Table 2 - AM Peak Hour Traffic Operations Summary (11 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ \& Main)

| Summary of Traffic Operations Analysis (AM Peak Hour) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Main Street |  |  |  | 11 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Street |  |  |  | Intersection |  |
|  | NB |  | SB |  | EB |  | WB |  |  |  |
|  | LOS | (sec.) | LOS | (sec.) | LOS | (sec.) | LOS | (sec.) | LOS | (sec.) |
| Alternative No. 1 (Traffic Signal) | C | 20.8 | A | 7.8 | A | 8.6 | A | 8.3 | B | 12.5 |
| Alternative No. 2 (4-Way STOP) | A | 7.4 | A | 7.3 | A | 7.1 | A | 7.2 | A | 7.3 |
| Alternative No. 3 (2-Way STOP) | A | 1.2 | A | 1.0 | A | 9.3 | A | 9.4 | N/A | N/A |
| Alternative No. 4 (Roundabout) | A | 3.1 | A | 3.1 | A | 2.9 | A | 3.0 | A | 3.1 |

Table 3 - PM Peak Hour Traffic Operations Summary (11 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ \& Main)

| Summary of Traffic Operations Analysis (PM Peak Hour) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Main Street |  |  |  | 11 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Street |  |  |  | Intersection |  |
|  | NB |  | SB |  | EB |  | WB |  |  |  |
|  | LOS | (sec.) | LOS | (sec.) | LOS | (sec.) | LOS | (sec.) | LOS | (sec.) |
| Alternative No. 1 (Traffic Signal) | B | 17.9 | A | 8.5 | B | 11.2 | B | 10.5 | B | 12.9 |
| Alternative No. 2 (4-Way STOP) | A | 7.6 | A | 7.5 | A | 7.4 | A | 7.5 | A | 7.5 |
| Alternative No. 3 (2-Way STOP) | A | 0.7 | A | 1.1 | B | 10.0 | B | 10.0 | N/A | N/A |
| Alternative No. 4 (Roundabout) | A | 3.3 | A | 3.3 | A | 3.0 | A | 3.2 | A | 3.2 |

Another means of comparing the delay experienced by alternative solutions for controlling traffic at an intersection is to compare the estimated total peak hour delay experienced by all traffic entering the intersection during the specific time period(s). The following graph in Figure 2 conveys the total delay, tabulated in minutes, experienced by traffic traveling through the $11^{\text {th }}$ Street/Main Street intersection for the alternatives evaluated.

Figure 2 - Estimated Total Peak Hour Delay Chart ( $11^{\text {th }}$ \& Main Street)


Alternative No. 1 - Maintain Existing Traffic Signal Control. The original basis of installation of the existing traffic signal condition is unknown. However, because the intersection is located within a signalized corridor with signalized intersections to the north and south, maintaining the existing traffic signal control scheme on the basis of Warrant \#6 is a viable option to the City of Goodland. If this Alternative is pursued, the traffic signal system should be upgraded to current standards to include vehicle detection, battery backup, a new timing plan and accessible pedestrian signal system.

Alternative No. 2 - All-Way STOP Control. All-way STOP controlled intersections are most commonly used at locations where traffic on the intersection streets is approximately equal. Section 2B. 07 of the MUTCD lists criteria that should be met when considering the use of an all-way STOP control scheme. Although the intersection would operate with reasonable LOS's, the $11^{\text {th }}$ Street/Main Street intersection currently does not convey traffic volumes/patterns that meet the MUTCD criteria for implementing an Allway STOP configuration. This Alternative is therefore not recommended.

Alternative No. 3 - Two-Way STOP Control. Two-way STOP control at the $11^{\text {th }}$ Street/Main Street intersection could be an appropriate traffic control scheme per the MUTCD with $11^{\text {th }}$ Street being the STOP controlled approach. As would be expected, the delay to north/south Main Street traffic decreases significantly operating as a free-flow condition. The intersection would experience an estimated $75 \%$ reduction in overall delay experienced by peak hour traffic and traffic operations during peak hours would be at a desirable LOS B or better.

If this Alternative No. 3 is pursued, the process of removing a traffic signal system outlined in the MUTCD should be followed. The process would include an interim study period before full removal of the traffic signal infrastructure.

Alternative No. 4 - Roundabout Geometric Improvement. Geometric re-configuration of this intersection to a roundabout could be a viable, although expensive and impactful, solution. Properly designed modern urban roundabouts have been shown to have efficient traffic operations while enhancing traffic safety by providing a speed calming effect, reducing the number of conflict points, and decreasing the severity of traffic collision types.

Our analysis of converting the $11^{\text {th }}$ Street/Main Street to a roundabout indicates the traffic operations (LOS) improvement as a roundabout would be comparable to a Two-Way STOP control solution. However, the roundabout would require significant reconstruction of the entire right-of-way, building-to-building as well as significant reduction of on-street parking on Main Street as well as on $11^{\text {th }}$ Street.

BASE RECOMMENDATION FOR $11^{\text {th }}$ STREET/MAIN STREET: The intersection of $11^{\text {th }}$ Street/Main Street should be converted to a Two-Way STOP Control configuration. The process of removing the traffic signal system should comply with the MUTCD guidelines. It is anticipated this recommendation can be implemented with minimal effort for installing STOP signs, street name signs, installation of a STOP line on the $12^{\text {th }}$ Street approaches and removal of any STOP line markings on Main Street at $12^{\text {th }}$ Street.

ALTERNATIVE RECOMMENDATION FOR $11^{\text {th }}$ STREET/MAIN STREET: As an alternative to the Base Recommendation, the intersection of $11^{\text {th }}$ Street/Main Street could remain as a traffic signal controlled intersection. If this alternative recommendation is pursued by the City of Goodland, we recommend the signal system be upgraded to current day technology and standards to include vehicle detection, battery backup, updated controller, and an accessible pedestrian signal system.

## $12^{\text {th }}$ Street/Main Street Intersection Analysis

The following information summarizes the findings of the traffic signal warrant analysis for the $12^{\text {th }}$ Street/Main Street intersection. Additional information and data are included in Appendix A.

- Warrants 1 or 2 are commonly used in the traffic engineering industry as a sound basis for recommending the use of traffic signal control at an intersection. Both of these warrants are intended to be applied in situations where a large volume of intersecting traffic on the side street is the principal reason for the traffic signal.
- For Warrant 1, existing traffic must meet or exceed the conditions of the warrant for at least eight (8) 60 -minute periods in a typical weekday. There were no 60 -minute periods during a typical weekday that met the threshold criteria for Warrant 1.
- For warrant 2, existing traffic must meet or exceed the conditions of the warrant for at least four (4) 60-minute periods in a typical weekday. There were no 60-minute periods during a typical weekday that met the threshold criteria for Warrant 2.
- Warrant 3 is intended for use at a location where, during at least one-hour of an average day, the side street traffic is of sufficient volume to cause undue delay to Main Street. Furthermore, the MUTCD states is "shall be applied only in unusual cases...that attract or discharge large numbers of vehicles over a short time." Current traffic data does not meet the minimum warrant criteria during any one-hour period of an average day. Generally, there would need to be nearly four to five times as much traffic at this intersection to approach the threshold criteria of this warrant.
- Warrant 4 is based on pedestrian volume and traffic volume. The minimum number of pedestrians crossing Main Street would need to be well over 75 pedestrians per hour for at least 4-hours of a typical weekday to apply Warrant 4 as basis of installation.
- Warrant 5 is for school zone applications and is not applicable to this intersection.
- Warrant 6 is intended for use in a corridor with coordinated signal systems to aid in efficiently conveying through traffic on the Major Street with minimal delay. Because of the low traffic volumes on the side streets and the characteristics and because this area is within a CBD, this warrant could be applicable to these intersections if other schemes of traffic control are inappropriate.
- Warrants 7 thru 9 were not evaluated due to the warrants being inapplicable to this intersection's environment and/or crash patterns.
$\mathbf{1 2}^{\text {th }}$ Street/Main Street Traffic Signal Warrant Analysis Summary: Based on the information above and our traffic engineering analysis, traffic entering this intersection meets only one current-day traffic signal warrant in the MUTCD, Warrant 6 "Coordinated Signal System". Per the MUTCD, satisfaction of one or more of the 9 traffic signal warrants shall not in itself require the installation of a traffic control signal.

Alternatives to Consider for Traffic Control: Several alternatives for traffic control at $12^{\text {th }}$ Street/Main Street were analyzed against the baseline condition of traffic signal control. The alternatives are listed below followed by a tables summarizing the Level of Service (LOS) of the traffic operations.

- Alternative No. 1 - Maintain Existing Traffic Signal Control
- Alternative No. 2-4-Way STOP Control
- Alternative No. 3-2-Way STOP Control
- Alternative No. 4 - Roundabout Geometric Improvement

Table 3-AM Peak Hour Traffic Operations Summary (12 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ \& Main)

| Summary of Traffic Operations Analysis (AM Peak Hour) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Main Street |  |  |  | 12 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Street |  |  |  | Intersection |  |
|  | NB |  | SB |  | EB |  | WB |  |  |  |
|  | LOS | (sec.) | LOS | (sec.) | LOS | (sec.) | LOS | (sec.) | LOS | (sec.) |
| Alternative No. 1 (Traffic Signal) | A | 8.9 | B | 14.4 | A | 9.6 | B | 11.2 | B | 10.8 |
| Alternative No. 2 (4-Way STOP) | A | 7.3 | A | 7.3 | A | 7.4 | A | 7.2 | A | 7.3 |
| Alternative No. 3 (2-Way STOP) | A | 0.9 | A | 0.2 | A | 9.5 | A | 9.7 | N/A | N/A |
| Alternative No. 4 (Roundabout) | A | 3.1 | A | 3.0 | A | 2.9 | A | 3.0 | A | 3.0 |

Table 4 - PM Peak Hour Traffic Operations Summary (12 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ \& Main)

| Summary of Traffic Operations Analysis (PM Peak Hour) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Main Street |  |  |  | 12 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Street |  |  |  | Intersection |  |
|  | NB |  | SB |  | EB |  | WB |  |  |  |
|  | LOS | (sec.) | LOS | (sec.) | LOS | (sec.) | LOS | (sec.) | LOS | (sec.) |
| Alternative No. 1 (Traffic Signal) | A | 9.3 | B | 17.1 | B | 11.4 | B | 10.7 | B | 12.6 |
| Alternative No. 2 (4-Way STOP) | A | 7.6 | A | 7.5 | A | 7.6 | A | 7.4 | A | 7.5 |
| Alternative No. 3 (2-Way STOP) | A | 1.1 | A | 0.2 | B | 10.2 | B | 10.1 | N/A | N/A |
| Alternative No. 4 (Roundabout) | A | 3.3 | A | 3.3 | A | 3.1 | A | 3.1 | A | 3.2 |

Another means of comparing the delay experienced by alternative solutions for controlling traffic at an intersection is to compare the estimated total peak hour delay experienced by all traffic entering the intersection during the specific time period(s). The following graph in Figure 3 conveys the total delay, tabulated in minutes, experienced by traffic traveling through the $12^{\text {th }}$ Street/Main Street intersection for the alternatives evaluated.

Figure 3 - Estimated Total Peak Hour Delay Chart (12th \& Main)


Alternative No. 1 - Maintain Existing Traffic Signal Control. The original basis of installation of the existing traffic signal condition is unknown. However, because the intersection is located within a signalized corridor with signalized intersections to the north and south, maintaining the existing traffic signal control scheme on the basis of Warrant \#6 is a viable option to the City of Goodland. If this Alternative is pursued, the traffic signal system should be upgraded to current standards to include vehicle detection, battery backup, a new timing plan and accessible pedestrian signal system.

Alternative No. 2 - All-Way STOP Control. All-way STOP controlled intersections are most commonly used at locations where traffic on the intersection streets is approximately equal. Section 2B. 07 of the MUTCD lists criteria that should be met when considering the use of an all-way STOP control scheme. Although the intersection would operate with reasonable LOS's, the $12^{\text {th }}$ Street/Main Street intersection currently does not convey traffic volumes/patterns that meet the MUTCD criteria for implementing an Allway STOP configuration. This Alternative is therefore not recommended.

Alternative No. 3 - Two-Way STOP Control. Two-way STOP control at the $12^{\text {th }}$ Street/Main Street intersection is an appropriate traffic control scheme per the MUTCD with $12{ }^{\text {th }}$ Street being the STOP controlled approach. As would be expected, the delay to north/south Main Street traffic decreases significantly as a free-flow condition. The intersection would experience an estimated $75 \%$ reduction in overall delay experienced by peak hour traffic and traffic operations during peak hours would be at a desirable LOS B or better.

If this Alternative No. 3 is pursued, the process of removing a traffic signal system outlined in the MUTCD should be followed. The process would include an interim study period before full removal of the traffic signal infrastructure.

Alternative No. 4 - Roundabout Geometric Improvement. Geometric re-configuration of this intersection to a roundabout could be a viable, although expensive and impactful, solution. Our analysis of converting the $12^{\text {th }}$ Street/Main Street to a roundabout indicates the traffic operations (LOS) improvement as a roundabout would be comparable to a Two-Way STOP control solution. However, the roundabout would require significant reconstruction of the entire right-of-way, building-to-building as well as significant reduction of on-street parking on Main Street as well as on $12^{\text {th }}$ Street. For these reasons, pursuit of Alternative No. 4 at the $12^{\text {th }}$ Street/Main Street is not recommended.

BASE RECOMMENDATION FOR $12{ }^{\text {th }}$ STREET/MAIN STREET: The intersection of $12^{\text {th }}$ Street/Main Street should be converted to a Two-Way STOP Control configuration. The process of removing the traffic signal system should comply with Section 4B. 02 the MUTCD guidelines. It is anticipated this recommendation can be implemented with minimal effort to include installing STOP signs with street name signs and a $24^{\prime \prime}$ white STOP line on the $12^{\text {th }}$ Street approaches. Any STOP line markings on Main Street at $12^{\text {th }}$ Street should be removed.

ALTERNATIVE RECOMMENDATION FOR $12^{\text {th }}$ STREET/MAIN STREET: As an alternative to the Base Recommendation, the intersection of $12^{\text {th }}$ Street/Main Street could remain as a traffic signal controlled intersection. If this alternative recommendation is pursued by the City of Goodland, we recommend the signal system be upgraded to current day technology and standards to include vehicle detection, battery backup, updated controller, and an accessible pedestrian signal system.

## Appendix A

Peak Hour Turning Movement Data and Traffic Signal Warrant Analysis
$11^{\text {th }}$ Street \& Main Street
$12^{\text {th }}$ Street $\&$ Main Street

|  |  | u |  | U-Tum | App Toal | $\begin{gathered} \text { Eassto } \\ \substack{\text { nestu } \\ \text { Reigt }} \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  | U.Tum | App Toal | $\begin{aligned} & \text { South } \\ & \text { Northbound } \\ & \text { Right } \end{aligned}$ |  | Let |  | U-Turn | App Toal | $\begin{aligned} & \text { West } \\ & \text { Eastbound } \\ & \text { Right } \end{aligned}$ |  | Let | UTum An | App Tola |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ${ }^{\text {an meakk }}$ |  |  |  | Urum | App |  |  |  |  | U.um | App Toual |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Approar |  |
| 200 | ${ }_{6}^{2}$ | $\stackrel{8}{9}$ |  |  | ${ }_{17}^{12}$ |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{8}^{10}$ |  |  | 15 | ${ }_{4}^{2}$ |  | ${ }_{21}^{14}$ |  |  | ${ }^{2}$ |  | 4 |  |
| (200.1.1990.1.5000 |  | 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{14}^{14}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\frac{2020.19090}{\text { Grand Toala }}$ |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{60}$ | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| oundial | 250\% |  |  | 0.0\% |  | $42.9 \%$ |  | 1.49\% | 25.7\% | 0.0\% |  |  |  | ${ }^{67226}$ |  |  |  |  |  | 238\% | 0.0\% |  |  |
| ${ }^{\text {\%/Total }}$ | ${ }_{\text {b }}^{\text {8.625 }}$ | 20.941 |  | 0.0\% | ${ }^{33.989}$ | ${ }^{8.55 \%}$ |  | $\substack{6.288 \\ 0.55}_{\text {a, }}$ |  | 0.0\% | ${ }^{19.729}$ |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {5, }}^{\text {5.62\% }}$ | 0.0\%\% | ${ }^{34.75 \%}$ | ${ }_{\text {c. }}^{5.5}$ | ${ }^{3.3 .45}$ | ${ }_{\text {2.625 }}^{2.85}$ | 0.0\% | ${ }_{\text {a }}^{\text {1.47 }}$ (1.9\% |  |
| Veivices | ${ }^{150}$ | ${ }^{37}$ |  |  | 60 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 10 |  |  |  |  |  | 10 |  |  |  | ${ }^{21}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mad.oay Peak Huw |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{20200111911.4 .45000}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 17 |  |  | ${ }^{16}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 19 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - ${ }_{\text {c }}^{22}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grand Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{6}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \%approach | 20.0\% | ${ }_{\text {cher }}^{76.17 \%}$ | (1.0\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{\text {Phta }}$ Nov | ${ }^{6.9}$ | ${ }^{20.711}$ | -1.25 | 0.0\% | 0.761 | 5.0\% |  | ${ }_{\text {d. }}^{\text {0.688 }}$ | ${ }_{0}^{50.55}$ | 0.0\% | 0.65 | ${ }_{0} 0.593$ |  | 0.75 | ${ }_{0} 0.75$ | 0.0\% |  | ${ }_{0} .025$ | ${ }^{0.563}$ | ${ }_{0} 0.25$ | \% | 0.833 |  |
| Venciles | 100. ${ }^{14}$ |  |  |  |  | 100.\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 100.0\% |  | 0\% |  | 100.0\% |  |
| PMPeak Hour |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | ${ }_{20}^{10}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 200.1-1915.5.500 |  | 11 |  |  | ${ }_{19}^{13}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{14}^{21}$ |  |  | ${ }_{17}^{24}$ |  |  |  |  | 3 |  |
| Grand Toal |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | ${ }_{86}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3,4\% | ${ }^{\text {27,4\% }}$ | 5,3\%\% | 0.0\% | 36.1\% |  |  |  | ${ }_{4}^{25 \% \%}$ | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.0\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Noo 192020 2:45PM - 3:4 | 0.438 | ${ }^{0.773}$ | ${ }_{\text {c. }}^{1.55}$ |  | ${ }^{0.964}$ |  |  |  | ${ }_{9}^{45}$ |  | ${ }^{0.818}$ | ${ }^{0.583}$ |  | ${ }^{1845}$ | 0.48 |  | ${ }_{\text {¢96 }} 96$ |  |  | -0.375 |  | ${ }_{\text {di. }}^{11}$ |  |
| \%Venicies | 100.8 | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 0.0\% | 100. | 100.\% | 100. | 10.0\% |  | 0.0\% |  | - 100.0\% | 10 | 100 |  | \% | \% \% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.\% | 5\% | 100.0\% | \%20\% |


| 11th Street \& Main Street - Traffic Signal Warrant Analysis (Traffic Volume Warrants) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Thurs., 11/19/20 | Major Street | Minor Street | Pedestrians (one | Warrant No. 1 |  |  |  | Warrant 2 |  | Warrant 3 |  |  |
| BEGIN to END | (veh., total both) | (veh., one direction) | direction only) | Condition A | Condition B | 80\% (A) | 80\% (B) | 100\% | 70\% | 100\% | 70\% | Warrant 4 |
| 12:00 AM to 1:00 AM | 1 | 2 | 0 | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO |
| 1:00 AM to 2:00 AM | 3 | 0 | 0 | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO |
| 2:00 AM to 3:00 AM | 1 | 0 | 0 | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO |
| 3:00 AM to 4:00 AM | 1 | 0 | 0 | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO |
| 4:00 AM to 5:00 AM | 3 | 0 | 0 | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO |
| 5:00 AM to 6:00 AM | 7 | 2 | 0 | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO |
| 6:00 AM to 7:00 AM | 24 | 9 | 0 | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO |
| 7:00 AM to 8:00 AM | 70 | 18 | 0 | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO |
| 8:00 AM to 9:00 AM | 82 | 22 | 0 | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO |
| 9:00 AM to 10:00 AM | 92 | 41 | 0 | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO |
| 10:00 AM to 11:00 AM | 114 | 35 | 0 | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO |
| 11:00 AM to 12:00 PM | 110 | 40 | 0 | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO |
| 12:00 PM to 1:00 PM | 141 | 43 | 0 | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO |
| 1:00 PM to 2:00 PM | 130 | 45 | 0 | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO |
| 2:00 PM to 3:00 PM | 120 | 26 | 0 | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO |
| 3:00 PM to 4:00 PM | 153 | 40 | 0 | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO |
| 4:00 PM to 5:00 PM | 124 | 22 | 0 | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO |
| 5:00 PM to 6:00 PM | 110 | 21 | 0 | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO |
| 6:00 PM to 7:00 PM | 81 | 14 | 0 | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO |
| 7:00 PM to 8:00 PM | 41 | 11 | 0 | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO |
| 8:00 PM to 9:00 PM | 26 | 13 | 0 | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO |
| 9:00 PM to 10:00 PM | 28 | 6 | 0 | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO |
| 10:00 PM to 11:00 PM | 9 | 0 | 0 | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO |
| 11:00 PM to 12:00 AM | 10 | 3 | 0 | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO |



| 12th Street \& Main Street - Traffic Signal Warrant Analysis (Traffic Volume Warrants) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tues., 11/17/20 | Major Street | Minor Street | Pedestrians (one | Warrant No. 1 |  |  |  | Warrant 2 |  | Warrant 3 |  |  |
| BEGIN to END | (veh., total both) | (veh., one direction) | direction only) | Condition A | Condition B | 80\% (A) | 80\% (B) | 100\% | 70\% | 100\% | 70\% | Warrant 4 |
| 12:00 AM to 1:00 AM | 0 | 2 | 0 | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO |
| 1:00 AM to 2:00 AM | 4 | 1 | 0 | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO |
| 2:00 AM to 3:00 AM | 0 | 1 | 0 | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO |
| 3:00 AM to 4:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO |
| 4:00 AM to 5:00 AM | 4 | 1 | 0 | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO |
| 5:00 AM to 6:00 AM | 9 | 4 | 0 | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO |
| 6:00 AM to 7:00 AM | 37 | 16 | 0 | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO |
| 7:00 AM to 8:00 AM | 79 | 39 | 0 | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO |
| 8:00 AM to 9:00 AM | 72 | 23 | 0 | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO |
| 9:00 AM to 10:00 AM | 87 | 22 | 0 | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO |
| 10:00 AM to 11:00 AM | 94 | 27 | 0 | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO |
| 11:00 AM to 12:00 PM | 133 | 37 | 0 | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO |
| 12:00 PM to 1:00 PM | 155 | 35 | 0 | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO |
| 1:00 PM to 2:00 PM | 114 | 32 | 0 | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO |
| 2:00 PM to 3:00 PM | 139 | 29 | 0 | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO |
| 3:00 PM to 4:00 PM | 139 | 41 | 0 | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO |
| 4:00 PM to 5:00 PM | 106 | 29 | 0 | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO |
| 5:00 PM to 6:00 PM | 97 | 21 | 0 | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO |
| 6:00 PM to 7:00 PM | 59 | 18 | 0 | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO |
| 7:00 PM to 8:00 PM | 47 | 19 | 0 | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO |
| 8:00 PM to 9:00 PM | 36 | 5 | 0 | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO |
| 9:00 PM to 10:00 PM | 24 | 5 | 0 | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO |
| 10:00 PM to 11:00 PM | 14 | 3 | 0 | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO |
| 11:00 PM to 12:00 AM | 4 | 3 | 0 | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO |

## Appendix B

AM Peak Hour Traffic Operations Analysis Reports (Levels-of-Service)
Alternatives 1-4

|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ | $\cdots$ |  |  | 4 | 4 | $\dagger$ | $p$ | , | $\dagger$ | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | 4 |  |  | $\$$ |  |  | $\$$ |  |  | * |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 5 | 6 | 10 | 9 | 11 | 15 | 10 | 41 | 10 | 8 | 37 | 15 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 5 | 6 | 10 | 9 | 11 | 15 | 10 | 41 | 10 | 8 | 37 | 15 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Frt |  | 0.938 |  |  | 0.941 |  |  | 0.978 |  |  | 0.966 |  |
| Flt Protected |  | 0.988 |  |  | 0.987 |  |  | 0.992 |  |  | 0.993 |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 0 | 1554 | 0 | 0 | 1557 | 0 | 0 | 1626 | 0 | 0 | 1608 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted |  | 0.963 |  |  | 0.959 |  |  | 0.970 |  |  | 0.976 |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 0 | 1514 | 0 | 0 | 1513 | 0 | 0 | 1590 | 0 | 0 | 1581 | 0 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  | 11 |  |  | 17 |  |  | 11 |  |  | 17 |  |
| Link Speed (mph) |  | 30 |  |  | 30 |  |  | 30 |  |  | 30 |  |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 695 |  |  | 775 |  |  | 1307 |  |  | 795 |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 15.8 |  |  | 17.6 |  |  | 29.7 |  |  | 18.1 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 6 | 7 | 11 | 10 | 12 | 17 | 11 | 46 | 11 | 9 | 41 | 17 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 39 | 0 | 0 | 68 | 0 | 0 | 67 | 0 |
| Enter Blocked Intersection | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Lane Alignment | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right |
| Median Width(ft) |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Link Offset(ft) |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Crosswalk Width(ft) |  | 16 |  |  | 16 |  |  | 16 |  |  | 16 |  |
| Two way Left Turn Lane |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Headway Factor | 1.14 | 1.14 | 1.14 | 1.14 | 1.14 | 1.14 | 1.14 | 1.14 | 1.14 | 1.14 | 1.14 | 1.14 |
| Turning Speed (mph) | 15 |  | 9 | 15 |  | 9 | 15 |  | 9 | 15 |  | 9 |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA |  |
| Protected Phases |  | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |  |
| Minimum Split (s) | 22.5 | 22.5 |  | 22.5 | 22.5 |  | 22.5 | 22.5 |  | 22.5 | 22.5 |  |
| Total Split (s) | 28.0 | 28.0 |  | 28.0 | 28.0 |  | 32.0 | 32.0 |  | 32.0 | 32.0 |  |
| Total Split (\%) | 46.7\% | 46.7\% |  | 46.7\% | 46.7\% |  | 53.3\% | 53.3\% |  | 53.3\% | 53.3\% |  |
| Maximum Green (s) | 23.5 | 23.5 |  | 23.5 | 23.5 |  | 27.5 | 27.5 |  | 27.5 | 27.5 |  |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.5 | 3.5 |  | 3.5 | 3.5 |  | 3.5 | 3.5 |  | 3.5 | 3.5 |  |
| All-Red Time (s) | 1.0 | 1.0 |  | 1.0 | 1.0 |  | 1.0 | 1.0 |  | 1.0 | 1.0 |  |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Total Lost Time (s) |  | 4.5 |  |  | 4.5 |  |  | 4.5 |  |  | 4.5 |  |
| Lead/Lag |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Walk Time (s) | 7.0 | 7.0 |  | 7.0 | 7.0 |  | 7.0 | 7.0 |  | 7.0 | 7.0 |  |
| Flash Dont Walk (s) | 11.0 | 11.0 |  | 11.0 | 11.0 |  | 11.0 | 11.0 |  | 11.0 | 11.0 |  |
| Pedestrian Calls (\#/hr) | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Act Effct Green (s) |  | 23.5 |  |  | 23.5 |  |  | 27.5 |  |  | 27.5 |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio |  | 0.39 |  |  | 0.39 |  |  | 0.46 |  |  | 0.46 |  |
| v/c Ratio |  | 0.04 |  |  | 0.06 |  |  | 0.09 |  |  | 0.09 |  |
| Control Delay |  | 8.6 |  |  | 8.3 |  |  | 20.8 |  |  | 7.8 |  |
| Queue Delay |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Total Delay |  | 8.6 |  |  | 8.3 |  |  | 20.8 |  |  | 7.8 |  |



Splits and Phases: 3: Main Street \& 11th Street


|  | $\rangle$ | $\rightarrow$ |  | $\checkmark$ | $\downarrow$ |  | 4 | $\uparrow$ | P |  | $\dagger$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | ¢ |  |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 1 | 17 | 9 | 0 | 19 | 3 | 8 | 52 | 2 | 1 | 35 | 5 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 1 | 17 | 9 | 0 | 19 | 3 | 8 | 52 | 2 | 1 | 35 | 5 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Frt |  | 0.955 |  |  | 0.983 |  |  | 0.996 |  |  | 0.982 |  |
| FIt Protected |  | 0.998 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.994 |  |  | 0.999 |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 0 | 1598 | 0 | 0 | 1648 | 0 | 0 | 1660 | 0 | 0 | 1645 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted |  | 0.996 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.979 |  |  | 0.998 |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 0 | 1595 | 0 | 0 | 1648 | 0 | 0 | 1635 | 0 | 0 | 1643 | 0 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  | 10 |  |  | 3 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |
| Link Speed (mph) |  | 30 |  |  | 30 |  |  | 30 |  |  | 30 |  |
| Link Distance ( t ) |  | 655 |  |  | 815 |  |  | 575 |  |  | 1307 |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 14.9 |  |  | 18.5 |  |  | 13.1 |  |  | 29.7 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 1 | 19 | 10 | 0 | 21 | 3 | 9 | 58 | 2 | 1 | 39 | 6 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 69 | 0 | 0 | 46 | 0 |
| Enter Blocked Intersection | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Lane Alignment | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right |
| Median Width(tt) |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Link Offset(ft) |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Crosswalk Width(ft) |  | 16 |  |  | 16 |  |  | 16 |  |  | 16 |  |
| Two way Left Turn Lane |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Headway Factor | 1.14 | 1.14 | 1.14 | 1.14 | 1.14 | 1.14 | 1.14 | 1.14 | 1.14 | 1.14 | 1.14 | 1.14 |
| Turning Speed (mph) | 15 |  | 9 | 15 |  | 9 | 15 |  | 9 | 15 |  | 9 |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA |  |  | NA |  | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA |  |
| Protected Phases |  | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |  |
| Minimum Split (s) | 22.5 | 22.5 |  | 22.5 | 22.5 |  | 22.5 | 22.5 |  | 22.5 | 22.5 |  |
| Total Split (s) | 27.0 | 27.0 |  | 27.0 | 27.0 |  | 33.0 | 33.0 |  | 33.0 | 33.0 |  |
| Total Split (\%) | 45.0\% | 45.0\% |  | 45.0\% | 45.0\% |  | 55.0\% | 55.0\% |  | 55.0\% | 55.0\% |  |
| Maximum Green (s) | 22.5 | 22.5 |  | 22.5 | 22.5 |  | 28.5 | 28.5 |  | 28.5 | 28.5 |  |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.5 | 3.5 |  | 3.5 | 3.5 |  | 3.5 | 3.5 |  | 3.5 | 3.5 |  |
| All-Red Time (s) | 1.0 | 1.0 |  | 1.0 | 1.0 |  | 1.0 | 1.0 |  | 1.0 | 1.0 |  |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Total Lost Time (s) |  | 4.5 |  |  | 4.5 |  |  | 4.5 |  |  | 4.5 |  |
| Lead/Lag |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Walk Time (s) | 7.0 | 7.0 |  | 7.0 | 7.0 |  | 7.0 | 7.0 |  | 7.0 | 7.0 |  |
| Flash Dont Walk (s) | 11.0 | 11.0 |  | 11.0 | 11.0 |  | 11.0 | 11.0 |  | 11.0 | 11.0 |  |
| Pedestrian Calls (\#hr) | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Act Effct Green (s) |  | 22.5 |  |  | 22.5 |  |  | 28.5 |  |  | 28.5 |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio |  | 0.38 |  |  | 0.38 |  |  | 0.48 |  |  | 0.48 |  |
| v/c Ratio |  | 0.05 |  |  | 0.04 |  |  | 0.09 |  |  | 0.06 |  |
| Control Delay |  | 9.6 |  |  | 11.2 |  |  | 8.9 |  |  | 14.4 |  |
| Queue Delay |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Total Delay |  | 9.6 |  |  | 11.2 |  |  | 8.9 |  |  | 14.4 |  |



Splits and Phases: 6: Main Street \& 12th Street


| Intersection |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Intersection Delay, s/veh | 7.3 |
| Intersection LOS | A |


| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations |  | ¢ |  |  | ¢ |  |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | ¢ |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 5 | 6 | 10 | 9 | 11 | 15 | 10 | 41 | 10 | 8 | 37 | 15 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 5 | 6 | 10 | 9 | 11 | 15 | 10 | 41 | 10 | 8 | 37 | 15 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 6 | 7 | 11 | 10 | 12 | 17 | 11 | 46 | 11 | 9 | 41 | 17 |
| Number of Lanes | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Approach | EB |  |  | WB |  |  | NB |  |  | SB |  |  |
| Opposing Approach | WB |  |  | EB |  |  | SB |  |  | NB |  |  |
| Opposing Lanes | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| Conflicting Approach Left | SB |  |  | NB |  |  | EB |  |  | WB |  |  |
| Conflicting Lanes Left | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| Conflicting Approach Right | NB |  |  | SB |  |  | WB |  |  | EB |  |  |
| Conflicting Lanes Right | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| HCM Control Delay | 7.1 |  |  | 7.2 |  |  | 7.4 |  |  | 7.3 |  |  |
| HCM LOS | A |  |  | A |  |  | A |  |  | A |  |  |


| Lane | NBLn1 | EBLn1 | WBLn1 | SBLn1 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Vol Left, \% | $16 \%$ | $24 \%$ | $26 \%$ | $13 \%$ |
| Vol Thu, \% | $67 \%$ | $29 \%$ | $31 \%$ | $62 \%$ |
| Vol Right, \% | $6 \%$ | $48 \%$ | $43 \%$ | $25 \%$ |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop |
| Traffic Vol by Lane | 61 | 21 | 35 | 60 |
| LT Vol | 10 | 5 | 9 | 8 |
| Through Vol | 41 | 6 | 11 | 37 |
| RT Vol | 10 | 10 | 15 | 15 |
| Lane Flow Rate | 68 | 23 | 39 | 67 |
| Geometry Grp | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Degree of Util (X) | 0.076 | 0.026 | 0.043 | 0.074 |
| Departure Headway (Hd) | 4.028 | 3.957 | 3.977 | 3.971 |
| Convergence, Y/N | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Cap | 886 | 895 | 891 | 899 |
| Service Time | 2.067 | 2.024 | 2.041 | 2.011 |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.077 | 0.026 | 0.044 | 0.075 |
| HCM Control Delay | 7.4 | 7.1 | 7.2 | 7.3 |
| HCM Lane LOS | A | A | A | A |
| HCM 95th-tile Q | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 |


| Intersection |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Intersection Delay, s/veh | 7.3 |
| Intersection LOS | A |


| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations |  | ¢ |  |  | ¢ |  |  | ¢ |  |  | ¢ |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 1 | 17 | 9 | 0 | 19 | 3 | 8 | 52 | 2 | 1 | 35 | 5 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 1 | 17 | 9 | 0 | 19 | 3 | 8 | 52 | 2 | 1 | 35 | 5 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 1 | 19 | 10 | 0 | 21 | 3 | 9 | 58 | 2 | 1 | 39 | 6 |
| Number of Lanes | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Approach | EB |  |  |  | WB |  | NB |  |  | SB |  |  |
| Opposing Approach | WB |  |  |  | EB |  | SB |  |  | NB |  |  |
| Opposing Lanes | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| Conflicting Approach Left | SB |  |  |  | NB |  | EB |  |  | WB |  |  |
| Conflicting Lanes Left | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| Conflicting Approach Right | NB |  |  |  | SB |  | WB |  |  | EB |  |  |
| Conflicting Lanes Right | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| HCM Control Delay | 7.2 |  |  |  | 7.3 |  | 7.4 |  |  | 7.3 |  |  |
| HCM LOS | A |  |  |  | A |  | A |  |  | A |  |  |


| Lane | NBLn1 | EBLn1 | WBLn1 | SBLn1 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Vol Left, \% | $13 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $2 \%$ |
| Vol Thru, \% | $84 \%$ | $63 \%$ | $86 \%$ | $85 \%$ |
| Vol Right, \% | $3 \%$ | $33 \%$ | $14 \%$ | $12 \%$ |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop |
| Traffic Vol by Lane | 62 | 27 | 22 | 41 |
| LT Vol | 8 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Through Vol | 52 | 17 | 19 | 35 |
| RT Vol | 2 | 9 | 3 | 5 |
| Lane Flow Rate | 69 | 30 | 24 | 46 |
| Geometry Grp | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Degree of Util (X) | 0.078 | 0.033 | 0.028 | 0.051 |
| Departure Headway (Hd) | 4.07 | 3.957 | 4.072 | 4.013 |
| Convergence, Y/N | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Cap | 878 | 897 | 872 | 889 |
| Service Time | 2.103 | 2.016 | 2.132 | 2.052 |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.079 | 0.033 | 0.028 | 0.052 |
| HCM Control Delay | 7.4 | 7.2 | 7.3 | 7.3 |
| HCM Lane LOS | A | A | A | A |
| HCM 95th-tile Q | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 3.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |  |
| Lane Configurations |  | ¢ |  |  | ¢ |  |  | ${ }_{4}$ |  |  | ¢ |  |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 5 | , | 10 | 9 | 11 | 15 | 10 | 41 | 10 | 8 | 37 | 15 |  |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 5 | 6 | 10 | 9 | 11 | 15 | 10 | 41 | 10 | 8 | 37 | 15 |  |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Sign Control Stor | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free |  |
| RT Channelized | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None |  |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |  |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 |  |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |  |
| Mvmt Flow | 6 | 7 | 11 | 10 | 12 | 17 | 11 | 46 | 11 | 9 | 41 | 17 |  |





| Intersection |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersection Delay, s/veh |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | EB | WB | NB | SB |
| Entry Lanes | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Conflicting Circle Lanes | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Adj Approach Flow, veh/h | 24 | 39 | 68 | 67 |
| Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 24 | 39 | 69 | 68 |
| Vehicles Circulating, veh/h | 61 | 64 | 22 | 33 |
| Vehicles Exiting, veh/h | 40 | 27 | 63 | 70 |
| Ped Vol Crossing Leg, \#/h | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped Cap Adj | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Approach Delay, s/veh | 2.9 | 3.0 | 3.1 | 3.1 |
| Approach LOS | A | A | A | A |


| Lane | Left | Left | Left | Left |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Designated Moves | LTR | LTR | LTR | LTR |
| Assumed Moves | LTR | LTR | LTR | LTR |
| RT Channelized |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Util | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Follow-Up Headway, s | 2.609 | 2.609 | 2.609 | 2.609 |
| Critical Headway, s | 4.976 | 4.976 | 4.976 | 4.976 |
| Entry Flow, veh/h | 24 | 39 | 69 | 68 |
| Cap Entry Lane, veh/h | 1297 | 1293 | 1349 | 1334 |
| Entry HV Adj Factor | 0.994 | 0.994 | 0.987 | 0.988 |
| Flow Entry, veh/h | 24 | 39 | 68 | 67 |
| Cap Entry, veh/h | 1289 | 1285 | 1331 | 1318 |
| VIC Ratio | 0.019 | 0.030 | 0.051 | 0.051 |
| Control Delay, s/veh | 2.9 | 3.0 | 3.1 | 3.1 |
| LOS | A | A | A | A |
| 95th \%tile Queue, veh | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersection Delay, s/veh | 3.0 |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS | A |  |  |  |
| Approach | EB | WB | NB | SB |
| Entry Lanes | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Conflicting Circle Lanes | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Adj Approach Flow, veh/h | 30 | 24 | 69 | 46 |
| Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 30 | 24 | 70 | 47 |
| Vehicles Circulating, veh/h | 41 | 69 | 21 | 30 |
| Vehicles Exiting, veh/h | 36 | 22 | 50 | 63 |
| Ped Vol Crossing Leg, \#/h | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped Cap Adj | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Approach Delay, s/veh | 2.9 | 3.0 | 3.1 | 3.0 |
| Approach LOS | A | A | A | A |


| Lane | Left | Left | Left | Left |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Designated Moves | LTR | LTR | LTR | LTR |
| Assumed Moves | LTR | LTR | LTR | LTR |
| RT Channelized |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Util | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Follow-Up Headway, s | 2.609 | 2.609 | 2.609 | 2.609 |
| Critical Headway, s | 4.976 | 4.976 | 4.976 | 4.976 |
| Entry Flow, veh/h | 30 | 24 | 70 | 47 |
| Cap Entry Lane, veh/h | 1323 | 1286 | 1351 | 1338 |
| Entry HV Adj Factor | 0.988 | 0.983 | 0.983 | 0.983 |
| Flow Entry, veh/h | 30 | 24 | 69 | 46 |
| Cap Entry, veh/h | 1307 | 1264 | 1328 | 1316 |
| VIC Ratio | 0.023 | 0.019 | 0.052 | 0.035 |
| Control Delay, s/veh | 2.9 | 3.0 | 3.1 | 3.0 |
| LOS | A | A | A | A |
| 95th \%tile Queue, veh | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

## Appendix C

Alternatives 1-4

|  | 4 |  |  | 7 |  |  |  | $\dagger$ | \% |  | $\ddagger$ | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | * |  |  | * |  |  | * |  |  | \& |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 3 | 6 | 2 | 9 | 19 | 8 | 8 | 71 | 7 | 11 | 57 | 7 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 3 | 6 | 2 | 9 | 19 | 8 | 8 | 71 | 7 | 11 | 57 | 7 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Frt |  | 0.977 |  |  | 0.970 |  |  | 0.989 |  |  | 0.987 |  |
| Flt Protected |  | 0.988 |  |  | 0.988 |  |  | 0.995 |  |  | 0.993 |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 0 | 1618 | 0 | 0 | 1607 | 0 | 0 | 1650 | 0 | 0 | 1643 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted |  | 0.968 |  |  | 0.960 |  |  | 0.983 |  |  | 0.970 |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 0 | 1585 | 0 | 0 | 1561 | 0 | 0 | 1630 | 0 | 0 | 1605 | 0 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  | 2 |  |  | 9 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 8 |  |
| Link Speed (mph) |  | 30 |  |  | 30 |  |  | 30 |  |  | 30 |  |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 695 |  |  | 775 |  |  | 1307 |  |  | 795 |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 15.8 |  |  | 17.6 |  |  | 29.7 |  |  | 18.1 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 3 | 7 | 2 | 10 | 21 | 9 | 9 | 79 | 8 | 12 | 63 | 8 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 96 | 0 | 0 | 83 | 0 |
| Enter Blocked Intersection | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Lane Alignment | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right |
| Median Width(ft) |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Link Offset(ft) |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Crosswalk Width(ft) |  | 16 |  |  | 16 |  |  | 16 |  |  | 16 |  |
| Two way Left Turn Lane |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Headway Factor | 1.14 | 1.14 | 1.14 | 1.14 | 1.14 | 1.14 | 1.14 | 1.14 | 1.14 | 1.14 | 1.14 | 1.14 |
| Turning Speed (mph) | 15 |  | 9 | 15 |  | 9 | 15 |  | 9 | 15 |  | 9 |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA |  |
| Protected Phases |  | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |  |
| Minimum Split (s) | 22.5 | 22.5 |  | 22.5 | 22.5 |  | 22.5 | 22.5 |  | 22.5 | 22.5 |  |
| Total Split (s) | 27.0 | 27.0 |  | 27.0 | 27.0 |  | 33.0 | 33.0 |  | 33.0 | 33.0 |  |
| Total Split (\%) | 45.0\% | 45.0\% |  | 45.0\% | 45.0\% |  | 55.0\% | 55.0\% |  | 55.0\% | 55.0\% |  |
| Maximum Green (s) | 22.5 | 22.5 |  | 22.5 | 22.5 |  | 28.5 | 28.5 |  | 28.5 | 28.5 |  |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.5 | 3.5 |  | 3.5 | 3.5 |  | 3.5 | 3.5 |  | 3.5 | 3.5 |  |
| All-Red Time (s) | 1.0 | 1.0 |  | 1.0 | 1.0 |  | 1.0 | 1.0 |  | 1.0 | 1.0 |  |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Total Lost Time (s) |  | 4.5 |  |  | 4.5 |  |  | 4.5 |  |  | 4.5 |  |
| Lead/Lag |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Walk Time (s) | 7.0 | 7.0 |  | 7.0 | 7.0 |  | 7.0 | 7.0 |  | 7.0 | 7.0 |  |
| Flash Dont Walk (s) | 11.0 | 11.0 |  | 11.0 | 11.0 |  | 11.0 | 11.0 |  | 11.0 | 11.0 |  |
| Pedestrian Calls (\#/hr) | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Act Effct Green (s) |  | 22.5 |  |  | 22.5 |  |  | 28.5 |  |  | 28.5 |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio |  | 0.38 |  |  | 0.38 |  |  | 0.48 |  |  | 0.48 |  |
| v/c Ratio |  | 0.02 |  |  | 0.07 |  |  | 0.12 |  |  | 0.11 |  |
| Control Delay |  | 11.2 |  |  | 10.5 |  |  | 17.9 |  |  | 8.5 |  |
| Queue Delay |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Total Delay |  | 11.2 |  |  | 10.5 |  |  | 17.9 |  |  | 8.5 |  |



Splits and Phases: 3: Main Street \& 11th Street


|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  | 4 | $\dagger$ | $p$ |  | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\dagger$ |  |  | \$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | \$ |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 8 | 32 | 3 | 2 | 23 | 4 | 11 | 57 | 5 | 2 | 54 | 21 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 8 | 32 | 3 | 2 | 23 | 4 | 11 | 57 | 5 | 2 | 54 | 21 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Frt |  | 0.992 |  |  | 0.983 |  |  | 0.990 |  |  | 0.963 |  |
| Flt Protected |  | 0.991 |  |  | 0.997 |  |  | 0.993 |  |  | 0.999 |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 0 | 1648 | 0 | 0 | 1643 | 0 | 0 | 1648 | 0 | 0 | 1613 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted |  | 0.969 |  |  | 0.992 |  |  | 0.970 |  |  | 0.997 |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 0 | 1612 | 0 | 0 | 1635 | 0 | 0 | 1610 | 0 | 0 | 1610 | 0 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  | 3 |  |  | 4 |  |  | 6 |  |  | 23 |  |
| Link Speed (mph) |  | 30 |  |  | 30 |  |  | 30 |  |  | 30 |  |
| Link Distance ( t ) |  | 655 |  |  | 815 |  |  | 575 |  |  | 1307 |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 14.9 |  |  | 18.5 |  |  | 13.1 |  |  | 29.7 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 9 | 36 | 3 | 2 | 26 | 4 | 12 | 63 | 6 | 2 | 60 | 23 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 48 | 0 | 0 | 32 | 0 | 0 | 81 | 0 | 0 | 85 | 0 |
| Enter Blocked Intersection | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Lane Alignment | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right |
| Median Width(ft) |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Link Offset(ft) |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Crosswalk Width(t) |  | 16 |  |  | 16 |  |  | 16 |  |  | 16 |  |
| Two way Left Turn Lane |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Headway Factor | 1.14 | 1.14 | 1.14 | 1.14 | 1.14 | 1.14 | 1.14 | 1.14 | 1.14 | 1.14 | 1.14 | 1.14 |
| Turning Speed (mph) | 15 |  | 9 | 15 |  | 9 | 15 |  | 9 | 15 |  | , |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA |  |
| Protected Phases |  | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |  |
| Minimum Split (s) | 22.5 | 22.5 |  | 22.5 | 22.5 |  | 22.5 | 22.5 |  | 22.5 | 22.5 |  |
| Total Split (s) | 28.0 | 28.0 |  | 28.0 | 28.0 |  | 32.0 | 32.0 |  | 32.0 | 32.0 |  |
| Total Split (\%) | 46.7\% | 46.7\% |  | 46.7\% | 46.7\% |  | 53.3\% | 53.3\% |  | 53.3\% | 53.3\% |  |
| Maximum Green (s) | 23.5 | 23.5 |  | 23.5 | 23.5 |  | 27.5 | 27.5 |  | 27.5 | 27.5 |  |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.5 | 3.5 |  | 3.5 | 3.5 |  | 3.5 | 3.5 |  | 3.5 | 3.5 |  |
| All-Red Time (s) | 1.0 | 1.0 |  | 1.0 | 1.0 |  | 1.0 | 1.0 |  | 1.0 | 1.0 |  |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Total Lost Time (s) |  | 4.5 |  |  | 4.5 |  |  | 4.5 |  |  | 4.5 |  |
| Lead/Lag |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Walk Time (s) | 7.0 | 7.0 |  | 7.0 | 7.0 |  | 7.0 | 7.0 |  | 7.0 | 7.0 |  |
| Flash Dont Walk (s) | 11.0 | 11.0 |  | 11.0 | 11.0 |  | 11.0 | 11.0 |  | 11.0 | 11.0 |  |
| Pedestrian Calls (\#/hr) | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Act Efft Green (s) |  | 23.5 |  |  | 23.5 |  |  | 27.5 |  |  | 27.5 |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio |  | 0.39 |  |  | 0.39 |  |  | 0.46 |  |  | 0.46 |  |
| v/c Ratio |  | 0.08 |  |  | 0.05 |  |  | 0.11 |  |  | 0.11 |  |
| Control Delay |  | 11.4 |  |  | 10.7 |  |  | 9.3 |  |  | 17.1 |  |
| Queue Delay |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Total Delay |  | 11.4 |  |  | 10.7 |  |  | 9.3 |  |  | 17.1 |  |



Splits and Phases: 6: Main Street \& 12th Street


| Intersection |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Intersection Delay, s/veh | 7.5 |
| Intersection LOS | A |


| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | ¢ |  |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | ${ }_{*}$ |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 3 | 6 | 2 | 9 | 19 | 8 | 8 | 71 | 7 | 11 | 57 | 7 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 3 | 6 | 2 | 9 | 19 | 8 | 8 | 71 | 7 | 11 | 57 | 7 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 3 | 7 | 2 | 10 | 21 | 9 | 9 | 79 | 8 | 12 | 63 | 8 |
| Number of Lanes | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Approach | EB |  |  | WB |  |  | NB |  |  | SB |  |  |
| Opposing Approach | WB |  |  | EB |  |  | SB |  |  | NB |  |  |
| Opposing Lanes | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| Conflicting Approach Left | SB |  |  | NB |  |  | EB |  |  | WB |  |  |
| Conflicting Lanes Left | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| Conflicting Approach Right | NB |  |  | SB |  |  | WB |  |  | EB |  |  |
| Conflicting Lanes Right | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| HCM Control Delay | 7.4 |  |  | 7.5 |  |  | 7.6 |  |  | 7.5 |  |  |
| HCM LOS | A |  |  | A |  |  | A |  |  | A |  |  |


| Lane | NBLn1 | EBLn1 | WBLn1 | SBLn1 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Vol Left, \% | $9 \%$ | $27 \%$ | $25 \%$ | $15 \%$ |
| Vol Thu, \% | $83 \%$ | $55 \%$ | $53 \%$ | $76 \%$ |
| Vol Right, \% | $8 \%$ | $18 \%$ | $22 \%$ | $9 \%$ |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop |
| Traffic Vol by Lane | 86 | 11 | 36 | 75 |
| LT Vol | 8 | 3 | 9 | 11 |
| Through Vol | 71 | 6 | 19 | 57 |
| RT Vol | 7 | 2 | 8 | 7 |
| Lane Flow Rate | 96 | 12 | 40 | 83 |
| Geometry Grp | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Degree of Util (X) | 0.108 | 0.014 | 0.046 | 0.094 |
| Departure Headway (Hd) | 4.059 | 4.219 | 4.168 | 4.072 |
| Convergence, Y/N | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Cap | 879 | 835 | 847 | 876 |
| Service Time | 2.102 | 2.311 | 2.252 | 2.117 |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.109 | 0.014 | 0.047 | 0.095 |
| HCM Control Delay | 7.6 | 7.4 | 7.5 | 7.5 |
| HCM Lane LOS | A | A | A | A |
| HCM 95th-tile Q | 0.4 | 0 | 0.1 | 0.3 |


| Intersection |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Intersection Delay, s/veh | 7.5 |
| Intersection LOS | A |


| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | ¢ |  |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | ${ }_{\$}$ |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 8 | 32 | 3 | 2 | 23 | 4 | 11 | 57 | 5 | 2 | 54 | 21 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 8 | 32 | 3 | 2 | 23 | 4 | 11 | 57 | 5 | 2 | 54 | 21 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 9 | 36 | 3 | 2 | 26 | 4 | 12 | 63 | 6 | 2 | 60 | 23 |
| Number of Lanes | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Approach | EB |  |  | WB |  |  | NB |  |  | SB |  |  |
| Opposing Approach | WB |  |  | EB |  |  | SB |  |  | NB |  |  |
| Opposing Lanes | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| Conflicting Approach Left | SB |  |  | NB |  |  | EB |  |  | WB |  |  |
| Conflicting Lanes Left | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| Conflicting Approach Right | NB |  |  | SB |  |  | WB |  |  | EB |  |  |
| Conflicting Lanes Right | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| HCM Control Delay | 7.6 |  |  | 7.4 |  |  | 7.6 |  |  | 7.5 |  |  |
| HCM LOS | A |  |  | A |  |  | A |  |  | A |  |  |


| Lane | NBLn1 | EBLn1 | WBLn1 | SBLn1 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Vol Left, \% | $15 \%$ | $19 \%$ | $7 \%$ | $3 \%$ |
| Vol Tru, \% | $78 \%$ | $74 \%$ | $79 \%$ | $70 \%$ |
| Vol Right, \% | $7 \%$ | $7 \%$ | $14 \%$ | $27 \%$ |
| Sign Control | 73 | 43 | 29 | 77 |
| Traffic Vol by Lane | 11 | 8 | 2 | 2 |
| LT Vol | 57 | 32 | 23 | 54 |
| Through Vol | 5 | 3 | 4 | 21 |
| RT Vol | 81 | 48 | 32 | 86 |
| Lane Flow Rate | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Geometry Grp | 0.093 | 0.056 | 0.037 | 0.094 |
| Degree of Util (X) | 4.127 | 4.242 | 4.189 | 3.976 |
| Departure Headway (Hd) | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Convergence, Y/N | 861 | 833 | 842 | 893 |
| Cap | 2.186 | 2.324 | 2.277 | 2.037 |
| Service Time | 0.094 | 0.058 | 0.038 | 0.096 |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 7.6 | 7.6 | 7.4 | 7.5 |
| HCM Control Delay | A | A | A | A |
| HCM Lane LOS | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.3 |




| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 3.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |  |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | ¢ |  |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | ¢ |  |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 8 | 32 | 3 | 2 | 23 | 4 | 11 | 57 | 5 | 2 | 54 | 21 |  |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 8 | 32 | 3 | 2 | 23 | 4 | 11 | 57 | 5 | 2 | 54 | 21 |  |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Sign Control S | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free |  |
| RT Channelized | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None | - |  | None |  |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |  |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 |  |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |  |
| Mvmt Flow | 9 | 36 | 3 | 2 | 26 | 4 | 12 | 63 | 6 | 2 | 60 | 23 |  |



| Intersection |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersection Delay, s/veh | 3.2 |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS | A |  |  |  |
| Approach | EB | WB | NB | SB |
| Entry Lanes | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Conflicting Circle Lanes | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Adj Approach Flow, veh/h | 12 | 40 | 96 | 83 |
| Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 12 | 40 | 98 | 84 |
| Vehicles Circulating, veh/h | 86 | 93 | 22 | 40 |
| Vehicles Exiting, veh/h | 38 | 27 | 76 | 93 |
| Ped Vol Crossing Leg, \#/h | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped Cap Adj | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Approach Delay, s/veh | 3.0 | 3.2 | 3.3 | 3.3 |
| Approach LOS | A | A | A | A |


| Lane | Left | Left | Left | Left |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Designated Moves | LTR | LTR | LTR | LTR |
| Assumed Moves | LTR | LTR | LTR | LTR |
| RT Channelized |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Util | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Follow-Up Headway, s | 2.609 | 2.609 | 2.609 | 2.609 |
| Critical Headway, s | 4.976 | 4.976 | 4.976 | 4.976 |
| Entry Flow, veh/h | 12 | 40 | 98 | 84 |
| Cap Entry Lane, veh/h | 1264 | 1255 | 1349 | 1325 |
| Entry HV Adj Factor | 0.989 | 0.990 | 0.984 | 0.985 |
| Flow Entry, veh/h | 12 | 40 | 96 | 83 |
| Cap Entry, veh/h | 1250 | 1242 | 1327 | 1305 |
| VIC Ratio | 0.009 | 0.032 | 0.073 | 0.063 |
| Control Delay, s/veh | 3.0 | 3.2 | 3.3 | 3.3 |
| LOS | A | A | A | A |
| 95th \%tile Queue, veh | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersection Delay, s/veh | 3.2 |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS | A |  |  |  |
| Approach | EB | WB | NB | SB |
| Entry Lanes | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Conflicting Circle Lanes | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Adj Approach Flow, veh/h | 48 | 32 | 81 | 85 |
| Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 49 | 33 | 82 | 86 |
| Vehicles Circulating, veh/h | 65 | 85 | 48 | 41 |
| Vehicles Exiting, veh/h | 62 | 45 | 66 | 77 |
| Ped Vol Crossing Leg, \#/h | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped Cap Adj | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Approach Delay, s/veh | 3.1 | 3.1 | 3.3 | 3.3 |
| Approach LOS | A | A | A | A |


| Lane | Left | Left | Left | Left |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Designated Moves | LTR | LTR | LTR | LTR |
| Assumed Moves | LTR | LTR | LTR | LTR |
| RT Channelized |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Util | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Follow-Up Headway, s | 2.609 | 2.609 | 2.609 | 2.609 |
| Critical Headway, s | 4.976 | 4.976 | 4.976 | 4.976 |
| Entry Flow, veh/h | 49 | 33 | 82 | 86 |
| Cap Entry Lane, veh/h | 1291 | 1265 | 1314 | 1323 |
| Entry HV Adj Factor | 0.985 | 0.984 | 0.985 | 0.986 |
| Flow Entry, veh/h | 48 | 32 | 81 | 85 |
| Cap Entry, veh/h | 1272 | 1245 | 1294 | 1305 |
| VIC Ratio | 0.038 | 0.026 | 0.062 | 0.065 |
| Control Delay, s/veh | 3.1 | 3.1 | 3.3 | 3.3 |
| LOS | A | A | A | A |
| 95th \%tile Queue, veh | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

# AGENDA ITEM \# <br> CITY COMMISSION COMMUNICATION FORM 

## FROM: Kent Brown, City Manager

DATE: August 21, 2023
ITEM: Future ownership of Wolak building
NEXT STEP: Discussion
ORDINANCE MOTION
$\qquad$ INFORMATION
I. REQUEST OR ISSUE:

Whether the Wolak building should be owned by the City or by the County going forward and what details surrounding that issue should be considered. This is a follow up discussion to the topic brought up at the joint City/County commission meeting on August 14.

## II. BACKGROUND INFORMATION:

See minutes from the August 7 City Commission meeting and the minutes from the joint city commission/county commission meeting on August 14.

## SUMMARY AND ALTERNATIVES:

Commission may take one of the following actions:

1. Give staff direction to set up proposed agreement
2. Give staff direction to not pursue proposed agreement.

| Fund No. | Fund | Beginning Balance | Deposits | Disbursements | Ending Balance | Investments | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 02 | Economic Development | 0.00 | - |  | 0.00 |  | 0.00 |
| 03 | Museum Endowment | 5,869.27 | 11,085.67 | $(11,000.00)$ | 5,954.94 | 87,206.20 | 93,161.14 |
| 04 | Street \& Project Improvement | 0.00 |  | - | 0.00 |  | 0.00 |
| 05 | Cemetery Improvement | 49,269.07 | 84,319.90 | $(85,000.00)$ | 48,588.97 | 233,916.15 | 282,505.12 |
| 06 | Special Highway | 16,526.97 | 44,345.68 | $(7,546.20)$ | 53,326.45 | 115,000.00 | 168,326.45 |
| 07 | Self Insurance | 144,552.05 | 191,467.10 | $(178,077.85)$ | 157,941.30 | 375,000.00 | 532,941.30 |
| 09 | Airport Fund | 140,520.75 | 93,635.29 | $(91,000.77)$ | 143,155.27 | 263,000.00 | 406,155.27 |
| 11 | General | 632,656.80 | 441,549.46 | $(361,710.73)$ | 712,495.53 | 215,000.00 | 927,495.53 |
| 12 | Bond and Interest | 202,018.73 | 19,549.40 | $(19,500.00)$ | 202,068.13 | 66,500.00 | 268,568.13 |
| 13 | Library |  |  | - | - | - | - |
| 14 | Sales Tax | 5,617.09 | 18,891.73 | $(18,579.43)$ | 5,929.39 | - | 5,929.39 |
| 15 | Electric Utility | 1,063,604.52 | 522,287.31 | $(685,173.62)$ | 900,718.21 | 200,000.00 | 1,100,718.21 |
| 18 | Municipal Court Diversion Fees | 5,109.61 | 7.06 | (625.00) | 4,491.67 | 8,500.00 | 12,991.67 |
| 19 | Law Enforcement Trust | 294.64 | 21,649.17 | $(18,500.00)$ | 3,443.81 | 27,000.00 | 30,443.81 |
| 20 | Electric Meter Deposit | 26,755.39 | 37,022.00 | $(36,250.00)$ | 27,527.39 | 121,000.00 | 148,527.39 |
| 21 | Water Utility | 246,326.45 | 185,869.68 | $(327,543.70)$ | 104,652.43 | 369,500.00 | 474,152.43 |
| 22 | Water Service Deposit | 51,300.24 | 4,650.00 | $(4,500.00)$ | 51,450.24 | 38,500.00 | 89,950.24 |
| 23 | Sewer Utility | 105,183.78 | 81,205.38 | $(86,352.53)$ | 100,036.63 | 100,000.00 | 200,036.63 |
| 25 | Vehicle Inspections (VIN) | 10,142.14 | 10,790.91 | $(10,320.67)$ | 10,612.38 | 23,000.00 | 33,612.38 |
| 26 | Special Park \& Recreation | 2,578.80 | 7,000.00 | $(7,224.70)$ | 2,354.10 | 12,000.00 | 14,354.10 |
| 27 | Grant Improvement Reserve Fund | 11,738.64 | 34,235.80 | $(29,000.00)$ | 16,974.44 | 34,500.00 | 51,474.44 |
| 28 | CID Projects | 10,826.83 | 14,533.65 | $(10,826.83)$ | 14,533.65 | - | 14,533.65 |
| 29 | Fire Equipment | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 30 | Health and Sanitation | 42,570.43 | 88,465.38 | $(94,880.00)$ | 36,155.81 | 38,000.00 | 74,155.81 |
| 31 | Airport Improvement | $(195,764.58)$ | 167,858.77 | - | $(27,905.81)$ | - | $(27,905.81)$ |
| 32 | Electric Reserve | 174,087.71 | 185,627.86 | $(200,000.00)$ | 159,715.57 | 415,000.00 | 574,715.57 |
| 33 | Water Reserve | 187,461.81 | 47,659.02 | $(47,000.00)$ | 188,120.83 | 90,000.00 | 278,120.83 |
| 34 | CDBG Grant | 0.00 | - | - | 0.00 | - | 0.00 |
| 35 | ARPA Project | 472,872.04 | 29,993.21 | $(29,000.00)$ | 473,865.25 | 29,000.00 | 502,865.25 |
| 36 | M.E.R.F | 760,977.98 | 432,896.85 | $(275,451.00)$ | 918,423.83 | 1,692,000.00 | 2,610,423.83 |
| 37 | Sewer Reserve | 73,166.21 | 10,193.74 | $(20,000.00)$ | 63,359.95 | 152,000.00 | 215,359.95 |
| 38 | Capital Improvement Reserve Fund | 2,743,453.49 | 438,303.11 | $(246,152.60)$ | 2,935,604.00 | 2,160,000.00 | 5,095,604.00 |
| 39 | Efficiency KS Project | 0.00 | 137.13 | (137.13) | 0.00 | - | 0.00 |
| 40 | Insurance Proceeds Fund | 5,521.97 | 9.08 | - | 5,531.05 | - | 5,531.05 |
| 45 | Employee Benefits | 278,568.89 | 400.31 | $(58,058.47)$ | 220,910.73 | 148,000.00 | 368,910.73 |
| 46 | Library Employee Benefits | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 48 | State Water Plan | 5,086.82 | 936.24 | $(1,905.37)$ | 4,117.69 | - | 4,117.69 |
|  | TOTAL | 7,278,894.54 | 3,226,575.89 | $(2,961,316.60)$ | 7,544,153.83 | 7,013,622.35 | 14,557,776.18 |
|  | FNB Bank | - | - | - | - | 3,506,500.00 | 3,506,500.00 |
|  | BANKWEST | 7,276,894.54 | 2,764,026.78 | (2,498,767.49) | 7,542,153.83 | 34,916.15 | 7,577,069.98 |
|  | Western State Bank | - | - | - | - | 3,406,000.00 | 3,406,000.00 |
|  | Ameriprise Ent. Inv. Services | - | - | - | - | 66,206.20 | 66,206.20 |
|  | Petty Cash | 2,000.00 | - | - | 2,000.00 | - | 2,000.00 |
|  | TOTAL | 7,278,894.54 | 2,764,026.78 | (2,498,767.49) | 7,544,153.83 | 7,013,622.35 | 14,557,776.18 |

- On July $14^{\text {th }}$, the officer on duty was dispatched to Casey's for a report of a physical altercation. Upon arrival, the officer made contact with the victim who advised that the suspect had entered the gas station, went behind the counter and began to choke the victim while pushing him against the counter. The officer found that the suspect was under the impression the victim had sexually assaulted a minor. After asking the minor some questions it was concluded that the whole altercation was a huge misunderstanding. The suspect was arrested and recommended charges were filed for Battery.
- On July $15^{\text {th }}$, the officer on duty observed a vehicle traveling on Caldwell at a high rate of speed, failing to stop at a stop sign. The officer conducted a traffic stop on the vehicle and spoke with 2 juveniles. After speaking with the juveniles it was found that they were running from an individual who was chasing them. Previously the juveniles had stopped and the individual that was chasing them had come up to the vehicle and began hitting the windows. The officer was unable to locate the individual that was chasing the juveniles.
- On July $15^{\text {th }}$. The officer on duty was patrolling near the intersection of K27 and K24. The officer was going northbound on K27 when he was about hit by an individual on a motorcycle. The officer conducted a traffic stop on the motorcycle. While speaking to the driver the officer could smell consumed alcohol coming from his person. The officer conducted Standard Field Sobriety Tests (SFSTs) and a PBT test. The individual blew a 0.159 BAC. The individual was taken into custody and recommended charges were filed for DUI, Operate a vehicle without a valid license, and unsafe starting and stopping.
- On July $17^{\text {th }}$, the officer on duty was dispatched to West $12^{\text {th }}$ street for a report of a fight. Once on scene the officer spoke to some individuals who were involved. They stated that one of their friends had been drinking and locked herself in the bathroom. They had gotten her out of the bathroom and saw the left eye was swollen and starting to bruise. The individual stated that her and her uncle had gotten in an argument in which they started to hit each other. After speaking with several individuals it was found that both parties were at fault. Both individuals were taken into custody and charges were filed for domestic battery.
- On July $20^{\text {th }}$, the officer on duty observed a vehicle on $16^{\text {th }}$ street unable to maintain lanes. The officer activated the emergency lights and proceeded with a traffic stop. While speaking with the individual the officer observed shaking hands and a nervous behavior. One of the individuals had told the officer that a passenger of the vehicle had thrown narcotics out into the yard. After continuing the interview the individual told the officer she had stashed some methamphetamines. 48 grams of methamphetamines were seized. 2 of the individuals were arrested on scene and the third individual was arrested per a search warrant.
Recommended charges were filed for distribution, possession, taxation, intent, interference with LEO, and driving while suspended.
- On July $24^{\text {th }}$, the officer on duty was called to Cattle Trail for a fight. When speaking with all parties it was found that one family was in their backyard, an uninvited individual showed up and started to fight them, then more people showed up and got involved. Multiple people were involved and many of them had injuries. It was also found that one person involved had pulled a knife. Long form charges were filed for aggravated battery, criminal trespass, disorderly conduct, aggravated assault, and possession of opiate.
- On July 31, the officers on duty were dispatched to the hospital to watch a juvenile who was on suicide watch. The juvenile was being disrespectful to the staff and his father. The officer had a talk with the juvenile, who continued to still be disrespectful. The juvenile tried to take that handcuffs off but couldn't succeed therefore threated to break his wrist to get out. The officer had to hold the juvenile down to keep him from hurting himself or escaping. The juvenile was later transported to a facility where he would get the help he needed.
- On August 4th , the officer on duty was dispatched to the PD for a report of battery. The reporting person stated, she was arguing with her sister about pigs and fans. Her sister got so mad that she put her hands around the reporting person's throat. After this had happened a witness had stepped in between them to break up the argument. The reporting party had left the residence after this. After speaking with the reporting party, the suspect, and 3 witnesses, the suspect was arrested and recommended charges were filed for domestic battery.
- On August $7^{\text {th }}$, the officer on duty was dispatched to a possible domestic altercation. After arriving on scene the officer went into the residence. When entering in to the residence the officer found moldy dishes in the sink and counter tops, misc. trash and moldy bags of garbage, the kid's bedrooms also had moldy trash and garbage on the floor. The officer then spoke with one of the residence, she was asked multiple time if there was anyone else in the house, and she kept stating that there wasn't. Finally she admitted to someone being in the house and brought out the male resident. The officer asked the male what his name was and he refused to do It therefore he was put into had restraint. After a while he then fessed up on who he was and made his statement on what had happened. After the investigation the male was trespassed by the Goodland Housing Authority and no physical altercation had occurred.
- On August $9^{\text {th }}$ the officer on duty was dispatched to Harrison Avenue for a violation on a protection order. The reporting party stated that the individual she has a PFA against had been contacting her. While speaking to the reporting party the suspect called her. The officer answered and as soon as the suspect knew who it was the suspect hung up. The officer got the phone number for the suspect and set up a time to meet with him. While meeting with the suspect, dispatch advised the PFA was valid. The suspect was taken into custody and recommended charges were filed for violation of protection order.
- On August $11^{\text {th }}$ the officer on duty was dispatched to Harrison Avenue for a report of a neighbor masturbating in his backyard. The officer spoke with both individuals and the suspect was taken into custody. Recommended charges were filed for lewd and lascivious.
- On August 11, the officer on duty observed 3 individuals exiting the female bathroom at a park. The officer knew these individuals from previous calls. The officer caught up to one of the individuals and placed him under arrest for violation of PFA. After placing him in hand restraints he began to tell the officer that he had methamphetamines and marijuana in his pocket. He also admitted to them doing illegal narcotics in the bathroom. The officer went and spoke with another individual that had been observed leaving the bathroom and found him in possession of illegal paraphernalia. All three individuals were arrested and recommended charges were filed for violation of protection order, possession of narcotics, use/possess w/ intent to use.

12/12/2022-01/01/2023-01/09/2023-02/13/2023-03/13/2023-04/09/2023-05/05/2023-06/12/2023-7/10/2023 |  | $12 / 31 / 2022$ | $01 / 08 / 2023$ | $02 / 12 / 2023$ | $03 / 12 / 2023$ | $04 / 12 / 2023$ | $05 / 04 / 2023$ | $06 / 11 / 2023$ | $07 / 08 / 2023$ | $8 / 13 / 2023$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |




| Date | Case \# | Type |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 27-Jan | 22-041 | Marijuana |
| 27-Jan | 22-041 | THC vape pen cartlidges |
| 27-Jan | 22-041 | THC Vape cartlidge/green leafy veg. |
| 17-Feb | 22-132 | green leafy veg |
| 17-Feb | 22-132 | Marijuana |
| 3-Mar | 22-168 | marijuana |
| 3-Mar | 22-168 | THC Vape |
| 3-Mar | 22-168 | THC Vape |
| 3-Mar | 22-168 | THC Vape |
| 3-Mar | 22-168 | THC Vape |
| 3-Mar | 22-169 | THC Vape |
| 3-Mar | 22-168 | THC Vape |
| 3-Mar | 22-168 | THC Vape |
| 3-Mar | 22-168 | THC Vape |
| 3-Mar | 22-168 | THC Vape |
| 3-Mar | 22-168 | THC Vape |
| 3-Mar | 22-168 | THC Vape |
| 3-Mar | 22-168 | THC Vape |
| 3-Mar | 22-168 | THC Vape |
| 3-Mar | 22-168 | THC Vape |
| 3-Mar | 22-168 | THC Vape |
| 3-Mar | 22-168 | THC Vape |
| 3-Mar | 22-168 | THC Vape |
| 3-Mar | 22-168 | THC Vape |
| 3-Mar | 22-168 | THC Vape |
| 3-Mar | 22-168 | Container w/ marijuana |
| 3-Mar | 22-168 | Container w/ marijuana |
| 3-Mar | 22-168 | Container w/ marijuana |
| 3-Mar | 22-168 | Container w/ marijuana |
| 3-Mar | 22-168 | Container w/ marijuana |
| 3-Mar | 22-168 | THC edibles |
| 3-Mar | 22-168 | THC edibles |
| 3-Mar | 22-168 | THC edibles |
| 3-Mar | 22-168 | THC edibles |
| 3-Mar | 22-168 | THC edibles |
| 3-Mar | 22-168 | THC edibles |
| 3-Mar | 22-168 | bag of marijuana |
| 3-Mar | 22-168 | bag of marijuana |
| 3-Mar | 22-168 | bag of marijuana |
| 3-Mar | 22-168 | bag of marijuana |
| 3-Mar | 22-168 | bag of marijuana |
| 3-Mar | 22-168 | bag of marijuana |
| 3-Mar | 22-168 | bag of marijuana |
| 3-Mar | 22-168 | bag of marijuana |
| 3-Mar | 22-168 | bag of marijuana |


| 3-Mar | 22-168 | Golden brand vape pen |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4-Mar | 22-170 | green leafy veg |
| 4-Mar | 22-170 | green leafy veg |
| 4-Mar | 22-170 | hydrochlorothiazide pills |
| 4-Mar | 22-170 | green leafy veg |
| 4-Mar | 22-170 | Adderall pills |
| 4-Mar | 22-170 | bags of green leafy veg. |
| 4-Mar | 22-170 | bags of green leafy veg. |
| 4-Mar | 22-170 | bags of green leafy veg. |
| 4-Mar | 22-170 | bags of green leafy veg. |
| 4-Mar | 22-170 | bags of green leafy veg. |
| 4-Mar | 22-170 | Marijuana |
| 4-Mar | 22-170 | Adderall pills |
| 15-Mar | 22-199 | THC vape pen |
| 15-Mar | 22-199 | THC vap pen |
| 11-Apr | 22-251 | Methamphetamine |
| 11-Apr | 22-251 | THC wax |
| 11-Apr | 22-251 | Marijuana |
| 11-Apr | 22-251 | Methamphetamine |
| 13-Apr | 22-263 | Marijuana |
| 13-Apr | 22-263 | THC wax |
| 13-Apr | 22-263 | Cannabis seeds |
| 13-Apr | 22-263 | Marijuana |
| 26-Apr | 22-302 | THC WAX |
| 3-May | 22-323 | Marijuana |
| 3-May | 22-323 | Methamphetamine |
| 3-May | 22-323 | Green leafy veg |
| 3-May | 22-323 | Methamphetamine |
| 3-May | 22-323 | Marijuana plant seeds |
| 5-May | 22-329 | THC Cookies |
| 14-May | 22-359 | Marijuana |
| 14-May | 22-359 | LSD tabs |
| 14-May | 22-359 | THC wax in box |
| 14-May | 22-359 | Marijuana |
| 14-May | 22-359 | Marijuana |
| 6-Jun | 22-442 | Cocaine |
| 6-Jun | 22-442 | Oxycodone |
| 13-Jun | 22-461 | THC wax in containers |
| 13-Jun | 22-461 | marijuana |
| 13-Jun | 22-461 | Methamphetamine |
| 22-Jun | 22-483 | Marijuana |
| 22-Jun | 22-483 | THC vape |
| 22-Jun | 22-483 | THC vape |
| 22-Jun | 22-483 | Marijuana |
| 22-Jun | 22-483 | THC Vape |
| 22-Jun | 22-483 | THC Vape |


| 22-Jun | 22-483 | Marijuana |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3-Jul | 22-510 | Marijuana |
| 3-Jul | 22-510 | Marijuana |
| 3-Jul | 22-510 | Marijuana |
| 3-Jul | 22-510 | Marijuana |
| 3-Jul | 22-510 | Marijuana |
| 3-Jul | 22-510 | Marijuana |
| 3-Jul | 22-510 | THC vape x 4 |
| 14-Jul | 22-535 | THC Vape cartlidge |
| 26-Aug | 22-641 | Lorazepam |
| 26-Aug | 22-641 | THC Wax in container |
| 26-Aug | 22-641 | Containers w/ gren leafy veg. |
| 26-Aug | 22-641 | THC concentrate |
| 26-Aug | 22-641 | Marijuana |
| 3-Sep | 22-667 | Mushrooms |
| 3-Sep | 22-667 | Narcotics |
| 3-Sep | 22-667 | Raw marijuana |
| 3-Sep | 22-667 | Gabapentin 100mg |
| 3-Sep | 22-667 | Cogin-spark pils |
| 3-Sep | 22-667 | Marijuana |
| 3-Sep | 22-667 | Container w/ green leafy veg. |
| 7-Sep | 22-679 | Methamphetamine |
| 9-Sep | 22-686 | THC marijuana |
| 9-Sep | 22-686 | THC wax |
| 16-Sep | 22-709 | Methamphetamines |
| 16-Sep | 22-709 | paraphernalia |
| 16-Sep | 22-709 | Methamphetamines |
| 22-Sep | 22-727 | Methamphetamines |
| 22-Sep | 22-729 | Methamphetamines |
| 7-Nov | 22-849 | Methamphetamines |
| 20-Nov | 22-893 | Methamphetamines |
| 20-Nov | 22-893 | Green leafy veg |
| 22-Nov | 22-901 | Methamphetamines |
| 26-Nov | 22-908 | Marijuana |
| 27-Nov | 22-910 | Methamphetamines |
| 29-Nov | 22-912 | Packages of marijuana in case |
| 29-Nov | 22-912 | 4 THC vape pens |
| 29-Nov | 22-912 | Marijuana Cigarette x2 |
| 8-Dec | 22-941 | Methamphetamines |


| 20-Dec | 22-970 | Metal mug with marijuana insdie |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 25-Dec | 22-977 | green leafy veg |
| 25-Dec | 22-977 | Methamphetamines |
| 26-Dec | 22-978 | Fetanyl |
| 27-Dec | 22-982 | Marijuana |
| 27-Dec | 22-982 | THC wax |
| 27-Dec | 22-982 | green leafy veg |
| 27-Dec | 22-982 | marijuana edibles |
| 27-Dec | 22-982 | THC smoking pen |
| 28-Dec | 22-985 | liquid methamphetamines |
| 29-Dec | 22-986 | Methamphetamines soild |
| Total Grams | 59,540.80 |  |
| Total Dosage Units | 45 |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |


|  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
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|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |


| Weight | Measurment |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 | Grams |
| 2 | Dosage |
| 2 | Grams |
| 2 | Grams |
| 2 | Grams |
| 23586.8 | Grams |
| 483 | Grams |
| 483 | Grams |
| 487 | Grams |
| 484 | Grams |
| 484 | Grams |
| 482 | Grams |
| 460 | Grams |
| 485 | Grams |
| 483 | Grams |
| 487 | Grams |
| 483 | Grams |
| 487 | Grams |
| 483 | Grams |
| 487 | Grams |
| 481 | Grams |
| 483 | Grams |
| 484 | Grams |
| 438 | Grams |
| 486 | Grams |
| 19 | Grams |
| 17 | Grams |
| 17 | Grams |
| 30 | Grams |
| 21 | Grams |
| 400 | Grams |
| 1120 | Grams |
| 1120 | Grams |
| 1680 | Grams |
| 2480 | Grams |
| 1680 | Grams |
| 460 | Grams |
| 477 | Grams |
| 472 | Grams |
| 460 | Grams |
| 472 | Grams |
| 499 | Grams |
| 459 | Grams |
| 458 | Grams |
| 460 | Grams |


| 44 | Grams |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Grams |
| 1 | Grams |
| 2 | Dosage |
| 1 | Grams |
| 3 | Grams |
| 340 | Grams |
| 442 | Grams |
| 481 | Grams |
| 543 | Grams |
| 39 | Grams |
| 1847 | Garms |
| 5 | Dosage |
| 16 | Grams |
| 16 | Grams |
| 4 | Grams |
| 48 | Grams |
| 110 | Grams |
| 4 | Grams |
| 112 | Grams |
| 7 | Grams |
| 15 | Dosage |
| 50 | Grams |
| 402 | Grams |
| 234 | Grams |
| 6 | Grams |
| 3 | Grams |
| 5 | Grams |
| 9 | Dosage |
| 15 | Grams |
| 5 | Grams |
| 2 | Dosage |
| 46 | Grams |
| 2 | Grams |
| 59 | Grams |
| 2 | Grams |
| 2 | Dosage |
| 87 | Grams |
| 87 | Grams |
| 40 | Grams |
| 900 | Grams |
| 1,224 | Grams |
| 1,224 | Grams |
| 10 | Grams |
| 25 | Grams |
| 25 | Grams |


| 3,150 | Grams |
| :---: | :---: |
| 14 | Grams |
| 27 | Grams |
| 28 | Grams |
| 35 | Grams |
| 4 | Grams |
| 4 | Grams |
| 40 | Grams |
| 1 | Gram |
| 6 | Dosage |
| 42 | Grams |
| 147 | Grams |
| 6 | Grams |
| 181 | Grams |
| 2 | Grams |
| 10 | Grams |
| 28 | Grams |
| 4 | Grams |
| 48 | Grams |
| 249 | Grams |
| 40 | Grams |
| 0.001 | Grams |
| 4 | Grams |
| 156 | Grams |
| 18 | Grams |
| 1 | Grams |
| 8 | Grams |
| 6 | Grams |
| 1 | Grams |
| 0.001 | Grams |
| 13 | Grams |
| 11 | Grams |
| 3 | Grams |
| 1 | Grams |
| 1 | Grams |
| 219 | Grams |
| 72 | Grams |
| 7 | Grams |
| 86 | Grams |


| 291 | Grams |
| :---: | :---: |
| 11 | Grams |
| 3 | Grams |
| 2 | Dosage |
| 23 |  |
| 359 | Grams |
| 10 | Grams |
| 114 | Grams |
| 15 | Grams |
| 1 |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
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|  |  |
|  |  |
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| :--- | :--- |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

## Charges

Agg domestic battery. Distrute opiate/narcotic/certain stim/herion. Possession of opiate opium narcotic or certain stimulant. Possession of marijuana. Use/posssess w/intent to use drug paraphemalia into human body. Disorderly conduct. Criminal damage to property.
Possession of marijuana. Use/possess w/intent to use drug paraphemalia into human body. Taxation; Possession of cigaretttes electronic cigarette/tabacco by minor

Distribute hallucinogenic or marijuana. Taxation; Drugs; no drug tax stamp for marijuana or const substance. Driving while license cancelled/suspended/revoked. Interference with LEO. Failure to yeild at stop or yield sign.

Distrubute Marijuan. Criminal use of weapons; Poss of firearm by person addicted/use contr sub. Possssion of marijuana. Taxation; Drugs; No drug tax stamp fro marijuana or const substance. Possession of controlled substance. Failure to yield at stop or yield sign.

Possession of marijuana. Liquor, consumption or sale of liquor by minor. Transporting an open container.
Possession of stimpulant; 1 prior convicton. Possession of marijuana. Use/possess w/intent to use drug paraphemalia into human body. Dribing while license cancelled/suspended/revoked. Driving while a habitual violater. Reckless driving. Vehicle; operate vehicle without registration or w/ expired tag. Vehicle liabilty insurense. Liability coverage required. Transporting an open container.

Distrubte marijuana. Possession of marijuana. Use/possess w/intent to use drug paraphemalia into human body. Driving without headlights when needed. Taxation; Drugs; no drug tax stamp payment for marijuana or const substance.

Possession of hallucinogenic drug. Use/ Possess w/intent to use drug paraphemalia into human body. Domestic battery

Distrubute certain stimulant. Distribute marijuana. Use/possess w/intent t ouse drug paraphemalia into human body. Taxation; Drugs;No drug tax stamp fro marijuana or const substance. Possession of marijuana. Improper turn or approach.

Distribute certain hallucinogenic. Use/possess w/intent to use drug paraphemalia into human body

Aggravated battery. Possession of hallucinogenic drug. Possession of marijuana. Use/possess w/intent to use drug paraphemalia into human body. Reckless driving. Disorderly conduct

Distrbute opiate opium narcotic certain stimpulant. Possession of opiate opuim narcotic or certain stimpulant. Distrubte or poss w/int to distrubute drug parah for illegal use.
Distribute or possess with intent to distribute herion/certain stimulants.Criminal use of weapons. Possession of opiate opium narcotic or certain stimulant. Possession of marijuana. Use/possess w/intent to use drug paraphemalia into human body.

Distrubte marijuana. Possession of para w/intent to manufacture/plant/cultivate controlled substance. Taxation; Drugs; no drug tax stamp payment for marijuana or const substance. Official traffic control devices.

Possession of marijuana. Use/possess w/intent to use drug paraphemalia into human body. Taxation; drugs; No drug tax stamp for marijuana or const substance. Failure to yield at stop or yield sign.

Possession of marijuana. Use/possess w/intent to use drug paraphemalia into human body

Possession ofstimpulant. Taxation; Drugs; No drug tax stamp for marijuiana or const substance. Possession of marijuana. Use/possess w/intent to use drug paraphemalia into human body.

Possession of marijuana. Possession of controlled substance . Taxations; Drugs; No drug tax stamp for marijuana or const substance. Use/possess w/intent to use drug paraphemalia into human body.

Possesssion of opiate opuim narcotic or certain stimpulant. Use/possess w/intent to use drug paraphemalia into human body

Use/possess w/intent to use drug paraphemalia into human body. Taxation; Drugs ; no drug tax stamp for marijuana or const substance. Defective headlamps on motor vehivle.

Distribute or possess with intent to distribute herion/certain stimulants. Use/possess w/intent to use drug paraphemalia into human body.

## No charges listed

Possession of opiate opium narcotic or certain stimulant. Use/possess w/intent to use drug parahemalia into human body. Driving while suspended. Driving while a habitual violator. Vechicle liability insurance. Driving without headlights

## No charges listed

Possession of opiate opium narcotic or certain stimulant. Possession of marijuana. Use/possess w/intent to use drug paraphemalia into human body. Distribute opiates opium narcoticd or stimulant.
possession of stimulant. Use/possess w/inetnt to use drug paraphemalia into human body
Possession of marijuana. Use/possess w/intent to use drug paraphemalia into human body. Transporting an on open container. Liquor; Purchase/possess/consume by minor 18-20 yrs. Duty of driver to report acident with an unattanded vechicle property. Driving under the influence of alcohol or drugs.
Possession of opiate opium narcotic or certain stimulant. Criminal carry of weapons. Use/possess w/intent to use drug parpahemalia into human body

Possession of marijuana. Use/possess / intent to use drug paraphemalia into human body. Criminal use of weapons.

Distribute opiate opium narcotic certain stimulant. Use/possess w/intent to use drug parpahemalia into human body

Possession of marijuana. Use/possess w/intent to use drug paraphemalia into human body
Burglary. Interference with LEO. Theft of prop/services. Possession of opiate opium narcotic or certain stimulant.
Use/possess w/intent to use drug paraphemalia into human body. Possession of marijuana Distribute opiate/ opium/ narcotic/stimpulant/herion. Use/possess w/intent to use drug parpahemalia into human body.Use/possess w/intent to use drug paraphemalia into human body. Driving under the infl of drug/alcohol. Dribing while license cancelled/suspended/revoked. Transporting an open container.

Distibute marijuana. Taxation;Drugs; No drugs tax stamp for marijuana or const substance. Use/possess w/intent to use drug paraphemalia into human body. Taxation; cigarettes electronic cigarettes and tobascco unlawful acts. Liquor; purchases /possess/consume by minor. Unlawful to aquire proceeds from drug transaction. Use communication facility. Operate a motor vehicle without a valid license.

Burglary; Vehicle to commit felomy theft or sexually motivated crime. Criminal damage to property. Possession of opiate opium narcoic stimulant. Use/posses w/intent to use drug paraphemalia into human body Possesssion of stolen property. Possession of opiate opuim narcotic or certain stimulant. Possession of marijuana. Use/possess w/intent to use drug parphemalia into human body.
Q







